arXiv:1502.03528v2 [math.NT] 20 Jul 2015

THE LOCAL THETA CORRESPONDENCE AND THE LOCAL GAN–GROSS–PRASAD CONJECTURE FOR THE SYMPLECTIC-METAPLECTIC CASE

HIRAKU ATOBE

Abstract. We prove the local Gan–Gross–Prasad conjecture for the symplectic-metaplectic case under some assumptions. This is the last case of the local Gan–Gross–Prasad conjectures. We also prove two of Prasad's conjectures on the local theta correspondence in the almost equal rank case.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 5
3. Local Langlands correspondence 10
4. Some conjectures 21
5. Reductions to (P1) for tempered L-parameters 24
6. Preparations for the proof of (P1) for tempered L-parameters 28
7. Proof of (P1) for tempered L-parameters 38
Appendix A. Transfer factors 41
References 50

1. Introduction

In [16] and [17], Gross and Prasad studied a restriction problem for special orthogonal groups over a local field and gave a precise conjecture. They and Gan ([7] and [8]) extended this conjecture to classical groups, which are called the local Gan–Gross–Prasad conjectures (GGP). These conjectures consist of four cases; the orthogonal, hermitian, symplectic-metaplectic and skew-hermitian cases. The orthogonal, hermitian and skew-hermitian cases were proven by Waldspurger [37], [38], [40], [41] and Mœglin–Waldspurger [28], Bezuart-Plessis [4], [5], [6] and Gan–Ichino [10], respectively.

In this paper, we consider the orthogonal and the symplectic-metaplectic cases. Let F be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero. We denote by $(V_{m+1}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{V_{m+1}})$ (resp. $(W_{2n}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{W_{2n}})$) an orthogonal space of dimension $m+1$ (resp. a symplectic space of dimension $2n$). Let $V_m \subset V_{m+1}$ be a non-degenerate subspace of codimension 1, so that we have a natural inclusion $\mathrm{SO}(V_m) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(V_{m+1})$. We let $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})$ be the metaplectic group, i.e., the unique non-split central extension of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$:

$$1 \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}) \longrightarrow 1.$$

In particular, we have a diagonal embedding

$$\Delta\colon \mathrm{SO}(V_m) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(V_m) \times \mathrm{SO}(V_{m+1})$$

and a natural map

$$\Delta\colon \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n}) \to \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n}) \times \mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}),$$

where the first factor is the identity map and the second factor is the projection map.

In the orthogonal case, for an irreducible smooth representation σ of $\mathrm{SO}(V_m)\times\mathrm{SO}(V_{m+1})$, one is interested in determining

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta\mathrm{SO}(V_m)}(\sigma, \mathbb{C})).$$

We shall call this the Bessel case (B) of the GGP conjecture. On the other hand, we fix a non-trivial additive character ψ of F, and let ω_ψ be a Weil representation of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})$, which is given by the Heisenberg group associated to the symplectic space $(W_{2n}, 2\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{W_{2n}})$. In the symplectic-metaplectic case, for an irreducible genuine smooth representation π of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n}) \times \mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$, one is interested in determining

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})}(\pi \otimes \overline{\omega_\psi}, \mathbb{C})).$$

We shall call this the Fourier–Jacobi case (FJ) of the GGP conjecture.

By results of Aizenbud–Gourevitch–Rallis–Schiffmann [1], Waldspurger [39] and Sun [33], it is known that the above Hom spaces have dimension at most 1. Hence, the main problems are to determine when the Hom spaces are nonzero. In [7], an answer for these problems is formulated in the framework of the local Langlands correspondence in a form proposed by Vogan [35], which treats the irreducible representations of all pure inner forms simultaneously.

More precisely, a pure inner form of $\mathrm{SO}(V_m)$ is simply a group of the form $\mathrm{SO}(V'_m)$, where V'_m is an orthogonal space with the same dimension and discriminant as V_m. Hence, a pure inner form of $\mathrm{SO}(V_m) \times \mathrm{SO}(V_{m+1})$ is a group of the form

$$\mathrm{SO}(V'_m) \times \mathrm{SO}(V'_{m+1}).$$

Wa say that this pure inner form is relevant if $V'_m \subset V'_{m+1}$ and $V'_{m+1}/V'_m \cong V_{m+1}/V_m$ as orthogonal spaces. In this case, we have a diagonal embedding

$$\Delta\colon \mathrm{SO}(V'_m) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(V'_m) \times \mathrm{SO}(V'_{m+1}).$$

On the other hand, $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n}) \times \mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ has no non-trivial pure inner forms.

Let $G = \mathrm{SO}(V_m) \times \mathrm{SO}(V_{m+1})$ or $G = \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n}) \times \mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$. For an L-parameter ϕ of G, we should obtain a Vogan L-packet Π_ϕ consisting of certain irreducible smooth representations of G and its (not necessarily relevant) pure inner forms G'. Here, to parametrize irreducible genuine representations of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})$, we use the theta correspondence for $(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n}), \mathrm{O}(V_{2n+1}))$. Hence, by an L-parameter of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})$, we mean one of $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})$, i.e., a symplectic representation

$$\phi_M\colon WD_F \to \mathrm{Sp}(M)$$

of the Weil–Deligne group WD_F of F with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M) = 2n$. Moreover, for a fixed Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{w} = (B, \mu)$ of G, which is a conjugacy class of a pair of an F-rational Borel subgroup $B = TU$ of G and a generic character μ of the unipotent radical $U(F)$ of $B(F)$, there exists a natural bijection

$$\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}\colon \Pi_\phi \to \mathrm{Irr}(\pi_0(S_\phi)),$$

where we put $S_\phi = \mathrm{Cent}(\mathrm{Im}(\phi), \widehat{G})$. For $\eta \in \mathrm{Irr}(\pi_0(S_\phi))$, we write $\pi(\eta) = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}}^{-1}(\eta)$.

The local Langlands conjecture has been established for quasi-split symplectic and special orthogonal groups by Arthur [2] under an assumption on the stabilization of the twisted trace formula. For this assumption, see also the series of papers [42], [43], [44], [45], [46], [29], [47], [48], [49] and [30]. In [11], Gan–Savin gave a parametrization (depending on the choice of a non-trivial additive character ψ of F) of irreducible genuine representations of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})$ by using the theta correspondence and the local Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})$.

The GGP conjectures can be roughly stated as follows:

Conjecture 1.1 (B). *Let $G = \mathrm{SO}(V_m) \times \mathrm{SO}(V_{m+1})$.*

1. *Given a generic L-parameter ϕ of G, there exists a unique representation $\pi(\eta) \in \Pi_\phi$ such that $\pi(\eta)$ is a representation of a relevant pure inner form $G' = \mathrm{SO}(V'_m) \times \mathrm{SO}(V'_{m+1})$ and such that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta\mathrm{SO}(V'_m)}(\pi(\eta), \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$;*
2. *There is a precise recipe for the unique character η.*

Conjecture 1.2 (FJ). *Let $G = \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n}) \times \mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ and fix a non-trivial additive character ψ of F.*

1. *Given a generic L-parameter ϕ of G, there exists a unique representation $\pi(\eta) \in \Pi_\phi$ such that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})}(\pi(\eta) \otimes \overline{\omega_\psi}, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$;*
2. *There is a precise recipe for the unique character η.*

In §4, we will recall the recipes for the unique characters. Waldspurger [37], [38], [40], [41] shows the orthogonal case for tempered L-parameters, and Mœglin–Waldspurger [28] extends this result for generic L-parameters.

In fact, there are GGP conjectures in general codimension cases (Conjecture 17.1 and 17.3 in [7]). However, [7, Theorem 19.1] says that the general codimension cases follow from the basic case (B) and (FJ). Hence we consider only the basic case in this paper.

The purpose of this paper is to establish the symplectic-metaplectic case (FJ), which is the last case of the local conjectures, as well as two conjectures of D. Prasad concerning local theta correspondence in almost equal rank cases, under the following assumptions:

(LLC) We assume the local Langlands correspondence for symplectic groups and special orthogonal groups in a form proposed by Vogan. (See §3.)

(GPR) In addition, we assume a conjecture of Gross–Prasad and Rallis, which characterizes the generic L-parameters in terms of the local adjoint L-function. (See §3.2.)

(B) We use the works of Waldspurger [37], [38], [40], [41] and Mœglin–Waldspurger [28] on the Bessel case of the GGP conjecture. (See §4.1.)

(NQ) Further, we assume some property of the local Langlands correspondence for non-quasi-split special orthogonal groups. (See §6.3.)

(IS) Finally, we assume an irreducibility condition for standard modules of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})$. (See §3.6.)

In this paper, we show the following:

Theorem 1.3. *Assume (LLC), (GPR) and (B). Let* ϕ *and* $\widetilde{\phi}$ *be generic L-parameters of* $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ *and* $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})$*, respectively.*

(1) *If* ϕ *and* $\widetilde{\phi}$ *are tempered, then (FJ) is true for* $\phi \times \widetilde{\phi}$*.*

(2) *If we further assume (NQ), (IS) and that there exists a quadratic character* χ *of* $F^\times$ *such that the local L-function* $L(s, \phi \otimes \chi)$ *is regular at* $s = 1$*, then (FJ) is true for* $\phi \times \widetilde{\phi}$*.*

The argument in the proof of [7, Theorem 19.1] works when we restrict to the above cases respectively. Namely, we can deduce the following corollary from Theorem 1.3.

Corollary 1.4. *Under the same assumptions as Theorem* 1.3*, Conjecture* 17.1 *and* 17.3 *in* [7] *are true.*

We have some remarks on these assumptions. For quasi-split symplectic and special orthogonal groups, (LLC) has been established by Arthur [2] under some assumption on the stabilization of the twisted trace formula. For this assumption, see also the series of papers [42], [43], [44], [45], [46], [29], [47], [48], [49] and [30]. For any quasi-split connected reductive groups, the assumption (GPR) has been established by [10, Appendix B]. The assumption (NQ) is an intertwining relation for non-quasi-split special orthogonal groups. For quasi-split orthogonal groups or symplectic groups, the intertwining relations easily follow from results of Arthur [2]. The properties analogous to (IS) have been established by Mœglin–Waldspurger [28] for $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ and $\mathrm{SO}(V_m)$, and by Heiermann [18] for any quasi-split connected reductive groups.

We describe the main idea of the proof of Theorem 1.3. The method is analogous to the work of Gan–Ichino [10]. As in that paper, by the local theta correspondence, the Bessel and the Fourier–Jacobi cases of GGP conjectures are related. More precisely, there exists a see-saw diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n}) \times_{\{\pm 1\}} \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n}) \arrow[d, dash] \arrow[dr, dash] & \mathrm{O}(V_{2n+2}) \arrow[d, dash] \arrow[dl, dash] \\
\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}) & \mathrm{O}(V_{2n+1}) \times \mathrm{O}(V_1)
\end{tikzcd}$$

with $\mathrm{disc}(V_1) = -1$, and the associated see-saw identity holds:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})}(\Theta_{\psi, V_{2n+1}, W_{2n}}(\tau) \otimes \omega_{-\psi}, \pi) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(V_{2n+1})}(\Theta_{\psi, V_{2n+2}, W_{2n}}(\pi), \tau)$$

for irreducible smooth representations π of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ and τ of $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n+1})$. The left-hand side of the see-saw identity is related to the Fourier–Jacobi case (FJ), whereas the right-hand side is related to the Bessel case

(B). Therefore, if we knew the local theta correspondence for $(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}), \mathrm{O}(V_{2n+2}))$ and $(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n+1}), \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n}))$ explicitly, then the see-saw identity would give the precise relation of (FJ) and (B).

More precisely, one considers the following statements:

- (Θ) If ϕ_π (resp. ϕ_τ) is a generic L-parameter of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ (resp. $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})$) and $\pi \in \Pi_{\phi_\pi}$ (resp. $\tau \in \Pi_\tau$), then the big theta lift $\Theta_{\psi,V_{2n+2},W_{2n}}(\pi)$ (resp. $\Theta_{\psi,V_{2n+1},W_{2n}}(\tau)$) is irreducible (if it is nonzero).
- (Mp) If $\tau|\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})$ has parameter (ϕ_τ, η_τ) and $\theta_{\psi,V_{2n+1},W_{2n}}(\tau)$ has parameter $(\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{\eta})$, then $(\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{\eta})$ can be described in terms of (ϕ_τ, η_τ) explicitly.
- (P1) Likewise, if π has parameter (ϕ_π, η_π) and $\sigma := \theta_{\psi,V_{2n+2},W_{2n}}(\pi)|\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+2})$ has parameter $(\phi_\sigma, \eta_\sigma)$, then $(\phi_\sigma, \eta_\sigma)$ can be described in terms of (ϕ_π, η_π) explicitly.
- (G) If ϕ_π and $\widetilde{\phi}$ are generic parameters, then so are ϕ_σ and ϕ_τ.

Note that these statements are not true in general. See Proposition 1.5 and 1.6 below.

The statement (G) is needed to use the Bessel case (B). For $\widetilde{\phi}$, by definition of generic parameter for $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})$, the statement (G) is true. (See §3.6.) However, (G) is not always true for ϕ_π. The last assumption in Theorem 1.3 (2) implies (G) for ϕ_π. More precisely, by using (GPR) for $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ and $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+2})$, we have the following:

Proposition 1.5 (Lemma 5.1). *Let ϕ_π be a generic L-parameter of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ and χ be the discriminant character of V_{2n+2}. Then the L-parameter ϕ_σ of $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+2})$ given by ϕ_π is generic if and only if the local L-function $L(s, \phi_\pi \otimes \chi)$ is regular at $s = 1$.*

In particular, if ϕ_π is tempered, then ϕ_σ is generic. Indeed, ϕ_σ is also tempered.

In [31], D. Prasad has formulated a precise conjecture regarding (P1). He also has formulated one regarding (P2) as follows:

- (P2) Let $\widetilde{\sigma}$ be an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n})$. If an irreducible constituent of $\sigma := \widetilde{\sigma}|\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})$ has parameter $(\phi_\sigma, \eta_\sigma)$ and $\theta_{\psi,V_{2n+1},W_{2n}}(\widetilde{\sigma})$ has parameter (ϕ_π, η_π), then (ϕ_π, η_π) can be described in terms of $(\phi_\sigma, \eta_\sigma)$ explicitly.

We shall also denote by (weak P1) (resp. (weak P2)) the part of Conjecture (P1) (resp. (P2)) concerning only the correspondence of L-parameters.

Proposition 1.6. *We have the following:*

1. ([11, Theorem 8.1 (ii)] *and* [9, Proposition C.4 (i)]) *The statement* (Θ) *holds for tempered parameters.*
2. ([9, Proposition C.4 (ii)] + [28, p.40 Théorème (i)]) *If ϕ_σ is generic, then* (Θ) *holds for ϕ_π.*
3. ([11, Theorem 8.1 (iii)]) *The assumption* (*IS*) *implies* (Θ) *for ϕ_τ.*
4. ([11, Corollary 1.2] *and* [9, Theorem C.5]) *The statements* (*Mp*), (*weak P*1) *and* (*weak P*2) *hold.*

Using the see-saw identity above and Proposition 1.6, we reduce Theorem 1.3 and (P2) to Conjecture (P1) and (B). In view of results of Waldspurger [37], [38], [40], [41] and Mœglin–Waldspurger [28], it is enough to show the statement (P1). Finally, we show that:

Theorem 1.7. *Conjecture* (*P*1) *holds.*

In [10], Gan–Ichino proved Prasad's conjecture for unitary dual pairs, and conclude the GGP conjecture for the skew-hermitian case. The proof of Prasad's conjecture for unitary dual pairs (for tempered case) consists two steps; a global argument with using Arthur's multiplicity formula, and a local argument with using intertwining relations. The use of the recently established Arthur's multiplicity formula is the main novelty. However, one can prove Prasad's conjecture by using only the local argument.

The proof of (P1) for tempered parameters in this paper is as follows: For given tempered π, $\sigma = \theta_{\psi,V_{2n+2},W_{2n}}(\pi) \neq 0$ and $a \in S_{\phi_\pi}$, consider suitable induced representations $\pi_a = \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n+2k})}(\tau_a\chi_V \otimes \pi)$ and $\sigma_a = \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V_{2n+2+2k})}(\tau_a \otimes \sigma)$. One can show that:

- π_a and σ_a are irreducible;
- $\sigma_a = \theta_{\psi,V_{2n+2+2k},W_{2n+2k}}(\pi_a)$;
- π_a has parameter (ϕ'_π, η'_π) with $\pi_0(S_{\phi'_\pi}) \supset \pi_0(S_{\phi_\pi})$ and $\eta'_\pi|\pi_0(S_{\phi_\pi}) = \eta_\pi$;

- $\sigma_a|\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+2+2k})$ has parameter $(\phi'_\sigma, \eta'_\sigma)$ with $\pi_0(S_{\phi'_\sigma}) \supset \pi_0(S_{\phi_\sigma})$ and $\eta'_\sigma|\pi_0(S_{\phi_\sigma}) = \eta_\sigma$.

Finally, we obtain a relation between η'_σ and η'_π by using the intertwining relations of π_a and σ_a. Since $a \in S_{\phi_\pi}$ is arbitrary, we can describe η_σ in terms of η_π explicitly. Conjecture (P1) for general parameters follows from that for tempered parameters by [9, Proposition C.4].

In the proof of Prasad's conjecture, we need to know $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}_0} \circ \iota_{\mathfrak{w}}^{-1}$ for two Whittaker data $\mathfrak{w}$ and $\mathfrak{w}_0$ of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ or $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+2})$. By Kaletha [19], it is known for the local Langlands correspondence for quasi-split symplectic and special orthogonal groups. However, in the local Langlands correspondence proposed by Vogan, certain issues arise.

We explain these issues in more general setting. Let G be a quasi-split connected reductive group over a non-archimedean local field F of characteristic zero. A pure inner twist of G is a pair (G', ψ, z), where G' is an algebraic group over F, $z \in Z^1(F, G)$ and

$$\psi \colon G \to G'$$

is an isomorphism over $\overline{F}$ such that $\psi^{-1} \circ \sigma(\psi) = \mathrm{Ad}(z_\sigma)$ for any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$. We call such a G' a pure inner form of G. In contrast to inner forms, G' may be also quasi-split over F even if the pure inner twist is non-trivial. For example, if V_{2n} is an orthogonal space with non-trivial discriminant, then $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})$ has two pure inner forms both of which are quasi-split. Note that in this situation, G and G' are isomorphic over F. Hence for an L-parameter ϕ of G, we may regard ϕ as an L-parameter ϕ' of G', and so that we should obtain two Vogan L-packets Π_ϕ of G and $\Pi_{\phi'}$ of G'. These are subsets of the same set $\coprod_{G_1} \mathrm{Irr}(G_1(F))$, where G_1 runs over pure inner forms of G (hence of G'). Do these two L-packets coincide? If so, for Whittaker data $\mathfrak{w}$ and $\mathfrak{w}'$ for G and G' respectively, what is the map

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\pi_0(S_\phi)) \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}^{-1}} \Pi_\phi = \Pi_{\phi'} \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathfrak{w}'}} \mathrm{Irr}(\pi_0(S_\phi))?$$

Note that Kaletha's result is the case when $G' = G$, but the problems we need to know are the case when G' is not necessarily the trivial pure inner form of G. In Appendix A, we will give an answer in a special case.

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to Professor Atsushi Ichino for his helpful comments and suggestions. Thanks are also due to the referees for helpful comments. This work was supported by the Foundation for Research Fellowships of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for Young Scientists (DC1) Grant 26-1322.

Notations. Let F be a non-archimedean local field with characteristic zero, $\mathfrak{o}_F$ be the ring of integers of F, ϖ be a uniformizer, q be the number of elements in the residue class field $\mathfrak{o}_F/\varpi\mathfrak{o}_F$ and $|\cdot|_F$ be the normalized absolute value on F so that $|\varpi|_F = q^{-1}$. We denote by Γ, W_F and $WD_F = W_F \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ the absolute Galois, Weil and Weil–Deligne groups of F, respectively. Fix a non-trivial additive character ψ of F. For $c \in F^\times$, we define an additive character ψ_c or $c\psi$ of F by

$$\psi_c(x) = c\psi(x) = \psi(cx).$$

Moreover we set $\chi_c = (\cdot, c)$ to be the quadratic character of $F^\times$ associated to $c \in F^\times/F^{\times 2}$. Here, $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the quadratic Hilbert symbol of F. For a totally disconnected locally compact group G, we denote the set of equivalence classes of irreducible smooth representations of G by $\mathrm{Irr}(G)$. If G is the group of F-points of a linear algebraic group over F, we denote by $\mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(G)$ the subset of $\mathrm{Irr}(G)$ of classes of irreducible tempered representations. For a topological group H, we define the component group of H by $\pi_0(H) = H/H^\circ$, where H° is the identity component of H. The Pontryagin dual (i.e., the character group) of a finite abelian group A is denoted by A^D or $\widehat{A}$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some notions.

2.1. **Whittaker data.** Let G be a quasi-split connected reductive group over F and $B = TU$ be an F-rational Borel subgroup of G, where T is a maximal F-torus and U is the unipotent radical of B. We denote the center of G by Z. We call a character μ of $U(F)$ generic if its stabilizer in $T(F)$ is equal to $Z(F)$. A Whittaker datum of G is a conjugacy class of a pair $\mathfrak{w} = (B, \mu)$, where $B = TU$ is an F-rational Borel subgroup of G and μ is a generic character of $U(F)$. We say that $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G(F))$ is $\mathfrak{w}$-generic if

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{U(F)}(\pi, \mu) \neq 0.$$

If π is $\mathfrak{w}$-generic for some Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{w}$ of G, then we say that π is generic.

2.2. **Orthogonal spaces.** Let $V = V_m$ be a vector space of dimension m over F and

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V : V \times V \to F$$

be a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form. We take a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ of V, and define the discriminant of an orthogonal space V by

$$\mathrm{disc}(V) = \mathrm{disc}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V) = 2^{-m}(-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}} \det((\langle e_i, e_j \rangle_V)_{i,j}) \bmod F^{\times 2} \in F^\times / F^{\times 2}.$$

Note that this differs from $\mathrm{disc}(V, q)$ as in [7, p. 41], which is not used in this paper. Let $\chi_V = (\cdot, \mathrm{disc}(V))$ be the character of $F^\times$ associated with $F(\sqrt{\mathrm{disc}(V)})/F$.

We denote the anisotropic kernel of V by V_{an}. The special orthogonal group $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ is quasi-split if and only if $\dim(V_{\mathrm{an}}) \leq 2$. In this case, we choose a subset $\{v_i, v_i^* | i = 1, \dots, n\}$ of V such that

$$\langle v_i, v_j \rangle_V = \langle v_i^*, v_j^* \rangle_V = 0, \quad \langle v_i, v_j^* \rangle_V = \delta_{i,j},$$

where n is the integer such that $m = 2n + 1$ or $m = 2n + 2$. We set

$$X_k = Fv_1 + \dots + Fv_k \quad \text{and} \quad X_k^* = Fv_1^* + \dots + Fv_k^*$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Let V_1 be the orthogonal complement of $X_n \oplus X_n^*$ in V, so that V_1 is an orthogonal space of dimensional 1 or 2. We denote by $B = TU$ the F-rational Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ stabilizing the complete flag

$$0 \subset \langle v_1 \rangle \subset \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \subset \dots \subset \langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle = X_n,$$

where T is the F-rational torus stabilizing the lines Fv_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

If $m = 2n+1$, then there is a unique T-orbit of generic characters of U. Let $V_1 = Fe$. We choose a generic character μ of U such that

$$\mu(u) = \psi(\langle uv_2, v_1^* \rangle_V + \dots + \langle uv_n, v_{n-1}^* \rangle_V + \langle ue, v_n^* \rangle_V)$$

for $u \in U$. We define a Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{w}$ of $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ by $\mathfrak{w} = (B, \mu)$.

Next, we suppose that $m = 2n + 2$ and $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ is quasi-split. Then there exist $c, d \in F^\times$ such that

$$V_1 \cong F[X]/(X^2 - d)$$

as vector spaces, and the pairing of V_1 is given by

$$(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{V_1} := c \cdot \mathrm{tr}(\alpha \overline{\beta}),$$

where $\beta \mapsto \overline{\beta}$ is the involution on $F[X]/(X^2 - d)$ induced by $a + bX \mapsto a - bX$. In this case, we say that V is type (d, c). Note that $\mathrm{disc}(V) = d \bmod F^{\times 2}$. If V is type (d, c), then we can take $e, e' \in V_1$ such that

$$\langle e, e \rangle_V = 2c, \quad \langle e', e' \rangle_V = -2cd \quad \text{and} \quad \langle e, e' \rangle_V = 0.$$

Then we define a generic character μ_c of U by

$$\mu_c(u) = \psi(\langle uv_2, v_1^* \rangle_V + \dots + \langle uv_n, v_{n-1}^* \rangle_V + \langle ue, v_n^* \rangle_V).$$

By [7, §12], the map $c' \mapsto \mu_{c'}$ gives a bijection (not depending on ψ)

$$cN_{E/F}(E^\times)/F^{\times 2} \to \{T\text{-orbits of generic characters of } U\},$$

where $E = F(\sqrt{d})$. Note that V is both type (d, c) and (d, c') if and only if $c' \in cN_{E/F}(E^\times)$. We define a Whittaker datum of $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ by $\mathfrak{w}_c = (B, \mu_c)$. Note that $\mathfrak{w}_c$ does not depend on the choice of ψ.

2.3. **Symplectic spaces.** Let $W = W_{2n}$ be a vector space of dimension $2n$ over F and

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_W \colon W \times W \to F$$

be a non-degenerate symplectic form. The symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ is a split algebraic group over F. We choose a basis $\{w_i, w_i^* | i = 1, \dots, n\}$ of W such that

$$\langle w_i, w_j \rangle_W = \langle w_i^*, w_j^* \rangle_W = 0, \quad \langle w_i, w_j^* \rangle_W = \delta_{i,j}.$$

We set

$$Y_k = Fw_1 + \cdots + Fw_k \quad \text{and} \quad Y_k^* = Fw_1^* + \cdots + Fw_k^*.$$

Let $B' = T'U'$ be the F-rational Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ stabilizing the complete flag

$$0 \subset \langle w_1 \rangle \subset \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle \subset \cdots \subset \langle w_1, \dots, w_n \rangle = Y_n,$$

where T' is the F-split torus stabilizing the lines Fw_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$. For $c \in F^\times$, we define a generic character μ'_c of U' by

$$\mu'_c(u') = \psi(\langle u'w_2, w_1^* \rangle_W + \cdots + \langle u'w_n, w_{n-1}^* \rangle_W + c\langle u'w_n^*, w_n^* \rangle_W).$$

By [7, §12], the map $c \mapsto \mu'_c$ gives a bijection (depending on ψ)

$$F^\times / F^{\times 2} \to \{T'\text{-orbits of generic characters of } U'\}.$$

We define a Whittaker datum of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ by $\mathfrak{w}'_c = (B', \mu'_c)$. We emphasize that $\mathfrak{w}'_c$ depends on ψ.

2.4. **Parabolic subgroups.** Fix a positive integer k. Let $V' = V_{2m'}$ be an orthogonal space with $\dim(V) = 2m' = 2m + 2k$ and type (d, c). We set $X = X_k$ and $X^* = X_k^*$. Let V be the orthogonal complement of $X \oplus X^*$ in V', so that V is an orthogonal space of dimensional $2m$ over F. Let $P = P_k = M_P U_P$ be the maximal parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(V')$ stabilizing X, where M_P is the Levi component of P stabilizing X^*. We have

$$\begin{aligned} M_P &= \{m_P(a) \cdot h \mid a \in \mathrm{GL}(X), h \in \mathrm{O}(V)\}, \\ U_P &= \{u_P(b) \cdot u_P(c) \mid b \in \mathrm{Hom}(V, X), c \in \mathrm{Sym}(X^*, X)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$m_P(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & & \\ & 1_V & \\ & & (a^*)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \ u_P(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1_X & b & -\frac{1}{2}bb^* \\ & 1_V & -b^* \\ & & 1_{X^*} \end{pmatrix}, \ u_P(c) = \begin{pmatrix} 1_X & & c \\ & 1_V & \\ & & 1_{X^*} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Sym}(X^*, X) = \{c \in \mathrm{Hom}(X^*, X) \mid c^* = -c\}.$$

Here, the elements $a^* \in \mathrm{GL}(X^*)$, $b^* \in \mathrm{Hom}(X^*, V)$, and $c^* \in \mathrm{Hom}(X^*, X)$ are defined by requiring that

$$\langle ax, x' \rangle_{V'} = \langle x, a^*x' \rangle_{V'}, \ \langle bv, x' \rangle_{V'} = \langle v, b^*x' \rangle_{V'}, \ \langle cx', x'' \rangle_{V'} = \langle x', c^*x'' \rangle_{V'}$$

for $x \in X$, $x', x'' \in X^*$ and $v \in V$. Let $P^\circ = P \cap \mathrm{SO}(V')$ and $M_P^\circ = M_P \cap \mathrm{SO}(V')$, so that $M_P^\circ \cong \mathrm{GL}(X) \times \mathrm{SO}(V)$. We put

$$\rho_P = \frac{2m + k - 1}{2}, \quad w_P = \begin{pmatrix} & & -I_X \\ & 1_V & \\ -I_X^{-1} & & \end{pmatrix},$$

where $I_X \in \mathrm{Hom}(X^*, X)$ is defined by $I_X v_i^* = v_i$ for $1 \le i \le k$. Then the modulus character δ_P of P is given by

$$\delta_P(m_P(a) h u_P) = |\det(a)|_F^{2\rho_P}$$

for $a \in \mathrm{GL}(X)$, $h \in \mathrm{O}(V)$ and $u_P \in U_P$.

Similarly, for a fixed positive integer k, we let $W' = W_{2n'}$ be a symplectic space with $\dim(W') = 2n' = 2n + 2k$ and we set $Y = Y_k$ and $Y^* = Y_k^*$. We define W with $\dim(W) = 2n$, $Q = M_Q U_Q \subset \mathrm{Sp}(W')$, $m_Q(a)$, $U_Q(b)$, $U_Q(c)$, $\mathrm{Sym}(Y^*, Y) = \{c \in \mathrm{Hom}(Y^*, Y) \mid c^* = -c\}$ and I_Y as above. We put

$$\rho_Q = \frac{2n+k+1}{2}, \quad w_Q = \begin{pmatrix} & & -I_Y \\ & 1_W & \\ I_Y^{-1} & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the modulus character δ_Q of Q is given by

$$\delta_Q(m_Q(a')g u_Q) = |\det(a)|_F^{2\rho_Q}$$

for $a' \in \mathrm{GL}(Y')$, $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(W)$ and $u_Q \in U_Q$.

2.5. **Representations of $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ and $\mathrm{O}(V)$.** Let $V = V_m$ be an orthogonal space over F of dimension m. In this subsection, we recall some results about representations of $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ and $\mathrm{O}(V)$. Note that any irreducible representation of $\mathrm{O}(V)$ is self-dual by a result in [27, Chapter 4. II. 1]. We fix $\varepsilon \in \mathrm{O}(V) \setminus \mathrm{SO}(V)$.

First we assume that $m = 2n + 1$ is odd. Then we can take ε in the center of $\mathrm{O}(V)$ and we have

$$\mathrm{O}(V) = \mathrm{SO}(V) \times \langle \varepsilon \rangle.$$

Hence, if $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V))$, then $\widetilde{\sigma}|\mathrm{SO}(V)$ is also irreducible. Moreover for $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V))$, there are exactly two extensions of σ to $\mathrm{O}(V)$. In particular, σ is self-dual.

Next we assume that $m = 2n$ is even. For $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V))$, we denote by σ^ε the representation given by conjugating σ by ε. The next proposition follows from the Clifford theory. See also [3, Lemma 4.1].

Proposition 2.1. (1) *For $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V))$, the following are equivalent;*
  - $\sigma^\varepsilon \cong \sigma$;
  - *there exists $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V))$ such that $\widetilde{\sigma}|\mathrm{SO}(V) \cong \sigma$;*
  - *the induction $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{SO}(V)}^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\sigma)$ is reducible;*
  - $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{SO}(V)}^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\sigma) \cong \widetilde{\sigma} \oplus (\widetilde{\sigma} \otimes \det)$ *for any $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V))$ with $\widetilde{\sigma}|\mathrm{SO}(V) \cong \sigma$.*

(2) *For $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V))$, the following are equivalent;*
  - $\widetilde{\sigma} \otimes \det \cong \widetilde{\sigma}$;
  - *there exists $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V))$ such that $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{SO}(V)}^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\sigma) \cong \widetilde{\sigma}$;*
  - *the restriction $\widetilde{\sigma}|\mathrm{SO}(V)$ is reducible;*
  - $\widetilde{\sigma}|\mathrm{SO}(V) \cong \sigma \oplus \sigma^\varepsilon$ *for any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V))$ with $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{SO}(V)}^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\sigma) \cong \widetilde{\sigma}$.*

If $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V))$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 2.1 (1), we say that σ is ε-invariant. We summarize these results in Table 1 below:

TABLE 1.

σ	ε-invariant	not ε-invariant
σ^ε	$\sigma \cong \sigma^\varepsilon$	$\sigma \not\cong \sigma^\varepsilon$
$\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{SO}(V)}^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\sigma)$	$\widetilde{\sigma} \oplus (\widetilde{\sigma} \otimes \det)$	irreducible
$\widetilde{\sigma}\|\mathrm{SO}(V) = \sigma$	exists	not exist

Since any irreducible representation of $\mathrm{O}(V)$ is self-dual, for $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V))$, we have

$$\sigma^\vee \cong \sigma \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma^\vee \cong \sigma^\varepsilon.$$

More precisely, we have

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V))$. Then we have*

$$\sigma^\vee \cong \begin{cases} \sigma & \text{if } \dim(V) \equiv 0 \bmod 4, \\ \sigma^\varepsilon & \text{if } \dim(V) \equiv 2 \bmod 4. \end{cases}$$

Proof. See [17, Proposition 5.3]. □

The group $\mathrm{O}(V)$ acts on $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V))$ by conjugation.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $n > 0$ and $V = V_{2n}$ be an orthogonal space.*

1. *For $(\sigma, \mathcal{V}_\sigma) \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V))$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathrm{O}(V) \setminus \mathrm{SO}(V)$, we have*
$$\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{SO}(V)}^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\sigma) \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{SO}(V)}^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\sigma^\varepsilon).$$
2. *We put $V' = X_1 + V + X_1^*$ with $\dim(V') = 2n+2$. Let $P = P_1 = M_P U_P \subset \mathrm{O}(V')$ be a parabolic subgroup with $M_P \cong \mathrm{GL}_1(F) \times \mathrm{O}(V)$. Then for $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{SO}(V))$, $\varepsilon \in \mathrm{O}(V) \setminus \mathrm{SO}(V)$ and a unitary character χ of $F^\times = \mathrm{GL}_1(F)$, we have*
$$\mathrm{Ind}_{P^\circ}^{\mathrm{SO}(V')}(\chi \otimes \sigma) \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{P^\circ}^{\mathrm{SO}(V')}(\chi^{-1} \otimes \sigma^\varepsilon).$$
3. *For $k > 0$, we put $V' = X_k + V + X_k^*$. Let $P = P_k = M_P U_P \subset \mathrm{O}(V')$ be a parabolic subgroup with $M_P \cong \mathrm{GL}(X_k) \times \mathrm{O}(V)$. Fix $\tau \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}(X_k))$ and $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V))$. Assume that $\sigma := \widetilde{\sigma}|\mathrm{SO}(V)$ is irreducible. Then there is a canonical isomorphism*
$$\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V')}(\tau \otimes \widetilde{\sigma})|\mathrm{SO}(V') \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{P^\circ}^{\mathrm{SO}(V')}(\tau \otimes \sigma).$$
of representations of $\mathrm{SO}(V')$.

Proof. (1) The induced representation $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{SO}(V)}^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\sigma)$ can be realized on
$$X_\sigma := \{f \colon \mathrm{O}(V) \to \mathcal{V}_\sigma \text{ smooth} \mid f(hg) = \sigma(h)f(g) \text{ for any } h \in \mathrm{SO}(V), g \in \mathrm{O}(V)\}$$
by $(g \cdot f)(x) = f(xg)$. Then the map
$$f \mapsto [x \mapsto f(\varepsilon^{-1}x)]$$
gives an isomorphism $X_\sigma \to X_{\sigma^\varepsilon}$.

(2) Note that $\mathrm{Ind}_{P^\circ}^{\mathrm{SO}(V')}(\chi \otimes \sigma)$ is a direct sum of irreducible representations of $\mathrm{SO}(V')$. The assertion follows from Proposition 2.2 and the fact that
$$\mathrm{Ind}_{P^\circ}^{\mathrm{SO}(V')}(\chi \otimes \sigma)^\vee \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{P^\circ}^{\mathrm{SO}(V')}(\chi^{-1} \otimes \sigma^\vee).$$

(3) The representation $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V')}(\tau \otimes \widetilde{\sigma})$ can be realized on a space of smooth functions f on $\mathrm{O}(V')$. The map $f \mapsto f|\mathrm{SO}(V')$ gives a desired isomorphism. □

We define equivalent relations $\sim_{\det}$ on $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V))$ and $\sim_\varepsilon$ on $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V))$ by
$$\widetilde{\sigma} \sim_{\det} \widetilde{\sigma} \otimes \det \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma \sim_\varepsilon \sigma^\varepsilon$$
for $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V))$ and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V))$. Note that $\widetilde{\sigma}|\mathrm{SO}(V) \cong (\widetilde{\sigma} \otimes \det)|\mathrm{SO}(V)$ and $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{SO}(V)}^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\sigma) \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{SO}(V)}^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\sigma^\varepsilon)$. Hence, by Proposition 2.1, the restriction and the induction give a canonical bijection
$$\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V))/\sim_{\det} \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V))/\sim_\varepsilon.$$
For $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V))$, we denote the equivalence class of σ by
$$[\sigma] = \{\sigma, \sigma^\varepsilon\} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V))/\sim_\varepsilon.$$

2.6. **Theta lifts.** We introduce the local theta correspondence induced by a Weil representation $\omega_{\psi,V,W}$ of $\mathrm{Sp}(W) \times \mathrm{O}(V)$ when $\dim(W) = 2n$ and $\dim(V) = 2m$, and recall some basic general results.

We fix a non-trivial additive character ψ of F. Let $W = W_{2n}$ and $V = V_{2m}$. We denote a Weil representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(W) \times \mathrm{O}(V)$ by $\omega = \omega_{\psi,V,W}$. Let $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{Sp}(W))$. Then the maximal π-isotypic quotient of ω is of the form
$$\pi \boxtimes \Theta(\pi),$$
where $\Theta(\pi) = \Theta_{\psi,V,W}(\pi)$ is a smooth finite length representation of $\mathrm{O}(V)$. Hence, there exists an exact sequence of $\mathrm{Sp}(W) \times \mathrm{O}(V)$-modules:
$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}[\pi] \longrightarrow \omega \longrightarrow \pi \boxtimes \Theta(\pi) \longrightarrow 1,$$

where the kernel $\mathcal{S}[\pi]$ is given by

$$\mathcal{S}[\pi] = \bigcap_{f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(\omega, \pi)} \ker(f).$$

Similarly, for $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V))$, we obtain a smooth finite length representation $\Theta(\widetilde{\sigma}) = \Theta_{\psi,V,W}(\widetilde{\sigma})$ of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$. The maximal semi-simple quotient of $\Theta(\pi)$ (resp. $\Theta(\widetilde{\sigma})$) is denoted by $\theta(\pi) = \theta_{\psi,V,W}(\pi)$ (resp. $\theta(\widetilde{\sigma}) = \theta_{\psi,V,W}(\widetilde{\sigma})$). The Howe duality conjecture, which was proven by Waldspurger [36] if the residue characteristic is not 2 and by Gan–Takeda [13], [14] in general, says that $\theta(\pi)$ and $\theta(\widetilde{\sigma})$ are irreducible (if they are nonzero). Moreover, we have the following:

Proposition 2.4 ([9, Proposition C.4 (i)]). *We set $V = V_{2m}$ and $W = W_{2n}$. Let $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{Sp}(W))$ (resp. $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{O}(V))$) be a tempered representation.*

1. *Suppose that $m = n+1$. Then $\Theta(\pi)$ is either zero or an irreducible tempered representation of* $\mathrm{O}(V)$ *so that $\Theta(\pi) = \theta(\pi)$.*
2. *Suppose that $m = n$. Then $\Theta(\widetilde{\sigma})$ is either zero or an irreducible tempered representation of* $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ *so that $\Theta(\widetilde{\sigma}) = \theta(\widetilde{\sigma})$.*

3. Local Langlands correspondence

Through this paper, we assume the local Langlands correspondence for symplectic and special orthogonal groups. See [2]. In this section, we summarize some of its properties which are used in this paper. See also Appendix A.3 and [7].

3.1. **Representations of WD_F.** Let M be a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. We say that a homomorphism $\phi\colon WD_F \to \mathrm{GL}(M)$ is a representation of WD_F if

- $\phi(\mathrm{Frob})$ is semi-simple, where $\mathrm{Frob} \in W_F$ is a geometric Frobenius;
- the restriction of ϕ to W_F is smooth;
- the restriction of ϕ to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is algebraic.

We call ϕ tempered if the image of W_F is bounded. We say that ϕ is orthogonal or self-dual with sign $+1$ (resp. symplectic or self-dual with sign -1) if there exists a non-degenerate bilinear form $B\colon M \times M \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} B(\phi(w)x, \phi(w)y) = B(x,y), \\ B(y,x) = B(x,y) \quad (\textit{resp. } B(y,x) = -B(x,y)) \end{cases}$$

for $x, y \in M$ and $w \in WD_F$. In this case, ϕ is self-dual, i.e., ϕ is equivalent to its contragredient $\phi^\vee$.

For any positive integer n, there exists a unique irreducible algebraic representation ν_n of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ (or irreducible continuous representation ν_n of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$) with dimension n. It is easy to see that

$$\nu_n \text{ is } \begin{cases} \text{orthogonal} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \text{symplectic} & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

For a representation M of WD_F, we define the L-factor $L(s, M)$ and the ε-factor $\varepsilon(s, M, \psi)$ as in [34]. It is well-known that if M is a symplectic representation of WD_F, then $\varepsilon(M) = \varepsilon(1/2, M, \psi)$ does not depend on the choice of ψ, and $\varepsilon(M) \in \{\pm 1\}$ (see, e.g., [7, Proposition 5.1]).

3.2. **Properties.** Let G be a quasi-split connected reductive algebraic group over F. We denote the Langlands dual group and the L-group of G by $\widehat{G}$ and ${}^LG = \widehat{G} \rtimes W_F$, respectively. We call an L-homomorphism

$$\underline{\phi}\colon WD_F \to {}^LG$$

an L-parameter of G. We say that two L-parameters are equivalent if they are conjugate by an element in $\widehat{G}$. We call an L-parameter $\underline{\phi}$ tempered if $\underline{\phi}(W_F)$ projects onto a relatively compact subset of $\widehat{G}$. We denote the set of equivalence classes of L-parameters of G by $\Phi(G)$. Let $\Phi_{\mathrm{temp}}(G)$ be the subset of $\Phi(G)$ of classes of tempered L-parameters. For $\underline{\phi} \in \Phi(G)$, we put

$$S_{\underline{\phi}} = \mathrm{Cent}(\mathrm{Im}(\underline{\phi}), \widehat{G}).$$

A pure inner twist of G is a tuple (G', f, z), where G' is an algebraic group over F, $f\colon G \to G'$ is an isomorphism over $\overline{F}$ and $z \in Z^1(F, G)$ such that $f^{-1} \circ \gamma(f) = \mathrm{Ad}(z_\gamma)$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then we call G' a pure inner form of G. There exists a canonical bijection

$$H^1(F, G) \longleftrightarrow \{\text{The isomorphism classes of pure inner twists of } G\}.$$

More precisely, see Appendix A.2. Moreover, since F is p-adic, there exists a canonical bijection (Kottwitz's isomorphism)

$$H^1(F, G) \to \pi_0(Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma)^D.$$

See [23, Proposition 6.4]. Note that $\pi_0(Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma)$ is a central subgroup of $\pi_0(S_{\underline{\phi}})$.

We are now ready to describe the desiderata for the Langlands correspondence.

(1) There exists a canonical surjection

$$\bigcup_{G'} \mathrm{Irr}(G'(F)) \to \Phi(G),$$

where G' runs over all pure inner forms of G. For $\underline{\phi} \in \Phi(G)$, we denote by $\Pi_{\underline{\phi}}$ the inverse image of $\underline{\phi}$ under this map, and call $\Pi_{\underline{\phi}}$ the L-packet of $\underline{\phi}$. The L-packet $\Pi_{\underline{\phi}}$ is a finite set whose order is equal to $\#\mathrm{Irr}(\pi_0(S_{\underline{\phi}}))$. If $\underline{\phi} \in \Phi_{\mathrm{temp}}(G)$, then $\Pi_{\underline{\phi}} \subset \cup_{G'} \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(G')$.

(2) For a Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{w}$ of G, there exists a bijection

$$\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}\colon \Pi_{\underline{\phi}} \to \mathrm{Irr}(\pi_0(S_{\underline{\phi}}))$$

which satisfies some character identities. More precisely, see Appendix A.3.

(3) The local adjoint L-function $L(s, \underline{\phi}, \mathrm{Ad})$ is regular at the point $s = 1$ if and only if $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}^{-1}(\mathbf{1}) \in \Pi_{\underline{\phi}}$ is $\mathfrak{w}$-generic for any Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{w}$. In this case, we say that the L-parameter $\underline{\phi}$ is generic.

(4) An irreducible representation η of $\pi_0(S_{\underline{\phi}})$ has a central character of $\pi_0(Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma)$, which gives a class of $H^1(F, G)$, i.e., an isomorphism class of pure inner twists G'. Then $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}^{-1}(\eta) \in \mathrm{Irr}(G'(F))$.

Once we fix a Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{w}$ of G, we denote by $\pi(\eta)$ the representation in $\Pi_{\underline{\phi}}$ corresponding to $\eta \in \mathrm{Irr}(\pi_0(S_{\underline{\phi}}))$ via $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}$.

The property (3) is a conjecture of Gross–Prasad and Rallis (GPR), which was proven in general by [10, Appendix B]. Note that for $\underline{\phi} \in \Phi_{\mathrm{temp}}(G)$, the L-function $L(s, \underline{\phi}, \mathrm{Ad})$ is regular in the half-plane $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 0$, so that $\underline{\phi}$ is generic by (3).

In the rest of this section, we explain the desiderata of L-packets more precisely for symplectic and special orthogonal groups, which will be assumed in the rest of this paper.

3.3. **Type B_n.** Let $n \geq 1$ and $G = \mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})$ be a quasi-split special orthogonal group. Then $\widehat{G} = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ and ${}^L G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \times W_F$. An L-parameter $\underline{\phi}$ of $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})$ gives a self-dual representation $\phi\colon WD_F \to \mathrm{GL}(M)$ with sign -1, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M) = 2n$ and $\det(M) = \mathbf{1}$. The map $\underline{\phi} \mapsto \phi$ gives a bijection

$$\Phi(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})) \to \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})) \coloneqq \{\phi\colon WD_F \to \mathrm{Sp}(M) \mid \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M) = 2n\}/\cong.$$

We identify $\underline{\phi}$ with ϕ via this bijection. Let $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}))$ be the subset of $\widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}))$ of tempered representations.

Let $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}))$. We denote the centralizer of $\mathrm{Im}(\phi)$ in $\mathrm{Sp}(M)$ by C_ϕ and its component group by $A_\phi = C_\phi / C_\phi^\circ$. Then $\pi_0(S_{\underline{\phi}}) \cong A_\phi$ if $\underline{\phi} \mapsto \phi$. If

$$M \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I^+} (V_i \otimes M_i) \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in I^-} (W_i \otimes N_i) \oplus \bigoplus_{j \in J} (U_j \otimes (P_j + P_j^\vee)),$$

where

- M_i (resp. N_i) is an irreducible self-dual representation of WD_F with sign -1 (resp. $+1$),
- P_j is an irreducible representation of WD_F which is not self-dual,

which are pairwise inequivalent, and V_i, W_i and U_j are multiplicity spaces, then the bilinear form on M gives a non-degenerate bilinear form on V_i (resp. W_i) of sign $+1$ (resp. -1). Moreover, we have

$$C_\phi \cong \prod_{i \in I^+} \mathrm{O}(V_i) \times \prod_{i \in I^-} \mathrm{Sp}(W_i) \times \prod_{j \in J} \mathrm{GL}(U_j).$$

For a semi-simple element $a \in C_\phi$, we denote by M^a the (-1)-eigenspace of a on M. Then M^a gives a symplectic representation of WD_F. If $a_i \in \mathrm{O}(V_i) \setminus \mathrm{SO}(V_i)$, then $M^{a_i} = V_i^{a_i} \otimes M_i$. We have

$$A_\phi = \bigoplus_{i \in I^+} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) a_i \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^m,$$

where $m = \#I^+$.

By Kottwitz's isomorphism, we have $\#H^1(F, \mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})) = 2$, so that there are exactly two pure inner forms of $G = \mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})$. They are $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})$ and $\mathrm{SO}(V'_{2n+1})$, where $\dim(V_{2n+1}) = \dim(V'_{2n+1}) = 2n+1$ and $\mathrm{disc}(V_{2n+1}) = \mathrm{disc}(V'_{2n+1})$. We see that $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})$ is split, but $\mathrm{SO}(V'_{2n+1})$ is not quasi-split.

By [2, Theorem 1.5.1 (b)], for $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}))$, we obtain an L-packet Π_ϕ^A, which is a finite subset of $\mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}))$, and a canonical bijection $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}^A \colon \Pi_\phi^A \to \pi_0(C_\phi/\{\pm 1\})\widehat{\ }$. We assume that there are a Vogan L-packet $\Pi_\phi \subset \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})) \cup \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{SO}(V'_{2n+1}))$ such that $\Pi_\phi \cap \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})) = \Pi_\phi^A$, and an extension $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}} \colon \Pi_\phi \to \widehat{A_\phi}$ of $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}^A$. We extend L-packets for general $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}))$ as follows. In general, we can write

$$\phi = \phi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \phi_r \oplus \phi_0 \oplus \phi_r^\vee \oplus \cdots \oplus \phi_1^\vee,$$

where

- ϕ_i is a k_i-dimensional representation of WD_F for $i = 1, \ldots, r$, which is of the form $\phi_i = \phi_i' \otimes |\cdot|_F^{s_i}$ for some tempered representation ϕ_i' of WD_F and some real number s_i such that
$$s_1 > \cdots > s_r > 0, \quad n = n_0 + (k_1 + \cdots + k_r);$$
- $\phi_0 \in \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n_0+1}))$ if $n_0 \geq 1$, and $\phi_0 = 0$ if $n_0 = 0$.

We write

$$V_{2n+1}^\bullet = X_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_r \oplus V_{2n_0+1}^\bullet \oplus X_r^* \oplus \cdots \oplus X_1^*,$$

where X_i and X_i^* are k_i-dimensional totally isotropic subspaces of $V_{2n+1}^\bullet$ such that $X_i \oplus X_i^*$ is non-degenerate, mutually orthogonal, and orthogonal to $V_{2n_0+1}^\bullet$. Let P° be the parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}^\bullet)$ stabilizing the flag

$$X_1 \subset X_1 \oplus X_2 \subset \cdots \subset X_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_r$$

and M_P° be the Levi component of P° stabilizing the flag

$$X_1^* \subset X_1^* \oplus X_2^* \subset \cdots \subset X_1^* \oplus \cdots \oplus X_r^*,$$

so that

$$M_P^\circ \cong \mathrm{GL}(X_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}(X_r) \times \mathrm{SO}(V_{2n_0+1}^\bullet).$$

Then the L-packet Π_ϕ consists of the unique irreducible quotients π of the standard modules

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{P^\circ}^{\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}^\bullet)}(\tau_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_r \otimes \pi_0),$$

where τ_i is the irreducible essentially tempered representation of $\mathrm{GL}(X_i)$ corresponding to ϕ_i for $i = 1, \ldots, r$, and π_0 runs over elements in Π_{ϕ_0} (or $\pi_0 = \mathbf{1}$ if $n_0 = 0$ so that $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n_0+1}) = \{1\}$). In particular, if $n_0 = 0$, then Π_ϕ is singleton. If $n_0 \geq 1$, then the natural map $A_{\phi_0} \to A_\phi$ is an isomorphism, and we define $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}(\pi) \in \widehat{A_\phi}$ by

$$\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}(\pi_0) = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}}(\pi) | A_{\phi_0}.$$

We say that $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}))$ is generic if Π_ϕ has a generic representation. Let $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}))$ be the subset of $\widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}))$ of generic representations. Then we have a sequence

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})) \subset \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})) \subset \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})).$$

3.4. **Type C_n.** Let $n \geq 1$ and $G = \mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ be a (split) symplectic group. Then $\widehat{G} = \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ and ${}^L G = \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C}) \times W_F$. An L-parameter $\underline{\phi}$ of G gives a self-dual representation $\phi\colon WD_F \to \mathrm{GL}(N)$ with sign $+1$, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(N) = 2n+1$ and $\det(N) = \mathbf{1}$. The map $\underline{\phi} \mapsto \phi$ gives a bijection

$$\Phi(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})) \to \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})) \coloneqq \{\phi\colon WD_F \to \mathrm{SO}(N) \mid \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(N) = 2n+1\}/\cong.$$

We identify $\underline{\phi}$ with ϕ via this bijection. Let $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$ be the subset of $\widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$ of tempered representations.

Let $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$. We denote the centralizer of $\mathrm{Im}(\phi)$ in $\mathrm{O}(N)$ by C_ϕ and its component group by $A_\phi = C_\phi / C_\phi^\circ$. The centralizer of $\mathrm{Im}(\phi)$ in $\mathrm{SO}(N)$ is denoted by C_ϕ^+. We put $A_\phi^+ = \mathrm{Im}(C_\phi^+ \to A_\phi)$. Then $\pi_0(S_{\underline{\phi}}) \cong A_\phi^+$ if $\underline{\phi} \mapsto \phi$. If

$$N \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I^+} (V_i \otimes N_i) \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in I^-} (W_i \otimes M_i) \oplus \bigoplus_{j \in J} (U_j \otimes (P_j + P_j^\vee))$$

where

- M_i (resp. N_i) is an irreducible self-dual representation of WD_F with sign -1 (resp. $+1$),
- P_j is an irreducible representation of WD_F which is not self-dual,

which are pairwise inequivalent, and V_i, W_i and U_j are multiplicity spaces, then the bilinear form on N gives a non-degenerate bilinear form on V_i (resp. W_i) of sign $+1$ (resp. -1). Moreover, we have

$$C_\phi \cong \prod_{i \in I^+} \mathrm{O}(V_i) \times \prod_{i \in I^-} \mathrm{Sp}(W_i) \times \prod_{j \in J} \mathrm{GL}(U_j).$$

For a semi-simple element $a \in C_\phi$, we denote by N^a the (-1)-eigenspace of a on N. Then N^a gives an orthogonal representation of WD_F. If $a_i \in \mathrm{O}(V_i) \setminus \mathrm{SO}(V_i)$, then $N^{a_i} = V_i^{a_i} \otimes N_i$. We have

$$A_\phi = \bigoplus_{i \in I^+} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) a_i \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^m,$$

where $m = \#I^+$. We see that A_ϕ^+ is the kernel of the character

$$A_\phi \ni a_i \mapsto (-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(N_i)} \in \{\pm 1\}.$$

Hence we have $A_\phi^+ \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{m-1}$ since some N_i has odd dimension.

By Kottwitz's isomorphism, we have $\#H^1(F, \mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})) = 1$, so that there are no non-trivial pure inner forms of $G = \mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$.

By [2, Theorem 1.5.1 (b)], for $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$, we obtain an L-packet Π_ϕ, which is a finite subset of $\mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$, and a canonical bijection $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_c}\colon \Pi_\phi \to \widehat{A_\phi^+}$ for each Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{w}'_c$ of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$. We extend L-packets for general $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$ as follows. In general, we can write

$$\phi = \phi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \phi_r \oplus \phi_0 \oplus \phi_r^\vee \oplus \cdots \oplus \phi_1^\vee,$$

where

- ϕ_i is a k_i-dimensional representation of WD_F for $i = 1, \dots, r$, which is of the form $\phi_i = \phi'_i \otimes |\cdot|_F^{s_i}$ for some tempered representation ϕ'_i of WD_F and some real number s_i such that
$$s_1 > \cdots > s_r > 0, \quad n = n_0 + (k_1 + \cdots + k_r);$$
- $\phi_0 \in \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n_0}))$ if $n_0 \geq 1$, and $\phi_0 = \mathbf{1}$ if $n_0 = 0$.

We write

$$W_{2n} = Y_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Y_r \oplus W_{2n_0} \oplus Y_r^* \oplus \cdots \oplus Y_1^*,$$

where Y_i and Y_i^* are k_i-dimensional totally isotropic subspaces of W_{2n} such that $Y_i \oplus Y_i^*$ is non-degenerate, mutually orthogonal, and orthogonal to W_{2n_0}. Let Q be the parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ stabilizing the flag

$$Y_1 \subset Y_1 \oplus Y_2 \subset \cdots \subset Y_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Y_r$$

and M_Q be the Levi component of Q stabilizing the flag

$$Y_1^* \subset Y_1^* \oplus Y_2^* \subset \cdots \subset Y_1^* \oplus \cdots \oplus Y_r^*,$$

so that

$$M_Q \cong \mathrm{GL}(Y_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}(Y_r) \times \mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n_0}).$$

Then the L-packet Π_ϕ consists of the unique irreducible quotients π of the standard modules

$$\mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})}(\tau_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_r \otimes \pi_0),$$

where τ_i is the irreducible essentially tempered representation of $\mathrm{GL}(Y_i)$ corresponding to ϕ_i for $i = 1, \dots, r$, and π_0 runs over elements in Π_{ϕ_0} if $n_0 > 0$. If $n_0 = 0$, then we ignore π_0. In particular, if $n_0 = 0$, then Π_ϕ is singleton. If $n_0 \geq 1$, then the natural map $A_{\phi_0} \to A_\phi$ is an isomorphism, and we define $\iota(\pi) \in \widehat{A_\phi}$ by

$$\iota(\pi_0) = \iota(\pi)|A_{\phi_0}.$$

Recall that the set of Whittaker data of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ is parametrized by $F^\times/F^{\times 2}$ (once we fix a non-trivial additive character ψ of F). For $c \in F^\times$ and a semi-simple element a in C_ϕ^+, we put $\eta_c(a) \coloneqq \det(N^a)(c)$. By [7, §4], this gives a character η_c of A_ϕ^+. The following proposition is a special case of [19, Theorem 3.3].

Proposition 3.1. *For $c_1, c_2 \in F^\times$, the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Pi_\phi \arrow[r, "\iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_{c_1}}"] \arrow[d, equal] & \widehat{A_\phi^+} \arrow[d, "\cdot \otimes \eta_{c_2/c_1}"] \\
\Pi_\phi \arrow[r, "\iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_{c_2}}"] & \widehat{A_\phi^+}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative.

We decompose $W_{2n} = Y_n \oplus Y_n^*$ as in §2.4. We define $\delta \in \mathrm{GL}(W_{2n})$ by $\delta|Y_n = \mathbf{1}_{Y_n}$ and $\delta|Y_n^* = -\mathbf{1}_{Y_n^*}$. Then $\delta \in \mathrm{GSp}(W_{2n})$ with similitude factor -1, and satisfies

$$\langle \delta w, \delta w' \rangle_{W_{2n}} = \langle w', w \rangle_{W_{2n}}$$

for $w, w' \in W_{2n}$. Hence for $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$, we have $\pi^\vee \cong \pi^\delta$ by [27, Chapter 4. II. 1]. This implies that

$$\iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_c}(\pi^\vee) = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_c}(\pi^\delta) = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_{-c}}(\pi) = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_c}(\pi) \otimes \eta_{-1}.$$

We say that $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$ is discrete if $I^- = J = \emptyset$ and $\dim(V_i) = 1$ for each $i \in I^+$. In this case, C_ϕ^+ is a finite group and Π_ϕ consists of irreducible (unitary) discrete series representations (i.e., square-integrable representations) of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$. If ϕ is a tempered but not discrete parameter of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$, then we can write

$$\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_0 + \phi_1^\vee,$$

where

- ϕ_1 is a k-dimensional irreducible representation of WD_F for some positive integer k,
- $\phi_0 \in \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n_0}))$ if $n_0 = n - k \geq 1$, and $\phi_0 = \mathbf{1}$ if $n_0 = n - k = 0$.

In this case, there is a natural embedding $A_{\phi_0}^+ \hookrightarrow A_\phi^+$, where we put $A_{\phi_0}^+ = \mathbf{1}$ if $n_0 = 0$. We can decompose

$$W_{2n} = Y_k + W_{2n_0} + Y_k^*$$

and define a parabolic subgroup $Q = Q_k = M_Q U_Q$ of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ as in §2.4. Hence,

$$M_Q \cong \mathrm{GL}(Y_k) \times \mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n_0}).$$

Let τ be the irreducible (unitary) discrete series representation of $\mathrm{GL}(Y_k)$ associated to ϕ_1. For $\pi_0 \in \Pi_{\phi_0}$, the induced representation $\mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})}(\tau \otimes \pi_0)$ decomposes to a direct sum of irreducible representations, and

$$\{\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})) \mid \pi \subset \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})}(\tau \otimes \pi_0)\} = \{\pi \in \Pi_\phi \mid \iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_c}(\pi)|A_{\phi_0}^+ = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_c}(\pi_0)\}$$

for any $c \in F^\times$. Here, if $n_0 = 0$, then we ignore π_0 and interpret the right hand side to be Π_ϕ. By using an intertwining operator, we can determine $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_c}(\pi)$ completely. More precisely, see §6.3 below.

Let $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{disc}}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$ (resp. $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$) be the subset of $\widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$ of discrete representations (resp. generic representations). Then we have a sequence

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{disc}}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})) \subset \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})) \subset \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})) \subset \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})).$$

3.5. **Type D_n.** Let $n \geq 1$ and $G = \mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})$ be a quasi-split special orthogonal group. We put $E = F(\sqrt{\mathrm{disc}(V_{2n})})$. Then $\widehat{G} = \mathrm{SO}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ and ${}^LG = \mathrm{SO}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes W_F$. The action of W_F on $\mathrm{SO}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ factors through $W_F \to \mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$. For $\gamma \in W_F$ and $\underline{\phi} \in \Phi(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}))$, we define ${}^{\gamma}\underline{\phi} \in \Phi(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}))$ by ${}^{\gamma}\underline{\phi}(x) = \gamma \cdot \underline{\phi}(x) \cdot \gamma^{-1}$. An L-parameter $\underline{\phi}$ of G gives a self-dual representation $\phi\colon WD_F \to \mathrm{GL}(N)$ with sign $+1$, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(N) = 2n$ and $\det(N) = \chi_{V_{2n}}$. The map $\underline{\phi} \mapsto \phi$ gives a surjective map

$$\Phi(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})) \to \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})) \coloneqq \{\phi\colon WD_F \to \mathrm{O}(N) \mid \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(N) = 2n, \det(N) = \chi_{V_{2n}}\}/\cong.$$

Note that ${}^{\gamma}\underline{\phi}$ and $\underline{\phi}$ give the same self-dual representation. By [7, Theorem 8.1], for $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}))$, the inverse image of ϕ under this map has one or two elements. We say that $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}))$ is ε-invariant if the inverse image of ϕ under this map is singleton. Let $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}))$ be the subset of $\widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}))$ of tempered representations.

We denote the centralizer of $\mathrm{Im}(\phi)$ in $\mathrm{O}(N)$ by C_ϕ and its component group by $A_\phi = C_\phi/C_\phi^\circ$. The centralizer of $\mathrm{Im}(\phi)$ in $\mathrm{SO}(N)$ is denoted by C_ϕ^+. We put $A_\phi^+ = \mathrm{Im}(C_\phi^+ \to A_\phi)$. Then $\pi_0(S_{\underline{\phi}}) \cong A_\phi^+$ if $\underline{\phi} \mapsto \phi$. If

$$N \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I^+}(V_i \otimes N_i) \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in I^-}(W_i \otimes M_i) \oplus \bigoplus_{j \in J}(U_j \otimes (P_j + P_j^\vee))$$

where

- M_i (resp. N_i) is an irreducible self-dual representation of WD_F with sign -1 (resp. $+1$),
- P_j is an irreducible representation of WD_F which is not self-dual,

which are pairwise inequivalent, and V_i, W_i and U_j are multiplicity spaces, then the bilinear form on N gives a non-degenerate bilinear form on V_i (resp. W_i) of sign $+1$ (resp. -1). Note that ϕ is ε-invariant if and only if $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(N_i)$ is odd for some $i \in I^+$ (see [7, Theorem 8.1]). Moreover, we have

$$C_\phi \cong \prod_{i \in I^+} \mathrm{O}(V_i) \times \prod_{i \in I^-} \mathrm{Sp}(W_i) \times \prod_{j \in J} \mathrm{GL}(U_j).$$

For a semi-simple element $a \in C_\phi$, we denote by N^a the (-1)-eigenspace of a on N. Then N^a gives an orthogonal representation of WD_F. If $a_i \in \mathrm{O}(V_i) \setminus \mathrm{SO}(V_i)$, then $N^{a_i} = V_i^{a_i} \otimes N_i$. We have

$$A_\phi = \bigoplus_{i \in I^+}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})a_i \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^m,$$

where $m = \#I^+$. We see that A_ϕ^+ is the kernel of the character

$$A_\phi \ni a_i \mapsto (-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(N_i)}.$$

Hence we have

$$A_\phi^+ \cong \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{m-1} & \text{if } \phi \text{ is } \varepsilon\text{-invariant}, \\ (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^m & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Kottwitz's isomorphism, we have

$$\#H^1(F, \mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \dim(V_{2n}) = 2n = 2 \text{ and } \mathrm{disc}(V_{2n}) = 1, \\ 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence if $n = 1$ and $\mathrm{disc}(V_{2n}) = 1$, then there are no non-trivial pure inner forms of $G = \mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})$. Otherwise, there are exactly two pure inner forms of $G = \mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})$. They are $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})$ and $\mathrm{SO}(V'_{2n})$, where $\dim(V_{2n}) = \dim(V'_{2n}) = 2n$ and $\mathrm{disc}(V_{2n}) = \mathrm{disc}(V'_{2n})$. We see that

$$\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}) \text{ is } \begin{cases} \text{split} & \text{if } \mathrm{disc}(V_{2n}) = 1, \\ \text{quasi-split but not split} & \text{if } \mathrm{disc}(V_{2n}) \neq 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathrm{SO}(V'_{2n}) \text{ is } \begin{cases} \text{not quasi-split} & \text{if } \mathrm{disc}(V'_{2n}) = 1, \\ \text{quasi-split but not split} & \text{if } \mathrm{disc}(V'_{2n}) \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

By [2, Theorem 1.5.1 (b)], for $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}))$, we obtain an L-packet Π^A_ϕ, which is a finite subset of $\mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}))/\sim_\varepsilon$, and a canonical bijection $\iota^A_{\mathfrak{w}_c} \colon \Pi^A_\phi \to \pi_0(C^+_\phi/\{\pm 1\})^\wedge$ for each Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{w}_c$ of $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})$. Moreover, the following are equivalent:

- ϕ is ε-invariant;
- Π_ϕ has an orbit of an ε-invariant element, i.e., an orbit of order one;
- Π_ϕ consists of orbits of an ε-invariant element.

This is the reason why we say that ϕ is ε-invariant. We assume that there are a Vogan L-packet $\Pi_\phi \subset \cup_{V^\bullet_{2n}} \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{SO}(V^\bullet_{2n}))/\sim_\varepsilon$ such that $\Pi_\phi \cap \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}))/\sim_\varepsilon = \Pi^A_\phi$, and an extension $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}_c} \colon \Pi_\phi \to \widehat{A^+_\phi}$ of $\iota^A_{\mathfrak{w}_c}$. Moreover we assume that ϕ is ε-invariant if and only if Π_ϕ consists of orbits of order one. We summarize these results and assumptions in Table 2 below. Here, $[\sigma] \in \Pi_\phi$ with $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V^\bullet_{2n}))$, and $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is an irreducible constituent of $\mathrm{Ind}^{\mathrm{O}(V^\bullet_{2n})}_{\mathrm{SO}(V^\bullet_{2n})}(\sigma)$.

Table 2.

ϕ	ε-invariant	not ε-invariant
$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(N_i)$: odd	contained	not contained
A_ϕ	$A^+_\phi \neq A_\phi$	$A^+_\phi = A_\phi$
order of $[\sigma]$	one	two
σ^ε	$\sigma \cong \sigma^\varepsilon$	$\sigma \not\cong \sigma^\varepsilon$
$\mathrm{Ind}^{\mathrm{O}(V^\bullet_{2n})}_{\mathrm{SO}(V^\bullet_{2n})}(\sigma)$	$\widetilde{\sigma} \oplus (\widetilde{\sigma} \otimes \det)$	irreducible
$\widetilde{\sigma} \otimes \det$	$\widetilde{\sigma} \not\cong \widetilde{\sigma} \otimes \det$	$\widetilde{\sigma} \cong \widetilde{\sigma} \otimes \det$
$\widetilde{\sigma} \vert \mathrm{SO}(V^\bullet_{2n})$	irreducible	$\sigma \oplus \sigma^\varepsilon$

We extend L-packets for general $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}))$ as follows. In general, we can write

$$\phi = \phi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \phi_r \oplus \phi_0 \oplus \phi_r^\vee \oplus \cdots \oplus \phi_1^\vee,$$

where

- ϕ_i is a k_i-dimensional representation of WD_F for $i = 1, \dots, r$, which is of the form $\phi_i = \phi'_i \otimes |\cdot|_F^{s_i}$ for some tempered representation ϕ'_i of WD_F and some real number s_i such that
$$s_1 > \cdots > s_r > 0, \quad n = n_0 + (k_1 + \cdots + k_r);$$
- $\phi_0 \in \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n_0}))$ if $n_0 \geq 1$, and $\phi_0 = 0$ if $n_0 = 0$.

First, we assume that $n_0 > 0$. We write

$$V^\bullet_{2n} = X_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_r \oplus V^\bullet_{2n_0} \oplus X_r^* \oplus \cdots \oplus X_1^*,$$

where X_i and X_i^* are k_i-dimensional totally isotropic subspaces of $V^\bullet_{2n}$ such that $X_i \oplus X_i^*$ is non-degenerate, mutually orthogonal, and orthogonal to $V^\bullet_{2n_0}$. Let P° be the parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(V^\bullet_{2n})$ stabilizing the flag

$$X_1 \subset X_1 \oplus X_2 \subset \cdots \subset X_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_r$$

and M_P° be the Levi component of P° stabilizing the flag

$$X_1^* \subset X_1^* \oplus X_2^* \subset \cdots \subset X_1^* \oplus \cdots \oplus X_r^*,$$

so that

$$M_P^\circ \cong \mathrm{GL}(X_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}(X_r) \times \mathrm{SO}(V^\bullet_{2n_0}).$$

Then the L-packet Π_ϕ consists of the $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^\bullet)$-orbits of the unique irreducible quotients σ of the standard modules

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{P^\circ}^{\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}^\bullet)}(\tau_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_r \otimes \sigma_0),$$

where τ_i is the irreducible essentially tempered representation of $\mathrm{GL}(X_i)$ corresponding to ϕ_i for $i = 1, \dots, r$, and $[\sigma_0]$ runs over elements in Π_{ϕ_0}. Since $V_{2n_0} \neq 0$ and

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{P^\circ}^{\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}^\bullet)}(\tau_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_r \otimes \sigma_0)^\varepsilon \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{P^\circ}^{\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}^\bullet)}(\tau_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_r \otimes \sigma_0^\varepsilon)$$

for $\varepsilon \in \mathrm{O}(V_{2n_0})$, the L-packet Π_ϕ is well-defined. The natural map $A_{\phi_0} \to A_\phi$ is an isomorphism, and we define $\iota(\pi) \in \widehat{A_\phi}$ by

$$\iota(\pi_0) = \iota(\pi)|A_{\phi_0}.$$

If $n_0 = 0$, then $\det(\phi) = \mathbf{1}$, so that $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}))$ where $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})$ is split. In this case, the L-packet Π_ϕ is singleton and the unique element of Π_ϕ is the $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n})$-orbit consisting of the unique irreducible quotients σ and σ^ε of the standard modules

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{P^\circ}^{\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})}(\tau_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_r) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Ind}_{P^\circ}^{\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})}(\tau_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_r)^\varepsilon.$$

If $\mathrm{disc}(V_{2n}) = 1$, then the set of Whittaker data of $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})$ is parametrized by $F^\times/F^{\times 2}$. If $\mathrm{disc}(V_{2n}) \neq 1$, then both $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})$ and $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}')$ are quasi-split, and the set

$$\bigcup_{V_{2n}^\bullet} \{\text{Whittaker data of } \mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}^\bullet)\}$$

is parametrized by $F^\times/F^{\times 2}$. Note that $\widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})) = \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}'))$. An element in this set gives a (Vogan) L-packet of $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})$ and one of $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}')$. They are both subsets of $\cup_{V_{2n}^\bullet} \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}^\bullet))/\sim_\varepsilon$. By Appendix A.4, these L-packets coincide. Hence, in each cases, there exists a bijection

$$\iota_{\mathfrak{w}_c} \colon \Pi_\phi \to \widehat{A_\phi^+}$$

for any $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}))$ and $c \in F^\times/F^{\times 2}$. For $c \in F^\times$ and a semi-simple element a in C_ϕ^+, we put $\eta_c(a) := \det(N^a)(c)$. By [7, §4], this gives a character η_c of A_ϕ^+. Then we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *For $c_1, c_2 \in F^\times$, the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Pi_\phi \arrow[r, "\iota_{\mathfrak{w}_{c_1}}"] \arrow[d, equal] & \widehat{A_\phi^+} \arrow[d, "\cdot \otimes \eta_{c_2/c_1}"] \\
\Pi_\phi \arrow[r, "\iota_{\mathfrak{w}_{c_2}}"] & \widehat{A_\phi^+}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative.

The case when both $\mathfrak{w}_{c_1}$ and $\mathfrak{w}_{c_2}$ are Whittaker data of $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})$ is Kaletha's result ([19, Theorem 3.3]). The proof of this proposition is given in Appendix A.5.

We say that $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}))$ is discrete if $I^- = J = \emptyset$ and $\dim(V_i) = 1$ for each $i \in I^+$. In this case, C_ϕ^+ is a finite group and Π_ϕ consists of orbits of irreducible (unitary) discrete series representations (i.e., square-integrable representations) of $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})$. If $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}))$ is tempered but not discrete, then we can write

$$\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_0 + \phi_1^\vee,$$

where

- ϕ_1 is a k-dimensional irreducible representation of WD_F for some positive integer k,
- $\phi_0 \in \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n_0}))$ if $n_0 = n - k \geq 1$, and $\phi_0 = 0$ if $n_0 = n - k = 0$.

First, we assume that $n_0 > 0$. In this case, there is a natural embedding $A_{\phi_0}^+ \hookrightarrow A_\phi^+$. Let $[\sigma_0] \in \Pi_{\phi_0}$ with $\sigma_0 \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n_0}^\bullet))$. We can decompose

$$V_{2n}^\bullet = X_k + V_{2n_0}^\bullet + X_k^*$$

and define a parabolic subgroup $P^\circ = P_k^\circ = M_P^\circ U_P$ of $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}^\bullet)$ as in §2.4. Hence,

$$M_P^\circ \cong \mathrm{GL}(X_k) \times \mathrm{SO}(V_{2n_0}^\bullet).$$

Let τ be the irreducible (unitary) discrete series representation of $\mathrm{GL}(X_k)$ associated to ϕ_1. Then the induced representation $\mathrm{Ind}_{P^\circ}^{\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}^\bullet)}(\tau \otimes \sigma_0)$ decomposes to a direct sum of irreducible representations, and

$$\{[\sigma] \mid \sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}^\bullet)), \sigma \subset \mathrm{Ind}_{P^\circ}^{\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}^\bullet)}(\tau \otimes \sigma_0)\} = \{[\sigma] \in \Pi_\phi \mid \iota_{\mathfrak{w}_c}([\sigma])|A_{\phi_0}^+ = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}_c}([\sigma_0])\}.$$

Note that the left hand side is independent of the choice of a representative σ_0 of $[\sigma_0]$. By using an intertwining operator, we can determine $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}_c}([\sigma])$ completely. More precisely, see §6.3 below. If $n_0 = 0$, then $\det(\phi) = \mathbf{1}$, so that $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}))$ where $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})$ is split. Moreover the induced representation $\mathrm{Ind}_{P^\circ}^{\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})}(\tau)$ decomposes to a direct sum of irreducible representations, and

$$\Pi_\phi = \{[\sigma] \mid \sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})), \sigma \subset \mathrm{Ind}_{P^\circ}^{\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})}(\tau)\}.$$

Let $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{disc}}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}))$ (resp. $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}))$) be the subset of $\widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}))$ of discrete representations (resp. generic representaitons). Then we have a sequence

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{disc}}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})) \subset \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})) \subset \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})) \subset \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})).$$

3.6. **Metaplectic groups.** Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})$ be the metaplectic group, i.e., the non-trivial central extension of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$:

$$1 \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}) \longrightarrow 1.$$

We denote the set of equivalence classes of irreducible genuine representations of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})$ by $\mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n}))$.

We recall some results of Gan–Savin (Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 in [11]).

Theorem 3.3. *Corresponding to the choice of a non-trivial additive character ψ of F, there is a natural bijection given by the theta correspondence:*

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})) \to \coprod \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}^{(1)})),$$

where the union is taken over all the isomorphism classes of orthogonal spaces $V_{2n+1}^{(1)}$ over F with $\dim(V_{2n+1}^{(1)}) = 2n+1$ and $\mathrm{disc}(V_{2n+1}^{(1)}) = 1$.

More precisely, for $\widetilde{\pi} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n}))$, there is a unique $V_{2n+1}^{(1)}$ as above such that $\theta_{\psi, V_{2n+1}^{(1)}, W_{2n}}(\widetilde{\pi})$ is nonzero, in which case the image of $\widetilde{\pi}$ is $\theta(\widetilde{\pi}) = \theta_{\psi, V_{2n+1}^{(1)}, W_{2n}}(\widetilde{\pi})|\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}^{(1)}) \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}^{(1)}))$.

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose that the local Langlands conjecture holds for $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})$ with $\dim(V_{2n+1}) = 2n+1$ and $\mathrm{disc}(V_{2n+1}) = 1$. Then one has a surjection (depending on ψ)*

$$\mathcal{L}_\psi \colon \mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})) \to \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})).$$

For $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}))$, we denote by Π_ϕ the inverse image of ϕ under this map, and call Π_ϕ the L-packet of ϕ. Moreover, the composition of $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}$ and theta lifts gives a bijection (depending on ψ) of

$$\iota_\psi \colon \Pi_\phi \to \widehat{A_\phi}.$$

We put $\Phi(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})) := \Phi(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}))$ and we call $\underline{\phi} \in \Phi(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n}))$ an L-parameter of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})$. This is also an L-parameter of $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})$. However we use

$$\widetilde{\Phi}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})) = \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})) = \{\, WD_F \to \mathrm{Sp}(M) \mid \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M) = 2n\}/\cong$$

in this paper. Note that there is a bijection $\Phi(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})) \to \widetilde{\Phi}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n}))$. We regard $\mathcal{L}_\psi$ as a map

$$\mathcal{L}_\psi \colon \mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})) \to \widetilde{\Phi}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})).$$

By [11, Theorem 12.1], we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5. *For $\widetilde{\pi} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n}))$ and $c \in F^\times$, we put $(\mathcal{L}_\psi(\widetilde{\pi}), \iota_\psi(\widetilde{\pi})) = (\phi, \eta)$ and $(\mathcal{L}_{\psi_c}(\widetilde{\pi}), \iota_{\psi_c}(\widetilde{\pi})) = (\phi_c, \eta_c)$, where $\phi\colon WD_F \to \mathrm{Sp}(M)$ is a symplectic representation of WD_F. Then the following hold.*

(1) *$\phi_c = \phi \otimes \chi_c$, where χ_c is the quadratic character associated to $c \in F^\times/F^{\times 2}$. In particular, we have a canonical identification $A_\phi = A_{\phi_c}$.*

(2) *The characters η and η_c are related by*
$$\eta_c(a)/\eta(a) = \varepsilon(M^a)\varepsilon(M^a \otimes \chi_c) \cdot \chi_c(-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M^a)/2} \in \{\pm 1\}$$
for $a \in A_\phi = A_{\phi_c}$.

By the above theorem, we have a parametrization of $\mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n}))$ using $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}))$ with $\mathrm{disc}(V_{2n+1}) = c$ as follows.

Corollary 3.6. *Suppose that the local Langlands conjecture holds for $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})$ with $\dim(V_{2n+1}) = 2n+1$ and $\mathrm{disc}(V_{2n+1}) = 1$. We fix $c \in F^\times$.*

(1) *Corresponding to the choice of a non-trivial additive character ψ of F, there is a natural bijection*
$$\theta_\psi \colon \mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})) \to \coprod \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}^{(c)}))$$
where the union is taken over all the isomorphism classes of orthogonal spaces $V_{2n+1}^{(c)}$ over F with $\dim(V_{2n+1}^{(c)}) = 2n+1$ and $\mathrm{disc}(V_{2n+1}^{(c)}) = c$.

More precisely, for $\widetilde{\pi} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n}))$, there is a unique $V_{2n+1}^{(c)}$ as above such that $\theta_{\psi, V_{2n+1}^{(c)}, W_{2n}}(\widetilde{\pi})$ is nonzero, in which case the image of $\widetilde{\pi}$ is $\theta_\psi(\widetilde{\pi}) = \theta_{\psi, V_{2n+1}^{(c)}, W_{2n}}(\widetilde{\pi}) | \mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}^{(c)}) \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}^{(c)}))$.

(2) *The diagram*
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W)) \arrow[r, "\theta_\psi"] \arrow[d, "\mathcal{L}_\psi"'] & \coprod \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}^{(c)})) \arrow[d] \\
\widetilde{\Phi}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})) \arrow[r, "\cdot \otimes \chi_c"] & \widetilde{\Phi}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})) = \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}))
\end{tikzcd}$$
is commutative, where the bottom arrow is given by $\phi \mapsto \phi_c = \phi \otimes \chi_c$. Moreover, for $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n}))$ with $\Pi_\phi \subset \mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W))$ and $\Pi_{\phi_c} \subset \coprod \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}^{(c)}))$, the diagram
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Pi_\phi \arrow[r, "\theta_\psi"] \arrow[d, "\iota_\psi"'] & \Pi_{\phi_c} \arrow[d, "\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}"] \\
\widehat{A_\phi} \arrow[r] & \widehat{A_\phi} = \widehat{A_{\phi_c}}
\end{tikzcd}$$
is commutative, where the bottom arrow is given by $\eta \mapsto \eta_c$ as in the above theorem.

Proof. Let $(V_{2n+1}^{(c)}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_c)$ be an orthogonal space with $\mathrm{disc}(V_{2n+1}^{(c)}) = c$. We consider a new orthogonal space $(V_{2n+1}^{(1)}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_1)$ defined by $V_{2n+1}^{(c)} = V_{2n+1}^{(1)}$ as vector spaces, and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_1 = c^{-1}\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_c$. Then we have $\mathrm{disc}(V_{2n+1}^{(1)}) = c^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{disc}(V_{2n+1}^{(c)}) = 1$ in $F^\times/F^{\times 2}$. Moreover by explicit formulas of the Weil representations, we have
$$\omega_{\psi, V_{2n+1}^{(c)}, W_{2n}} = \omega_{\psi_c, V_{2n+1}^{(1)}, W_{2n}}.$$
So the first assertion follows from Theorem 3.3. In addition, the bottom arrows in the diagrams of the second assertion are $\mathcal{L}_{\psi_c} \circ \mathcal{L}_\psi^{-1}$ and $\iota_{\psi_c} \circ \iota_\psi^{-1}$, respectively. Hence the second assertion follows from Theorem 3.5. □

To parametrize $\mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n}))$, we use $\mathcal{L}_\psi$ and ι_ψ. We say that $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n}))$ is generic (resp. tempered) if so is ϕ as an element in $\widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}))$. We put $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})) = \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}))$ and $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})) = \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}))$.

As in §2, we can define a parabolic subgroup $\widetilde{Q}$ of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})$ with the Levi subgroup isomorphic to $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_{n_1}(F) \times_{\{\pm1\}} \cdots \times_{\{\pm1\}} \widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_{n_r}(F) \times \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n_0})$ with $n_1 + \cdots + n_r + n_0 = n$, and a Borel subgroup $\widetilde{B'} = \widetilde{T'}U'$ of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})$. Here, $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_n(F)$ is a double cover of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, $B' = T'U'$ is the Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ defined in §2 and $\widetilde{B'}$ is the inverse image of B' in $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})$. More precisely, see [11, §2]. Let μ'_c be the generic character of U' defined in §2. We say that $\widetilde{\pi} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n}))$ is $\mathfrak{w}'_c$-generic if $\mathrm{Hom}_{U'}(\widetilde{\pi}, \mu'_c) \neq 0$. The following proposition is a part of Theorem 1.3 (and Theorem 8.1) in [11].

Proposition 3.7. *Let* $V = V_{2n+1}$ *be an orthogonal space over* F *with* $\dim(V) = 2n+1$ *and* $\mathrm{disc}(V) = 1$, *and* $W = W_{2n}$ *be a symplectic space over* F *with* $\dim(W) = 2n$. *For* $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V))$, *by Theorem* 3.3, *we can take a unique extension* $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V))$ *of* σ *such that* $\Theta_{\psi,V,W}(\widetilde{\sigma})$ *is nonzero, so that* $\theta_{\psi,V,W}(\widetilde{\sigma}) \in \mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W))$.

1. *The representation* σ *is tempered if and only if* $\Theta_{\psi,V,W}(\widetilde{\sigma})$ *is irreducible and tempered. In particular, in this case we have* $\Theta_{\psi,V,W}(\widetilde{\sigma}) = \theta_{\psi,V,W}(\widetilde{\sigma})$.
2. *If* $\widetilde{\sigma}$ *is the unique irreducible quotient of*
$$\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_1|\det|_F^{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_r|\det|_F^{s_r} \otimes \widetilde{\sigma}_0),$$
where P *is a parabolic subgroup of* $\mathrm{O}(V)$ *with the Levi subgroup isomorphic to* $\mathrm{GL}_{n_1}(F) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_r}(F) \times \mathrm{O}(V_0)$, $\tau_i \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(F))$, $\widetilde{\sigma}_0 \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{O}(V_0))$, *and* $s_1 > \cdots > s_r > 0$. *Then we have*
$$\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{Q}}^{\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W)}(\tau_1|\det|_F^{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_r|\det|_F^{s_r} \otimes \Theta_{\psi,V_0,W_0}(\widetilde{\sigma}_0)) \twoheadrightarrow \Theta_{\psi,V,W}(\widetilde{\sigma}),$$
where $\widetilde{Q}$ *is the parabolic subgroup of* $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W)$ *with the Levi subgroup isomorphic to* $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_{n_1}(F) \times_{\{\pm1\}} \cdots \times_{\{\pm1\}} \widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_{n_r}(F) \times \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_0)$ *and* $\dim(V_0) = \dim(W_0) + 1$. *In particular,* $\theta_{\psi,V,W}(\widetilde{\sigma})$ *is the unique irreducible quotient of the standard module* $\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{Q}}^{\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W)}(\tau_1|\det|_F^{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_r|\det|_F^{s_r} \otimes \Theta_{\psi,V_0,W_0}(\widetilde{\sigma}_0))$.
3. *If* σ *is* $\mathfrak{w}$*-generic, then* $\theta_{\psi,V,W}(\widetilde{\sigma})$ *is* $\mathfrak{w}'_1$*-generic.*

Let $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n}))$ and $c \in F^\times$. Then $\phi \otimes \chi_c \in \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}))$ by (GPR) for $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})$. For the generic representation $\sigma \in \Pi_{\phi\otimes\chi_c}$, by Proposition 3.7, the theta lift $\theta_{\psi_c,V_{2n+1},W_{2n}}(\widetilde{\sigma})$ is $\mathfrak{w}'_c$-generic (if it is nonzero), where $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is an extension of σ to $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n+1})$. By Theorem 3.5, we have $\theta_{\psi_c,V_{2n+1},W_{2n}}(\widetilde{\sigma}) \in \Pi_\phi$. Therefore, by Proposition 3.7, for $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n}))$, we conclude that

- if ϕ is generic, then Π_ϕ has a $\mathfrak{w}'_c$-generic representation of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})$ for each $c \in F^\times$;
- if ϕ is tempered, then Π_ϕ consists of tempered representations of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})$.

Note that even if ϕ is not generic, Π_ϕ may have a generic representation of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})$.

Example 3.8. *We set* $n = 1$. *The Weil representation* ω_ψ *of* $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_2) = \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(F)$ *decomposes*
$$\omega_\psi = \omega_\psi^e \oplus \omega_\psi^o$$
into a sum of two irreducible representations, called even and odd Weil representations. More precisely, see [12]. *We consider the even Weil representation* ω_ψ^e. *Note that* $\theta_{\psi,V_3,W_2}(\omega_\psi^e)|\mathrm{SO}(V_3)$ *is the trivial representation* $\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{SO}(V_3)}$ *of the split group* $\mathrm{SO}(V_3)$. *Hence the element in* $\widetilde{\Phi}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_2))$ *associated to* ω_ψ^e *is* $\phi^e = |\cdot|_F^{1/2} \oplus |\cdot|_F^{-1/2}$. *Since* $\mathrm{Ad} \circ \phi^e = |\cdot|_F^1 \oplus \mathbf{1} \oplus |\cdot|_F^{-1}$, *we see that* ϕ^e *is not generic. However, by calculating the (twisted) Jacquet modules of* ω_ψ^e, *we see that* ω_ψ^e *is* $\mathfrak{w}'_1$*-generic but not* $\mathfrak{w}'_c$*-generic for any* $c \in F^\times \setminus F^{\times 2}$. *We conclude that*

- $\Pi_{\phi^e} \subset \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V_3))$ *has no generic representations of* $\mathrm{SO}(V_3)$;
- $\Pi_{\phi^e} \subset \mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_2))$ *has a* $\mathfrak{w}'_1$*-generic representation of* $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_2)$ *but does not have* $\mathfrak{w}'_c$*-generic ones for any* $c \in F^\times \setminus F^{\times 2}$.

In this paper, we assume that if the unique irreducible quotient of a standard module of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})$ belongs to a generic L-packet, then this standard module is irreducible. This is the assumption (IS) in §1. Note that the analogous property for symplectic and special orthogonal groups was proven by [28, p.40 Théorème (i)]. This assumption (IS) and Proposition 3.7 imply that if $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}))$ belongs to a generic L-packet and $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is an extension of σ to $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n+1})$ such that $\Theta_{\psi,V_{2n+1},W_{2n}}(\widetilde{\sigma})$ is nonzero, then the big theta lift $\Theta_{\psi,V_{2n+1},W_{2n}}(\widetilde{\sigma})$ is irreducible.

Let $(V, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ be an orthogonal space with $\dim(V) = 2n+1$ and $\mathrm{disc}(V) = c$. We define a new orthogonal space $-V$ by $(V, -\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$. Then by [11, Lemma 3.2], for $\tau \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V))$ with $\theta_{\psi,V,W}(\tau) \neq 0$, we have
$$\theta_{\psi,V,W}(\tau)^\vee \cong \theta_{\psi,-V,W}(\tau^\vee) \cong \theta_{\psi_{-1},V,W}(\tau).$$

We put $\widetilde{\pi} = \theta_{\psi,V,W}(\tau)$. Let $\phi_\tau \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V))$ be a representation of WD_F associated to $\tau|\mathrm{SO}(V)$. We put $\eta_\tau = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}}(\tau|\mathrm{SO}(V))$, $\phi = \mathcal{L}_\psi(\widetilde{\pi}^\vee)$ and $\eta = \iota_\psi(\widetilde{\pi}^\vee)$. Then by Corollary 3.6, we have

$$\phi_\tau = \phi \otimes \chi_{-c} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_\tau = \eta_{-c},$$

where η_{-c} is the character defined in Theorem 3.5.

4. Some conjectures

In this section, we explicate the statements of the local (Gan–)Gross–Prasad conjecture for the orthogonal case and the symplectic-metaplectic case as well as Prasad's conjectures on the local theta correspondence for $(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}), \mathrm{O}(V_{2m}))$ with

$$|2m - (2n+1)| = 1.$$

4.1. **The local Gross–Prasad conjecture.**

In this subsection, we state the local Gross–Prasad conjecture (local Gan–Gross–Prasad conjecture for the orthogonal case) proven by Waldspurger [37], [38], [40], [41] and Mœglin–Waldspurger [28].

Let V be an orthogonal space over F and V' be a non-degenerate subspace of V with codimension one. Assume that both $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ and $\mathrm{SO}(V')$ are quasi-split. Let V^{even} and V^{odd} be in $\{V, V'\}$ such that $\dim(V^{\mathrm{even}}) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ and $\dim(V^{\mathrm{odd}}) \notin 2\mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\phi_M \colon WD_F \to \mathrm{Sp}(M)$ and $\phi_N \colon WD_F \to \mathrm{O}(N)$ be elements in $\widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V^{\mathrm{odd}}))$ and $\widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V^{\mathrm{even}}))$, respectively. Following [7, §6], for semi-simple elements $a \in C_M$ and $b \in C_N^+$, we put

$$\chi_N(a) = \varepsilon(M^a \otimes N) \det(M^a)(-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(N)/2} \det(N)(-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M^a)/2},$$
$$\chi_M(b) = \varepsilon(M \otimes N^b) \det(M)(-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(N^b)/2} \det(N^b)(-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M)/2}.$$

By [7, Theorem 6.2], χ_N and χ_M define characters on A_M and on A_N^+, respectively.

We say that a pure inner form $G_1 = \mathrm{SO}(V_1) \times \mathrm{SO}(V_1')$ of G is relevant if V_1' is a non-degenerate subspace of V_1 and $V_1/V_1' \cong V/V'$ as orthogonal spaces. Then there is a natural embedding $\mathrm{SO}(V_1') \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(V_1)$. Hence we have a diagonal embedding

$$\Delta \colon \mathrm{SO}(V_1') \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(V_1') \times \mathrm{SO}(V_1).$$

The Gan–Gross–Prasad conjecture for the orthogonal case is as follows.

Conjecture 4.1 (B). *Let $\phi_M \colon WD_F \to \mathrm{Sp}(M)$ and $\phi_N \colon WD_F \to \mathrm{O}(N)$ be in $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\mathrm{SO}(V^{\mathrm{odd}}))$ and in $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\mathrm{SO}(V^{\mathrm{even}}))$, respectively. We take $\sigma_M \in \Pi_{\phi_M}$ and $[\sigma_N] \in \Pi_{\phi_N}$ such that $\sigma = \sigma_M \boxtimes \sigma_N$ is a representation of a relevant pure inner form $G_1 = \mathrm{SO}(V_1^{\mathrm{odd}}) \times \mathrm{SO}(V_1^{\mathrm{even}})$ of G. Then one has*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta \mathrm{SO}(V_1')}(\sigma, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0 \Longleftrightarrow \iota_{\mathfrak{w}}(\sigma_M) \times \iota_{\mathfrak{w}_c}([\sigma_N]) = \chi_N \times \chi_M,$$

where $c = -\mathrm{disc}(V^{\mathrm{odd}})/\mathrm{disc}(V^{\mathrm{even}})$.

Let $\varepsilon \in \mathrm{O}(V_1') \setminus \mathrm{SO}(V_1')$. We can extend σ_M to $\widetilde{\sigma}_M \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V_1^{\mathrm{odd}}))$. If $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta \mathrm{SO}(V_1')}(\sigma_M \boxtimes \sigma_N, \mathbb{C})$, then we have $f \circ (\widetilde{\sigma}_M(\varepsilon) \otimes \mathrm{id}) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta \mathrm{SO}(V_1')}(\sigma_M \boxtimes \sigma_N^\varepsilon, \mathbb{C})$. Hence, (B) is independent of the choice of a representative of $[\sigma_N]$.

4.2. **The local Gan–Gross–Prasad conjecture for the symplectic-metaplectic case.**

We fix a non-trivial additive character ψ of F. Let W be a symplectic space over F, and V_1 be an orthogonal space over F with $\dim(V_1) = 1$ and $\mathrm{disc}(V_1) = 1$. Hence there exists $v \in V_1$ such that $\langle v, v \rangle_{V_1} = 2$. Then the space $V_1 \otimes W$ has a symplectic form

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{V_1} \otimes \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_W.$$

Let ω_ψ be the Weil representation of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(V_1 \otimes W) = \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W)$ given by the unique irreducible representation of the Heisenberg group $H(V_1 \otimes W)$ associated to the symplectic space $(V_1 \otimes W, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{V_1} \otimes \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_W) = (W, 2\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_W)$ with the central character ψ.

Let $\phi_M \colon WD_F \to \mathrm{Sp}(M)$ and $\phi_N \colon WD_F \to \mathrm{SO}(N)$ be elements in $\widetilde{\Phi}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W))$ and in $\widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{Sp}(W))$, respectively. We put $N_1 = N \oplus \mathbb{C}$, which is an orthogonal representation of WD_F with even dimension. We may regard A_N^+ as a subgroup of $A_{N_1}^+$. Note that $(A_{N_1}^+ : A_N^+) \leq 2$. Following [7, §6], for semi-simple elements $a \in C_M$ and $b \in C_{N_1}^+$, we put

$$\chi_{N_1}(a) = \varepsilon(M^a \otimes N_1) \det(M^a)(-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(N_1)/2} \det(N_1)(-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M^a)/2},$$
$$\chi_M(b) = \varepsilon(M \otimes N_1^b) \det(M)(-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(N_1^b)/2} \det(N_1^b)(-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M)/2}.$$

By [7, Theorem 6.2], χ_{N_1} and χ_M define characters on A_M and on $A_{N_1}^+$, respectively.

The identity map and the projection map give a diagonal map

$$\Delta \colon \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W) \to \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W) \times \mathrm{Sp}(W).$$

The local Gan–Gross–Prasad conjecture for symplectic-metaplectic case is as follows.

Conjecture 4.2 (FJ). *Let $\phi_M \colon WD_F \to \mathrm{Sp}(M)$ and $\phi_N \colon WD_F \to \mathrm{SO}(N)$ be in $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W))$ and in $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\mathrm{Sp}(W))$, respectively. We denote by $\Pi_{\phi_M} \subset \mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W))$ the inverse image of ϕ_M under the map $\mathcal{L}_\psi$. Then for $\widetilde{\pi} \in \Pi_{\phi_M}$ and $\pi \in \Pi_{\phi_N}$, one predicts*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W)}((\widetilde{\pi} \boxtimes \pi) \otimes \overline{\omega_\psi}, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0 \iff \iota_\psi(\widetilde{\pi}) \times \iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_1}(\pi) = \chi_{N_1} \times \chi_M|_{A_\phi^+}.$$

There is a conjecture for general codimension cases (Conjecture 17.1 and 17.3 in [7]). However, by [7, Theorem 19.1], the general codimension cases are reduced to the basic case (FJ).

4.3. **Prasad's conjectures.** We consider the theta correspondences for $(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}), \mathrm{O}(V_{2n+2}))$ and $(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}), \mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$. Let $V^+ = V_{2m}^+$ be an orthogonal space with $\dim(V_{2m}^+) = 2m$ and type (d, c). We denote by $V^- = V_{2m}^-$ an orthogonal space such that $\dim(V_{2m}^-) = \dim(V_{2m}^+) = 2m$ and $\mathrm{disc}(V_{2m}^-) = \mathrm{disc}(V_{2m}^+)$ but $V_{2m}^- \not\cong V_{2m}^+$. Note that $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2m}^+)$ is quasi-split, and $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2m}^-)$ is the non-trivial pure inner form of $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2m}^+)$ (if V_{2m}^- exists). We put $\chi_V = \chi_{V_{2m}}$.

First, we let $m = n + 1$. The following proposition is a special case of [9, Theorem C.5].

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$ and put*

$$\phi' = (\phi \otimes \chi_V) \oplus \mathbf{1} \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+2}^+)).$$

(1) *If ϕ does not contain χ_V, then*
  - *$\theta_{\psi, V_{2n+2}^\bullet, W_{2n}}(\pi)$ is nonzero for any $\pi \in \Pi_\phi$ and $\bullet = \pm$;*
  - *$\sigma := \theta_{\psi, V_{2n+2}^\bullet, W_{2n}}(\pi)|\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+2}^\bullet)$ is irreducible and $[\sigma] \in \Pi_{\phi'}$;*
  - *the theta correspondence gives a bijection*

$$\theta_{\psi, V_{2n+2}^\bullet, W_{2n}} \colon \Pi_\phi \to \Pi_{\phi'} \cap \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+2}^\bullet)).$$

(2) *If ϕ contains χ_V, then*
  - *exactly one of $\theta_{\psi, V_{2n+2}^+, W_{2n}}(\pi)$ and $\theta_{\psi, V_{2n+2}^-, W_{2n}}(\pi)$ is nonzero for any $\pi \in \Pi_\phi$;*
  - *if $\theta_{\psi, V_{2n+2}^\bullet, W_{2n}}(\pi) \neq 0$, then $\sigma := \theta_{\psi, V_{2n+2}^\bullet, W_{2n}}(\pi)|\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+2}^\bullet)$ is irreducible and $[\sigma] \in \Pi_{\phi'}$;*
  - *the theta correspondence gives a bijection*

$$\theta_{\psi, V_{2n+2}^\bullet, W_{2n}} \colon \Pi_\phi \to \Pi_{\phi'}.$$

There is a canonical injective map

$$A_\phi^+ \to A_{\phi'}^+.$$

It is bijective if and only if ϕ contains χ_V. This map induces a surjection of the character groups

$$\widehat{A_\phi^+} \leftarrow \widehat{A_{\phi'}^+}.$$

The first conjecture of Prasad (P1) predicts the behavior of characters associated to π and $\theta_{\psi, V_{2n+2}^\bullet, W_{2n}}(\pi)$.

Conjecture 4.4 (P1). *Let $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$ and put $\phi' = (\phi \otimes \chi_V) \oplus \mathbf{1} \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+2}^+))$. For $\pi \in \Pi_\phi$, we take $\sigma = \theta_{\psi, V_{2n+2}^\bullet, W_{2n}}(\pi)|\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+2}^\bullet)$ such that $\sigma \neq 0$, so that $[\sigma] \in \Pi_{\phi'}$. Then one predicts*

$$\iota_{\mathfrak{w}_{c_0}}([\sigma])|A_\phi^+ = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_{c_0}}(\pi)$$

for $c_0 \in F^\times$. *Namely, the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Pi_\phi \arrow[r, "\theta_{\psi,V^\bullet_{2n+2},W_{2n}}"] \arrow[d, "\iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_{c_0}}"'] & \Pi_{\phi'} \arrow[d, "\iota_{\mathfrak{w}_{c_0}}"] \\
\widehat{A^+_\phi} & \widehat{A^+_{\phi'}} \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative.

Note that the maps $\theta_{\psi,V^\bullet_{2n+2},W_{2n}}$ and $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_{c_0}}$ depend on the choice of ψ, but the maps $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}_{c_0}}$ and $\widehat{A^+_\phi} \leftarrow \widehat{A^+_{\phi'}}$ do not.

Next, we let $m = n$. The following proposition is a special case of [9, Theorem C.5].

Proposition 4.5. *Let* $\phi' \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V^+_{2n}))$ *and put*

$$\phi = (\phi' \otimes \chi_V) \oplus \chi_V \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})).$$

1. *If* ϕ' *does not contain* $\mathbf{1}$, *then*
   - $\theta_{\psi,V^\bullet_{2n},W_{2n}}(\widetilde{\sigma})$ *is nonzero for any* $[\sigma] \in \Pi_{\phi'}$ *and any irreducible constituent* $\widetilde{\sigma}$ *of* $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{SO}(V^\bullet_{2n})}^{\mathrm{O}(V^\bullet_{2n})}(\sigma)$;
   - $\theta_{\psi,V^\bullet_{2n},W_{2n}}(\widetilde{\sigma})$ *is irreducible and belongs to* Π_ϕ;
   - *the theta correspondence gives a bijection*
   $$\theta_{\psi,V^\bullet_{2n},W_{2n}} : \bigcup_{[\sigma]\in\Pi_{\phi'}} \left\{\widetilde{\sigma} \subset \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{SO}(V^\bullet_{2n})}^{\mathrm{O}(V^\bullet_{2n})}(\sigma)\right\} \longleftrightarrow \Pi_\phi.$$
2. *If* ϕ' *contains* $\mathbf{1}$, *then*
   - *for any* $[\sigma] \in \Pi_{\phi'}$, *there exists a unique extension* $\widetilde{\sigma}$ *to* $\mathrm{O}(V^\bullet_{2n})$ *such that* $\theta_{\psi,V^\bullet_{2n},W_{2n}}(\widetilde{\sigma})$ *is nonzero;*
   - *if* $\theta_{\psi,V^\bullet_{2n},W_{2n}}(\widetilde{\sigma}) \neq 0$, *then* $\theta_{\psi,V^\bullet_{2n},W_{2n}}(\widetilde{\sigma})$ *is irreducible and belongs to* Π_ϕ;
   - *the theta correspondence gives a bijection*
   $$\theta_{\psi,V^\bullet_{2n},W_{2n}} : \Pi_{\phi'} \longleftrightarrow \Pi_\phi.$$

We have two remarks. First, for $[\sigma] \in \Pi_{\phi'}$, the induction $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{SO}(V^\bullet_{2n})}^{\mathrm{O}(V^\bullet_{2n})}(\sigma)$ does not depend on the choice of a representation σ by Lemma 2.3 (1). Second, if ϕ' contains $\mathbf{1}$, then ϕ' is ε-invariant. Hence any $[\sigma] \in \Pi_{\phi'}$ is an orbit of order one, and there are exactly two extensions to $\mathrm{O}(V^\bullet_{2n})$ of σ.

There is a canonical injective map

$$A^+_{\phi'} \to A^+_\phi.$$

It is not bijective if and only if ϕ' is ε-invariant and does not contain $\mathbf{1}$. This map induces a surjection of the character groups

$$\widehat{A^+_{\phi'}} \leftarrow \widehat{A^+_\phi}.$$

We put

$$\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi'} = \bigcup_{[\sigma]\in\Pi_{\phi'}} \left\{\widetilde{\sigma} \subset \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{SO}(V^\bullet_{2n})}^{\mathrm{O}(V^\bullet_{2n})}(\sigma) \mid \theta_{\psi,V^\bullet_{2n},W_{2n}}(\widetilde{\sigma}) \neq 0\right\} \subset \bigcup_{V^\bullet_{2n}} \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V^\bullet_{2n})).$$

If $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is an irreducible constituent of $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{SO}(V^\bullet_{2n})}^{\mathrm{O}(V^\bullet_{2n})}(\sigma)$, then the image of $\widetilde{\sigma}$ under the map

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V)) \to \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V))/\sim_{\det} \xrightarrow{1:1} \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V))/\sim_\varepsilon$$

is $[\sigma]$. Here, the last map is given by the restriction. See §2.5. We define a map $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}_{c_0}} : \widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi'} \to \widehat{A^+_{\phi'}}$ by $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}_{c_0}}(\widetilde{\sigma}) := \iota_{\mathfrak{w}_{c_0}}([\sigma])$ for $c_0 \in F^\times$.

The second conjecture of Prasad (P2) predicts the behavior of characters associated to $\widetilde{\sigma}$ and $\theta_{\psi,V^\bullet_{2n},W_{2n}}(\widetilde{\sigma})$.

Conjecture 4.6 (P2)**.** *Let* $\phi' \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V^+_{2n}))$ *and put* $\phi = (\phi \otimes \chi_V) \oplus \chi_V$. *For* $[\sigma] \in \Pi_{\phi'}$, *we take an irreducible constituent* $\widetilde{\sigma} \subset \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{SO}(V^\bullet_{2n})}^{\mathrm{O}(V^\bullet_{2n})}(\sigma)$ *such that* $\pi = \theta_{\psi,V^\bullet_{2n},W_{2n}}(\widetilde{\sigma})$ *is nonzero, so that* $\pi \in \Pi_\phi$. *Then one predicts*

$$\iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_{c_0}}(\pi)|A^+_{\phi'} = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}_{c_0}}(\widetilde{\sigma}) = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}_{c_0}}([\sigma])$$

for $c_0 \in F^\times$. Namely, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi'} \arrow[r, "\theta_{\psi, V_{2n}^\bullet, W_{2n}}"] \arrow[d, "\iota_{\mathfrak{w}_{c_0}}"'] & \Pi_\phi \arrow[d, "\iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_{c_0}}"] \\
\widehat{A_{\phi'}^+} & \widehat{A_\phi^+} \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative.

Note that the maps $\theta_{\psi, V_{2n}^\bullet, W_{2n}}$ and $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_{c_0}}$ depend on the choice of ψ, but the maps $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}_{c_0}}$ and $\widehat{A_\phi^+} \leftarrow \widehat{A_{\phi'}^+}$ do not.

Remark 4.7. *Conjectures (P1) and (P2) may be slightly different from ones in* [31]. *The difference comes from the choice of the splitting* $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}) \times \mathrm{O}(V_{2m}) \to \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n} \otimes V_{2m})$. *We use the splitting in* [25]. *See also explicit formulas for Weil representations below (Lemmas* 6.2, 6.3 *and* 6.4*).*

In this paper, we show (FJ), (P1) and (P2) under some assumptions.

5. Reductions to (P1) for tempered L-parameters

In this section, we reduce (P1), (P2) and Theorem 1.3 to (P1) for tempered representations. Through this section, we assume (P1) for tempered representations.

5.1. **Some lemmas.** Let $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ and $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+2})$ be quasi-split symplectic and special orthogonal groups, respectively. We put $\chi_V = \chi_{V_{2n+2}}$. To prove Theorem 1.3, we need the following two lemmas:

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$ and put $\phi' = (\phi \otimes \chi_V) \oplus \mathbf{1} \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+2}))$. Then ϕ' is generic if and only if the local twisted L-function $L(s, \phi \otimes \chi_V)$ is regular at $s = 1$.*

Proof. Since $\mathrm{Ad} \circ \phi' = (\mathrm{Ad} \circ \phi) \oplus (\phi \otimes \chi_V)$, we have

$$L(s, \phi', \mathrm{Ad}) = L(s, \phi, \mathrm{Ad}) \cdot L(s, \phi \otimes \chi_V).$$

The assertion follows from this equation and (GPR) for $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ and $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+2})$. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$ and $\pi \in \Pi_\phi$. Assume that $\phi' = (\phi \otimes \chi_V) \oplus \mathbf{1} \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+2}))$ is generic. Then the big theta lift $\Theta_{\psi, V_{2n+2}^\bullet, W_{2n}}(\pi)|\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+2}^\bullet)$ is irreducible (if it is nonzero). Hence,*

$$\Theta_{\psi, V_{2n+2}^\bullet, W_{2n}}(\pi)|\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+2}^\bullet) = \theta_{\psi, V_{2n+2}^\bullet, W_{2n}}(\pi)|\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+2}^\bullet).$$

Proof. If ϕ is tempered, the assertion is in Proposition 2.4. In general, we may write

$$\phi = \phi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \phi_r \oplus \phi_0 \oplus \phi_r^\vee \oplus \cdots \oplus \phi_1^\vee,$$

as in §3.4. Note that the canonical map $A_{\phi_0}^+ \to A_\phi^+$ is an isomorphism. Let $\pi \in \Pi_\phi$. Then π is the unique irreducible quotient of the standard module

$$\mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})}(\tau_1 \chi_V |\det|_F^{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_r \chi_V |\det|_F^{s_r} \otimes \pi_0),$$

where τ_i is the tempered representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{k_i}(F)$ associated to ϕ'_i, and $\pi_0 \in \Pi_{\phi_0}$ with $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_{c_0}}(\pi)|A_{\phi_0}^+ = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_{c_0}}(\pi_0)$. Here, if $n_0 = 0$, then we ignore π_0. By [9, Proposition C.4 (ii)], we have a surjection

$$\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V_{2n+2}^\bullet)}(\tau_1 |\det|_F^{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_r |\det|_F^{s_r} \otimes \Theta_{\psi, V_{2n_0+2}^\bullet, W_{2n_0}}(\pi_0)) \twoheadrightarrow \Theta_{\psi, V_{2n+2}^\bullet, W_{2n}}(\pi).$$

Here, if $n_0 = 0$, then we interpret $\Theta_{\psi, V_{2n_0+2}, W_{2n_0}}(\pi_0)$ to be the trivial representation of $\mathrm{O}(V_2)$. In particular, if $\Theta_{\psi, V_{2n+2}^\bullet, W_{2n}}(\pi)$ is nonzero, then so is $\Theta_{\psi, V_{2n_0+2}^\bullet, W_{2n_0}}(\pi_0)$. By a similar argument to Lemma 2.3 (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V_{2n+2}^\bullet)}(\tau_1 |\det|_F^{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_r |\det|_F^{s_r} \otimes \Theta_{\psi, V_{2n_0+2}^\bullet, W_{2n_0}}(\pi_0))|\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+2}^\bullet) \\
&\cong \mathrm{Ind}_{P^\circ}^{\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+2}^\bullet)}(\tau_1 |\det|_F^{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_r |\det|_F^{s_r} \otimes \Theta_{\psi, V_{2n_0+2}^\bullet, W_{2n_0}}(\pi_0)|\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n_0+2}^\bullet)).
\end{aligned}$$

Since ϕ' is generic, by [28, p. 40 Théorème (i)], this standard module is irreducible. Therefore the quotient $\Theta_{\psi, V_{2n+2}, W_{2n}}(\pi)|\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+2})$ is also irreducible. □

5.2. **Existence of (FJ).** In this subsection, we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 5.3. *Let*

$$\phi_M \colon WD_F \to \mathrm{Sp}(M) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_N \colon WD_F \to \mathrm{SO}(N)$$

be in $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n}))$ *and in* $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$*, respectively. Assume* $(P1)$ *and that*

- *any standard module of* $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})$ *whose unique irreducible quotient is in* Π_{ϕ_M} *is irreducible;*
- $L(s, \phi_N \otimes \chi_d)$ *is regular at* $s = 1$ *for some* $d \in F^\times$.

Then there exist $\widetilde{\pi} \in \Pi_{\phi_M} = \mathcal{L}_\psi^{-1}(\phi_M)$ *and* $\pi \in \Pi_{\phi_N}$ *such that*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})}((\widetilde{\pi} \boxtimes \pi) \otimes \overline{\omega_\psi}, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0.$$

Proof. We consider the following see-saw diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n}) \times_{\{\pm 1\}} \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n}) \arrow[d, dash] \arrow[dr, dash] & \mathrm{O}(V_{2n+2}) \arrow[d, dash] \arrow[dl, dash] \\
\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}) & \mathrm{O}(V_{2n+1}) \times \mathrm{O}(V_1)
\end{tikzcd}$$

with $\mathrm{disc}(V_1) = -1$.

Note that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M) = 2n$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(N) = 2n+1$. We put

$$(\phi_\sigma, N_\sigma) = ((\phi_N \otimes \chi_d) \oplus \mathbf{1}, (N \otimes \chi_d) \oplus \mathbb{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad (\phi_\tau, M_\tau) = (\phi_M \otimes \chi_d, M \otimes \chi_d).$$

Then ϕ_σ is an ε-invariant element in $\widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+2}))$ with $\mathrm{disc}(V_{2n+2}) = d$, and $\phi_\tau \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}))$ with $\mathrm{disc}(V_{2n+1}) = d$. Moreover, by Lemma 5.1 and (GPR) for $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})$, we see that ϕ_σ and ϕ_τ are generic. By (B), there are a pair of orthogonal spaces $V_{2n+1} \subset V_{2n+2}$, and $[\sigma] \in \Pi_{\phi_\sigma} \cap \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+2}))/\sim_\varepsilon$, $\tau \in \Pi_{\phi_\tau} \cap \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}))$ such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})}(\sigma \otimes \tau, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0.$$

If we denote the orthogonal complement of V_{2n+1} in V_{2n+2} by V_1, then we have

$$\mathrm{disc}(V_1) = -\mathrm{disc}(V_{2n+2})/\mathrm{disc}(V_{2n+1}) = -1.$$

By Proposition 4.3 and (the proof of) Lemma 5.2, we can find $\pi \in \Pi_{\phi_N}$ such that

$$\Theta_{\psi, V_{2n+2}, W_{2n}}(\pi) | \mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+2}) = \sigma.$$

We claim that there exists an extension $\tau_\bullet$ of τ to $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n+1})$ such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta\mathrm{O}(V_{2n+1})}(\Theta_{\psi, V_{2n+2}, W_{2n}}(\pi) \otimes \tau_\bullet, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0.$$

Let $\varepsilon = -1$ be the non-trivial element in the center of $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n+1})$, so that $\varepsilon \notin \mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})$. Then there exist two extensions τ_+ and τ_- of τ to $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n+1})$ such that $\tau_\pm(\varepsilon) = \pm 1$. For $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})}(\sigma \otimes \tau, \mathbb{C})$ with $f \neq 0$, we put

$$f_\pm = f \circ (\Theta_{\psi, V_{2n+2}, W_{2n}}(\pi)(\varepsilon) \otimes \tau_\pm(\varepsilon)).$$

We see that

$$f_\pm \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})}(\sigma \otimes \tau, \mathbb{C}).$$

Moreover we have $(f_\pm)_\pm = f$ and $f_- = -f_+$. Since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})}(\sigma \otimes \tau, \mathbb{C}) = 1$ by [1] and [39], we have $f_\pm = a_\pm \cdot f$ for some $a_\pm \in \{\pm 1\}$. If $f_\bullet = f$, then $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta\mathrm{O}(V_{2n+1})}(\Theta_{\psi, V_{2n+2}, W_{2n}}(\pi) \otimes \tau_\bullet, \mathbb{C})$. Hence this Hom space is nonzero.

By the see-saw identity, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})}(\Theta_{\psi, V_{2n+1}, W_{2n}}(\tau_\bullet) \otimes \omega_{-\psi}, \pi) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(V_{2n+1})}(\Theta_{\psi, V_{2n+2}, W_{2n}}(\pi), \tau_\bullet) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta\mathrm{O}(V_{2n+1})}(\Theta_{\psi, V_{2n+2}, W_{2n}}(\pi) \otimes \tau_\bullet, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $\tau_\bullet^\vee \cong \tau_\bullet$.

Putting $\widetilde{\pi} \coloneqq \Theta_{\psi, V_{2n+1}, W_{2n}}(\tau_\bullet)$, we see that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})}(\pi^\vee \otimes \widetilde{\pi} \otimes \overline{\omega_\psi}, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0.$$

Note that $\pi \in \Pi_{\phi_N}$ implies that $\pi^\vee \in \Pi_{\phi_N}$. By the assumption of standard modules and a remark in §3.6, we see that $\widetilde{\pi}$ is irreducible. Moreover, by Corollary 3.6, we have $\widetilde{\pi} \in \Pi_{\phi_M}$. This completes the proof. $\square$

5.3. **Uniqueness of (FJ).** In this subsection, we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 5.4. *Let ϕ_M and ϕ_N be as in Proposition 5.3. Assume (P1) and the second condition of Proposition 5.3. Let $\widetilde{\pi} \in \Pi_{\phi_M} = \mathcal{L}_\psi^{-1}(\phi_M)$ and $\pi \in \Pi_{\phi_N}$. If they satisfy*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})}((\widetilde{\pi} \boxtimes \pi) \otimes \overline{\omega_\psi}, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0,$$

then we have

$$\eta_{\widetilde{\pi}} \times \eta_\pi = \chi_{N_1} \times \chi_M | A_\phi^+,$$

where we put $\eta_{\widetilde{\pi}} = \iota_\psi(\widetilde{\pi})$ and $\eta_\pi = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_1}(\pi)$.

Proof. Note that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(W_{2n})}((\widetilde{\pi} \boxtimes \pi) \otimes \overline{\omega_\psi}, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0 \iff \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})}(\widetilde{\pi} \otimes \omega_{-\psi}, \pi^\vee) \neq 0.$$

There exists a unique orthogonal space V_{2n+1} such that $\dim(V_{2n+1}) = 2n+1$, $\mathrm{disc}(V_{2n+1}) = d$ and

$$\theta_{\psi, V_{2n+1}, W_{2n}}(\tau) = \widetilde{\pi}$$

for some $\tau \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n+1}))$. Let $\phi_\tau \colon WD_F \to \mathrm{Sp}(M_\tau)$ be the element in $\widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}))$ associated to $\tau|\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})$ and $\eta_\tau = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}}(\tau|\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})) \in \widehat{A_{\phi_\tau}}$. Then by Corollary 3.6, we have

$$M_\tau = M \otimes \chi_d, \quad \eta_\tau(a) = \eta_{\widetilde{\pi}}(a)\varepsilon(M^a)\varepsilon(M^a \otimes \chi_d)\chi_d(-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M^a)/2}.$$

Let $V_{2n+2} = V_{2n+1} \oplus V_1$ with $\dim(V_1) = 1$ and $\mathrm{disc}(V_1) = -1$. Then we have $\dim(V_{2n+2}) = 2n+2$ and $\mathrm{disc}(V_{2n+2}) = -\mathrm{disc}(V_{2n+1}) \cdot \mathrm{disc}(V_1) = d$. By the see-saw argument, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\neq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})}(\widetilde{\pi} \otimes \omega_{-\psi}, \pi^\vee) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(V_{2n+1})}(\Theta_{\psi, V_{2n+2}, W_{2n}}(\pi^\vee), \tau) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta\mathrm{O}(V_{2n+1})}(\Theta_{\psi, V_{2n+2}, W_{2n}}(\pi^\vee) \otimes \tau, \mathbb{C}) \\ &\subset \mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})}(\Theta_{\psi, V_{2n+2}, W_{2n}}(\pi^\vee) \otimes \tau, \mathbb{C}) \end{aligned}$$

since $\tau^\vee \cong \tau$. We write $\widetilde{\sigma} = \Theta_{\psi, V_{2n+2}, W_{2n}}(\pi^\vee)$. Since $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta\mathrm{O}(V_{2n+1})}(\widetilde{\sigma} \otimes \tau, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$, we have $\widetilde{\sigma} \neq 0$, and so that $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n+2}))$ by Lemma 5.1 and 5.2. Let $\phi_\sigma \colon WD_F \to \mathrm{O}(N_\sigma)$ be the element in $\widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+2}))$ associated to $[\sigma]$ and put $\eta_\sigma = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}_1}([\sigma]) \in \widehat{A_{\phi_\sigma}^+}$, where $\sigma := \widetilde{\sigma}|\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+2}) \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+2}))$. Then by (P1), we have

$$N_\sigma = (N \otimes \chi_d) \oplus \mathbb{C} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_\sigma | A_{\phi_N}^+ = \eta_{\pi^\vee},$$

where we put $\eta_{\pi^\vee} = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_1}(\pi^\vee)$.

By (GPR) for $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})$ and Lemma 5.1, we see that $\phi_\tau \in \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}))$ and $\phi_\sigma \in \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+2}))$. Since $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})}(\sigma \otimes \tau, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$ and $-\mathrm{disc}(V_{2n+1})/\mathrm{disc}(V_{2n+2}) = -1$, by (B), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_\tau(a) \cdot \iota_{\mathfrak{w}_{-1}}([\sigma])(b) = {} & \varepsilon(M_\tau^a \otimes N_\sigma) \det(M_\tau^a)(-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(N_\sigma)/2} \det(N_\sigma)(-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M_\tau^a)/2} \\ & \times \varepsilon(M_\tau \otimes N_\sigma^b) \det(M_\tau)(-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(N_\sigma^b)/2} \det(N_\sigma^b)(-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M_\tau)/2} \end{aligned}$$

for $a \in A_{\phi_\tau}$ and $b \in A_{\phi_\sigma}^+$.

Now, for $a \in A_{\phi_M} = A_{\phi_\tau}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(M_\tau^a \otimes N_\sigma) &= \varepsilon((M^a \otimes \chi_d) \otimes ((N \otimes \chi_d) \oplus \mathbb{C})) = \varepsilon(M^a \otimes N)\varepsilon(M^a \otimes \chi_d) \\ &= \varepsilon(M^a \otimes N_1)\varepsilon(M^a)\varepsilon(M^a \otimes \chi_d), \\ \det(M_\tau^a) &= \det(M^a) = 1, \quad \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M_\tau^a) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M^a). \end{aligned}$$

For $b \in A_{\phi_N}^+ \subset A_{\phi_\sigma}^+$, we have $N_\sigma^b = N^b \otimes \chi_d$ and $N_1^b = N^b$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(M_\tau \otimes N_\sigma^b) &= \varepsilon((M \otimes \chi_d) \otimes (N^b \otimes \chi_d)) = \varepsilon(M \otimes N_1^b), \\ \det(N_\sigma^b) &= \det(N^b) = \det(N_1^b), \quad \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(N_\sigma^b) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(N_1^b). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover we have

$$\det(N_\sigma) = \det(N)\chi_d = \det(N_1)\chi_{-d}, \quad \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(N_\sigma) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(N) + 1 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(N_1).$$

Finally, by Proposition 3.2 and a remark after Proposition 3.1, we have

$$\iota_{\mathfrak{w}_{-1}}([\sigma])(b) = \eta_\sigma(b)\det(N_\sigma^b)(-1) = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_1}(\pi^\vee)(b)\det(N^b)(-1) = \eta_\pi(b).$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\eta_{\widetilde{\pi}}(a)\varepsilon(M^a)\varepsilon(M^a\otimes\chi_d)\chi_d(-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M^a)/2})\cdot\eta_\pi(b)\\
&= (\varepsilon(M^a\otimes N_1)\varepsilon(M^a)\varepsilon(M^a\otimes\chi_d))\det(M^a)(-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(N_1)/2}(\det(N_1)\chi_d)(-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M^a)/2}\\
&\times\varepsilon(M\otimes N_1^b)\det(M)(-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(N_1^b)/2}\det(N_1^b)(-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M)/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

This gives the desired equation for $\eta_{\widetilde{\pi}}(a)\eta_\pi(b)$. □

5.4. **(P1) and (P2) for tempered cases ⇒ those for general cases.** Obviously, (P1) (resp. (P2)) is true for $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$ (resp. $\phi' \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}))$) such that $A_\phi^+ = 1$ (resp. $A_{\phi'}^+ = 1$). Therefore, (P1) (resp. (P2)) for $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})) \setminus \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$ (resp. $\phi' \in \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})) \setminus \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}))$) follows from the tempered cases and the compatibility of the local Langlands correspondences, the Langlands quotients and the local theta correspondences. See §3.4, §3.5 and [9, Proposition C.4 (ii)].

5.5. **Proof of (P2) for tempered cases.** In this subsection, we show that (P1) implies (P2).

Let $V = V_{2n}$ and $W = W_{2n}$. We put $d = \mathrm{disc}(V)$ so that $\chi_V = \chi_d$. Let $\phi' \in \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{SO}(V))$ and put

$$\phi = (\phi'\otimes\chi_d)\oplus\chi_d \in \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{Sp}(W)).$$

Let $[\sigma] \in \Pi_{\phi'}$. Take an irreducible constituent $\widetilde{\sigma}$ of $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{SO}(V^\bullet)}^{\mathrm{O}(V^\bullet)}(\sigma)$ such that the theta lift $\pi = \Theta_{\psi,V^\bullet,W}(\widetilde{\sigma})$ is nonzero, so that $\pi \in \Pi_\phi$. Fix $c_0 \in F^\times$. We have to show that

$$\iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_{c_0}}(\pi)|A_{\phi'}^+ = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}_{c_0}}([\sigma]).$$

We define an orthogonal space $V_1^\bullet$ by $V_1^\bullet = Fe \oplus V^\bullet \oplus Fe^*$ equipped with the pairing $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{V_1^\bullet}$ which is an extension of $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{V^\bullet}$ and satisfies $\langle e,e\rangle_{V_1^\bullet} = \langle e^*,e^*\rangle_{V_1^\bullet} = 0$ and $\langle e,e^*\rangle_{V_1^\bullet} = 1$. Consider the theta correspondence for $(\mathrm{Sp}(W), \mathrm{O}(V_1^\bullet))$. Let $\omega = \omega_{\psi,V^\bullet,W}$ and $\omega_1 = \omega_{\psi,V_1^\bullet,W}$ be Weil representations of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)\times\mathrm{O}(V^\bullet)$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(W)\times\mathrm{O}(V_1^\bullet)$, respectively. Let $P = M_PU_P$ be the maximal parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(V_1^\bullet)$ stabilizing Fe, where M_P is the Levi component of P stabilizing Fe^*. Note that $M_P \cong \mathrm{O}(V^\bullet)\times\mathrm{GL}(1,F)$. By a formula in §6.2 below, we see that there exists a surjective $\mathrm{Sp}(W)\times\mathrm{O}(V^\bullet)\times\mathrm{GL}(1,F)$-homomorphism

$$\omega_1 \to \omega\boxtimes|\cdot|_F^n.$$

This implies that if $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{Sp}(W))$ participates in the theta correspondence with $\mathrm{O}(V^\bullet)$, then so does in the theta correspondence with $\mathrm{O}(V_1^\bullet)$.

We put $\widetilde{\sigma}_1 = \Theta_{\psi,V_1^\bullet,W}(\pi)$ to be the theta lift to $\mathrm{O}(V_1^\bullet)$ and $\sigma_1 = \widetilde{\sigma}_1|\mathrm{SO}(V_1^\bullet)$. As we have seen, this is nonzero, so that σ_1 is irreducible by Proposition 2.4 and 4.3. There exists an exact sequence of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)\times\mathrm{O}(V_1^\bullet)$-modules:

$$\begin{tikzcd} 1 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{S}_1[\pi] \arrow[r] & \omega_1 \arrow[r] & \pi\boxtimes\widetilde{\sigma}_1 \arrow[r] & 1, \end{tikzcd}$$

where the kernel $\mathcal{S}_1[\pi]$ is given by

$$\mathcal{S}_1[\pi] = \bigcap_{f\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(\omega_1,\pi)} \ker(f).$$

On the other hand, there exists a surjective $\mathrm{Sp}(W)\times\mathrm{O}(V^\bullet)\times\mathrm{GL}(1,F)$-homomorphism

$$\omega_1 \to \omega\boxtimes|\cdot|_F^n \to \pi\boxtimes\widetilde{\sigma}\boxtimes|\cdot|_F^n.$$

Since this map kills $\mathcal{S}_1[\pi]$, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[d] & & \\
\mathcal{S}_1[\pi] \arrow[rr] \arrow[d] & & 0 \arrow[d] \\
\omega_1 \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[d] & \omega \boxtimes |\cdot|_F^n \arrow[r, two heads] & \pi \boxtimes \widetilde{\sigma} \boxtimes |\cdot|_F^n \\
\pi \boxtimes \widetilde{\sigma}_1 \arrow[urr, dashed] \arrow[d] & & \\
1 & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

gives a surjective $\mathrm{Sp}(W) \times \mathrm{O}(V^\bullet) \times \mathrm{GL}(1, F)$-homomorphism

$$\pi \boxtimes \widetilde{\sigma}_1 \to \pi \boxtimes \widetilde{\sigma} \boxtimes |\cdot|_F^n,$$

and so that we get a nonzero $\mathrm{O}(V^\bullet) \times \mathrm{GL}(1, F)$-homomorphism

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_1 \to \widetilde{\sigma} \otimes |\cdot|_F^n.$$

This implies that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SO}(V_1^\bullet)}(\sigma_1, \mathrm{Ind}_{P^\circ}^{\mathrm{SO}(V_1^\bullet)}(\sigma \boxtimes \mathbf{1}))$$

is nonzero. Note that the induction $\mathrm{Ind}_{P^\circ}^{\mathrm{SO}(V_1^\bullet)}(\sigma \boxtimes \mathbf{1})$ does not depend on the choice of a representative of $[\sigma]$ by Lemma 2.3 (2). Hence we have

$$\iota_{\mathfrak{w}_{c_0}}([\sigma_1]) | A_{\phi'}^+ = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}_{c_0}}([\sigma]).$$

Since $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}_{c_0}}([\sigma_1]) | A_\phi^+ = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_{c_0}}(\pi)$ by (P1) and $A_{\phi'}^+ \subset A_\phi^+$, we have

$$\iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_{c_0}}(\pi) | A_{\phi'}^+ = (\iota_{\mathfrak{w}_{c_0}}([\sigma_1]) | A_\phi^+) | A_{\phi'}^+ = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}_{c_0}}([\sigma_1]) | A_{\phi'}^+ = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}_{c_0}}([\sigma]),$$

as desired.

6. Preparations for the proof of (P1) for tempered L-parameters

Let $V = V_{2m}$ and $W = W_{2n}$. If $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ is quasi-split, we assume that V is type (d, c). To prove (P1) for tempered L-parameters, we need to introduce more notation.

6.1. **Haar measures.**

Let k be a positive integer. As in §2.4, we put

$$V' = X + V + X^*, \quad W' = Y + W + Y^*$$

with $X = X_k$, $X^* = X_k^* \subset V'$ and $Y = Y_k$, $Y^* = Y_k^* \subset W'$. Hence $\dim(V') = 2m' := 2(m + k)$ and $\dim(W) = 2n' := 2(n + k)$. Let $P = P_k = M_P U_P \subset \mathrm{O}(V')$ and $Q = Q_k = M_Q U_Q \subset \mathrm{Sp}(W')$ be the parabolic subgroups defined in §2.4. Hence $M_P \cong \mathrm{GL}(X) \times \mathrm{O}(V)$ and $M_Q \cong \mathrm{GL}(Y) \otimes \mathrm{Sp}(W)$. We need to choose Haar measures on various groups. In particular, we shall define Haar measures on U_P and U_Q as follows.

Recall the symplectic form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{V'} \otimes \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{W'}$ on $V' \otimes W'$ over F, and the maps $I_X \colon X^* \to X$ and $I_Y \colon Y^* \to Y$. We consider the following spaces and pairings:

- $(x, y) \mapsto \psi(\langle x, I_Y^{-1} y \rangle)$ for $x, y \in V' \otimes Y$;
- $(x, y) \mapsto \psi(\langle x, I_Y y \rangle)$ for $x, y \in V' \otimes Y^*$;
- $(x, y) \mapsto \psi(\langle x, I_Y y \rangle)$ for $x, y \in V \otimes Y^*$;
- $(x, y) \mapsto \psi(\langle I_X^{-1} x, y \rangle)$ for $x, y \in X \otimes W$;
- $(x, y) \mapsto \psi(\langle I_X x, y \rangle)$ for $x, y \in X^* \otimes W$;
- $(x, y) \mapsto \psi(\langle I_X^{-1} x, I_Y y \rangle)$ for $x, y \in X \otimes Y^*$;

- $(x,y) \mapsto \psi(\langle I_X x, I_Y^{-1} y\rangle)$ for $x, y \in X^* \otimes Y$;
- $(x,y) \mapsto \psi(\langle I_X x, I_Y y\rangle)$ for $x, y \in X^* \otimes Y^*$.

On these spaces, we take the self-dual Haar measures with respect to these pairings. Put

$$e^{**} = v_1^* \otimes w_1^* + \cdots + v_k^* \otimes w_k^* \in X^* \otimes Y^*.$$

- We transfer the Haar measure on $V \otimes Y^*$ to $\mathrm{Hom}(V,X)$ via the isomorphism $b \mapsto b^* e^{**}$ for $b \in \mathrm{Hom}(V,X)$.
- We transfer the Haar measure on $X^* \otimes W$ to $\mathrm{Hom}(W,Y)$ via the isomorphism $b \mapsto b^* e^{**}$ for $b \in \mathrm{Hom}(W,Y)$.

Furthermore:

- We transfer the Haar measure on $X \otimes Y^*$ to $\mathrm{Hom}(X^*,X)$ via the isomorphism $c \mapsto ce^{**}$ for $c \in \mathrm{Hom}(X^*,X)$. This Haar measure on $\mathrm{Hom}(X^*,X)$ is self-dual with respect to the pairing $(c_1,c_2) \mapsto \psi(\langle I_X^{-1} c_1 e^{**}, I_Y c_2 e^{**}\rangle)$.
- We take the Haar measure
$$|2|_F^{-\frac{k(k-1)}{4}} dc$$
on $\mathrm{Sym}(X^*,X)$, where dc is the self-dual Haar measure with respect to the pairing $(c_1,c_2) \mapsto \psi(\langle I_X^{-1} c_1 e^{**}, I_Y c_2 e^{**}\rangle)$.
- We transfer the Haar measure on $X^* \otimes Y$ to $\mathrm{Hom}(Y^*,Y)$ via the isomorphism $c \mapsto ce^{**}$ for $c \in \mathrm{Hom}(Y^*,Y)$. This Haar measure on $\mathrm{Hom}(Y^*,Y)$ is self-dual with respect to the pairing $(c_1,c_2) \mapsto \psi(\langle I_X c_1 e^{**}, I_Y^{-1} c_2 e^{**}\rangle)$.
- We take the Haar measure
$$|2|_F^{-\frac{k(k-1)}{4}} dc$$
on $\mathrm{Sym}(Y^*,Y)$, where dc is the self-dual Haar measure with respect to the pairing $(c_1,c_2) \mapsto \psi(\langle I_X c_1 e^{**}, I_Y^{-1} c_2 e^{**}\rangle)$.

Then:

- We take the Haar measure $du = dbdc$ on U_P for $u = u_P(b)u_P(c) = u_P(c)u_P(b)$ with $b \in \mathrm{Hom}(V,X)$ and $c \in \mathrm{Sym}(X^*,X)$.
- Similarly, we define the Haar measure on U_Q.

We note the following Fourier inversion formula:

Lemma 6.1. *For $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(X \otimes Y^*)$, we have*

$$\int_{\mathrm{Sym}(Y^*,Y)} \left(\int_{\mathrm{Hom}(X^*,X)} \varphi(xe^{**}) \psi(\langle xe^{**}, c' e^{**}\rangle) dx \right) dc' = \int_{\mathrm{Sym}(X^*,X)} \varphi(ce^{**}) dc.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [10, Lemma 7.1]. □

6.2. **Weil representations.** We recall some explicit formulas for the Weil representations. Recall that V' and W' have decompositions

$$V' = X + V + X^*, \quad W' = Y + W + Y^*$$

with $2m = \dim(V)$, $2n = \dim(W)$, $2m' = \dim(V')$, $2n' = \dim(W')$ and $k = \dim(X) = \dim(Y)$. We decompose $W = Y_n + Y_n^*$ as in §2.3. We have fixed a non-trivial additive character ψ of F.

Let $\mathcal{H}(W) = W \oplus F$ be the associated Heisenberg group, i.e., the multiplication law is given by

$$(w,t) \cdot (w',t') = \left(w + w', t + t' + \frac{1}{2}\langle w, w'\rangle_W \right)$$

for $w, w' \in W$ and $t, t' \in F$. Let ρ be the Heisenberg representation of $\mathcal{H}(W)$ on $\mathcal{S}(Y_n^*)$ with the central character ψ. Namely,

$$\rho(y + y', t)\varphi(y_1') = \psi(t + \langle y_1', y\rangle_W + \frac{1}{2}\langle y', y\rangle_W)\varphi(y_1' + y')$$

for $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(Y_n^*)$, $y \in Y_n$, $y', y_1' \in Y_n^*$ and $t \in F$.

For simplicity, we write:

- ω for the Weil representation $\omega_{\psi,V,W}$ of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)\times\mathrm{O}(V)$ on a space $\mathcal{S}$;
- ω' for the Weil representation $\omega_{\psi,V',W}$ of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)\times\mathrm{O}(V')$ on a space $\mathcal{S}'$;
- ω'' for the Weil representation $\omega_{\psi,V',W'}$ of $\mathrm{Sp}(W')\times\mathrm{O}(V')$ on a space $\mathcal{S}''$.

We take the Schrödinger model

$$\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{S}(V\otimes Y_n^*)$$

of ω. We take a mixed model

$$\mathcal{S}'=\mathcal{S}\otimes\mathcal{S}(X^*\otimes W)=\mathcal{S}(V\otimes Y_n^*)\otimes\mathcal{S}(X^*\otimes W)$$

of ω', where we regard $\mathcal{S}'$ as a space of functions on $X^*\otimes W$ with values in $\mathcal{S}$. Similarly, we take a mixed model

$$\mathcal{S}''=\mathcal{S}(V'\otimes Y^*)\otimes\mathcal{S}'=\mathcal{S}(V'\otimes Y^*)\otimes\mathcal{S}(V\otimes Y_n^*)\otimes\mathcal{S}(X^*\otimes W)$$

of ω'', where we regard $\mathcal{S}''$ as a space of functions on $V'\otimes Y^*$ with values in $\mathcal{S}'$. Also, we write:

- ρ for the Heisenberg representation of $\mathcal{H}(V\otimes W)$ on $\mathcal{S}$ with the central character ψ;
- ρ' for the Heisenberg representation of $\mathcal{H}(V'\otimes W)$ on $\mathcal{S}'$ with the central character ψ.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $Q_n=M_{Q_n}U_{Q_n}$ be the Siegel parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ stabilizing Y_n, and define $m(a')=m_{Q_n}(a')\in M_{Q_n}$ and $u(c')=u_{Q_n}(c')\in U_{Q_n}$ for $a'\in\mathrm{GL}(Y_n)$ and $c'\in\mathrm{Sym}(Y_n^*,Y_n)$ as in §2.4. We put $I=I_{Q_n}\in\mathrm{Hom}(Y_n^*,Y_n)$ and $w=w_{Q_n}\in\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ as in §2.4. Then for $\varphi\in\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{S}(V\otimes Y_n^*)$ and $x\in V\otimes Y_n^*$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
[\omega(1,h)\varphi](x)&=\varphi(h^{-1}x), && h\in\mathrm{O}(V),\\
[\omega(m(a'),1)\varphi](x)&=\chi_V(\det(a'))|\det(a')|_F^m\varphi(a'^*x), && a'\in\mathrm{GL}(Y_n),\\
[\omega(u(c'),1)\varphi](x)&=\psi(\frac{1}{2}\langle c'x,x\rangle)\varphi(x), && c'\in\mathrm{Sym}(Y_n^*,Y_n),\\
[\omega(w,1)\varphi](x)&=\gamma_V^{-n}\int_{V\otimes Y_n}\varphi(I^{-1}z)\psi(-\langle z,x\rangle)dz.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, dz is the self-dual measure on $V\otimes Y_n$ with respect to the pairing $(x,y)\mapsto\psi(\langle y,I_0^{-1}x\rangle)$, and γ_V is a 4-th root of unity satisfying $\gamma_V^2=\chi_V(-1)$.

Proof. This formula is the Schrödinger model. □

Lemma 6.3. *The mixed model $\mathcal{S}(V'\otimes Y^*)\otimes\mathcal{S}(V'\otimes Y_n^*)$ of ω'' is given as follows: For $\varphi=\varphi_1\otimes\varphi'\in\mathcal{S}(V'\otimes Y^*)\otimes\mathcal{S}(V'\otimes Y_n^*)$ and $(x_1,x')\in(V'\otimes Y^*)\times(V'\otimes Y_n^*)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
[\omega(g,h')\varphi](x_1,x')&=\varphi_1(h'^{-1}x_1)\cdot[\omega'(g,h')\varphi'](x'), && (g,h')\in\mathrm{Sp}(W)\times\mathrm{O}(V'),\\
[\omega(m_Q(a'),1)\varphi](x_1,x')&=\chi_V(\det(a'))|\det(a')|_F^{m'}\varphi_1(a'^*x_1)\cdot\varphi'(x'), && a'\in\mathrm{GL}(Y),\\
[\omega(u_Q(b'),1)\varphi](x_1,x')&=\varphi_1(x_1)\cdot[\rho'(b'^*x_1,0)\varphi'](x'), && b'\in\mathrm{Hom}(W,Y),\\
[\omega(u_Q(c'),1)\varphi](x_1,x')&=\psi(\frac{1}{2}\langle c'x_1,x_1\rangle)\varphi_1(x_1)\cdot\varphi'(x'), && c'\in\mathrm{Sym}(Y^*,Y),\\
[\omega(w_Q,1)\varphi](x_1,x')&=\gamma_V^{-k}\int_{V'\otimes Y}\varphi(I_Y^{-1}z)\psi(-\langle z,x_1\rangle)dz\cdot\varphi'(x').
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. This formula is obtained by the canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{S}(V'\otimes(Y^*\oplus Y_n^*))\rightarrow\mathcal{S}(V'\otimes Y^*)\otimes\mathcal{S}(V'\otimes Y_n^*).$$

□

Lemma 6.4. *For $\varphi'=\varphi_2\otimes\varphi_3\in\mathcal{S}'=\mathcal{S}(V\otimes Y_n^*)\otimes\mathcal{S}(X^*\otimes W)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
[\omega'(g,h)\varphi'](x_2,x_3)&=[\omega(g,h)\varphi_2](x_2)\cdot\varphi_3(g^{-1}x_3), && (g,h)\in\mathrm{Sp}(W)\times\mathrm{O}(V),\\
[\omega'(1,m_P(a))\varphi'](x_2,x_3)&=|\det(a)|_F^n\varphi_2(x_2)\cdot\varphi_3(a^*x_3), && a\in\mathrm{GL}(X),\\
[\omega'(1,u_P(b))\varphi'](x_2,x_3)&=[\rho(b^*x_3,0)\varphi_2](x_2)\cdot\varphi_3(x_3), && b\in\mathrm{Hom}(V,X),
\end{aligned}$$

$$[\omega'(1,u_P(c))\varphi'](x_2,x_3)=\psi(\frac{1}{2}\langle cx_3,x_3\rangle)\varphi_2(x_2)\cdot\varphi_3(x_3), \qquad c\in\mathrm{Sym}(X^*,X),$$
$$[\omega'(1,w_P)\varphi'](x_2,x_3)=\varphi_2(x_2)\cdot\int_{X\otimes W}\varphi_3(I_X^{-1}z)\psi(-\langle z,x_3\rangle)dz.$$

Moreover we have

$$[\rho'(v+v_0+v^*,0)\varphi'](x_2,x_3)=\psi(\langle x_3,v\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\langle v^*,v\rangle)[\rho(v_0,0)\varphi_2](x_2)\cdot\varphi_3(x_3+v^*)$$

for $v\in X\otimes W$*,* $v_0\in V\otimes W$ *and* $v^*\in X^*\otimes W$*.*

Proof. These formulas are given by the partial Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{S}(V'\otimes Y_n^*)\to\mathcal{S}(V\otimes Y_n^*)\otimes\mathcal{S}(X^*\otimes W),\quad \varphi\mapsto\hat{\varphi}$$

defined by

$$\hat{\varphi}(x,y)=\int_{X\otimes Y_n^*}\varphi(\begin{pmatrix}z\\x\\y_2\end{pmatrix})\psi(-\langle z,y_1\rangle)dz$$

for $x\in V\otimes Y_n^*$ and $y=y_1+y_2$ with $y_1\in X^*\otimes Y_n$ and $y_2\in X^*\otimes Y_n^*$. Here, dz is the self-dual measure on $X\otimes Y_n^*$ with respect to the pairing $(x,y)\mapsto\psi(\langle I_X^{-1}x,Iy\rangle)$. □

In particular, the map $\mathcal{S}'\ni\varphi\mapsto\varphi(\cdot,0)\in\mathcal{S}$ gives a surjective $\mathrm{Sp}(W)\times\mathrm{O}(V)\times\mathrm{GL}(X)$-homomorphism

$$\omega'\to\omega\otimes|\det|_F^n.$$

This fact was used in §5.5.

By the above lemmas, we get a formula for the mixed model $\mathcal{S}''=\mathcal{S}(V'\otimes Y^*)\otimes\mathcal{S}(V\otimes Y_n^*)\otimes\mathcal{S}(X^*\otimes W)$ of ω''.

6.3. **Normalized intertwining operators.** In this subsection, we define normalized intertwining operators which are used to describe the local Langlands correspondence.

As in §2.4, we define parabolic subgroups $P=P_k=M_PU_P$ of $\mathrm{O}(V')$ and $Q=Q_k=M_QU_Q$ of $\mathrm{Sp}(W')$ such that

$$M_P\cong\mathrm{GL}(X)\times\mathrm{O}(V),\quad M_Q\cong\mathrm{GL}(Y)\times\mathrm{Sp}(W).$$

We put $P^\circ=P\cap\mathrm{SO}(V')$ and $M_P^\circ=M_P\cap\mathrm{SO}(V')\cong\mathrm{GL}(X)\times\mathrm{SO}(V)$. Assume that k is even and $\dim(V)=2m\geq4$. We identify $\mathrm{GL}(X)$ (resp. $\mathrm{GL}(Y)$) with $\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$ using the basis $\{v_1,\dots,v_k\}$ (resp. $\{w_1,\dots,w_k\}$). Hence we can define an isomorphism $i\colon\mathrm{GL}(Y)\to\mathrm{GL}(X)$ via these identifications.

Let τ be an irreducible tempered representation of $\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$ on a space $\mathcal{V}_\tau$ with a central character ω_τ. We may regard τ as a representation of $\mathrm{GL}(X)$ or $\mathrm{GL}(Y)$ via the above identifications. For any $s\in\mathbb{C}$, we realize the representation $\tau_s:=\tau\otimes|\det|_F^s$ on $\mathcal{V}_\tau$ by setting $\tau_s(a)v:=|\det(a)|_F^s\tau(a)v$ for $v\in\mathcal{V}_\tau$ and $a\in\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$. Let σ (resp. π) be an irreducible tempered representation of $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$) on a space $\mathcal{V}_\sigma$ (resp. $\mathcal{V}_\pi$). Assume that σ is ε-invariant, i.e., there exists $\widetilde{\sigma}\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V))$ such that $\widetilde{\sigma}|\mathrm{SO}(V)=\sigma$. We may assume that $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is realized on $\mathcal{V}_\sigma$. We consider the induced representation

$$\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V')}(\tau_s\otimes\widetilde{\sigma})\quad(\textit{resp. }\mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W')}(\tau_s\otimes\pi))$$

of $\mathrm{O}(V')$ (resp. $\mathrm{Sp}(W')$), which is realized on the space of smooth functions $\Phi_s\colon\mathrm{O}(V')\to\mathcal{V}_\tau\otimes\mathcal{V}_\sigma$ (resp. $\Phi'_s\colon\mathrm{Sp}(W')\to\mathcal{V}_\tau\otimes\mathcal{V}_\pi$) such that

$$\Phi_s(u_Pm_P(a)hh')=|\det(a)|_F^{s+\rho_P}\tau(a)\widetilde{\sigma}(h)\Phi_s(h')$$
$$(\textit{resp. }\Phi'_s(u_Qm_Q(a')gg')=|\det(a')|_F^{s+\rho_Q}\tau(a')\pi(g)\Phi'_s(g'))$$

for any $u_P\in U_P$, $a\in\mathrm{GL}(X)$, $h\in\mathrm{O}(V)$ and $h'\in\mathrm{O}(V')$ (resp. $u_Q\in U_Q$, $a'\in\mathrm{GL}(Y)$, $g\in\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ and $g'\in\mathrm{Sp}(W')$). By Lemma 2.3 (3), we have

$$\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V')}(\tau_s\otimes\widetilde{\sigma})|\mathrm{SO}(V')\cong\mathrm{Ind}_{P^\circ}^{\mathrm{SO}(V')}(\tau_s\otimes\sigma).$$

Let A_P (resp. A_Q) be the split component of the center of M_P° (resp. M_Q) and $W(M_P^\circ) = \mathrm{Norm}(A_P, \mathrm{SO}(V'))/M_P^\circ$ (resp. $W(M_Q) = \mathrm{Norm}(A_Q, \mathrm{Sp}(W'))/M_Q$) be the relative Weyl group for M_P° (resp. M_Q). Note that $W(M_P^\circ) \cong W(M_Q) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. We denote by w (resp. w') the non-trivial element in $W(M_P^\circ)$ (resp. $W(M_Q)$).

The definition of the normalized intertwining operators is very subtle because one has to choose the following data appropriately:

- a representative $\widetilde{w}$ of w (resp. $\widetilde{w}'$ of w');
- a Haar measure on U_P (resp. U_Q) to define the unnormalized intertwining operator;
- a normalizing factor $\gamma(w, \tau_s \otimes \sigma)$ (resp. $\gamma(w', \tau_s \otimes \pi)$);
- an intertwining isomorphism $\mathcal{A}_w$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}_{w'}$).

To do these, we need an F-splitting of $\mathrm{SO}(V')$ (resp. $\mathrm{Sp}(W')$).

Let (B, T) (resp. (B', T')) be the pair of the Borel subgroup and the maximal torus of $\mathrm{SO}(V')$ (resp. $\mathrm{Sp}(W')$) as in §2.2 (resp. §2.3). They are F-rational. The Lie algebra of $\mathrm{SO}(V')$ (resp. $\mathrm{Sp}(W')$) is given by

$$\mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{SO}(V')) = \{x \in \mathrm{End}(V') \mid \langle xv, v' \rangle_{V'} + \langle v, xv' \rangle_{V'} = 0 \quad \text{for any } v, v' \in V'\}$$
$$(\textit{resp. } \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{Sp}(W')) = \{y \in \mathrm{End}(W') \mid \langle yw, w' \rangle_{W'} + \langle w, yw' \rangle_{W'} = 0 \quad \text{for any } w, w' \in W'\}).$$

Let v_i, v_i^*, e and e' for $k+1 \leq i \leq m'-1 = m+k-1$ (resp. w_j, w_j^* for $k+1 \leq j \leq n' = n+k$) be the elements in V (resp. in W) as in §2.2. Hence

$$\langle e, e \rangle_V = 2c, \quad \langle e', e' \rangle_V = -2cd, \quad \langle e, e' \rangle_V = 0.$$

For $t \in T$ and $t' \in T'$, we define $t_i, t'_j \in F^\times$ and $a(t), b(t) \in F$ by

$$t_i = \langle tv_i, v_i^* \rangle_{V'}, \quad t'_j = \langle t'w_j, w_j^* \rangle_{W'}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} te = a(t) \cdot e + b(t) \cdot e', \\ te' = b(t)d \cdot e + a(t) \cdot e'. \end{cases}$$

Note that $a(t)^2 - b(t)^2 d = 1$. Then the simple roots of T in $B \subset \mathrm{SO}(V')$ (resp. T' in $B' \subset \mathrm{Sp}(W')$) are given by

$$\alpha_i(t) = t_i/t_{i+1}, \quad \alpha_\pm(t) = t_{m'-1}(a(t) \pm b(t)\sqrt{d})$$
$$(\textit{resp. } \alpha'_j(t') = t'_j/t'_{j+1}, \quad \alpha'_{n'}(t') = (t'_{n'})^2)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq m'-2$ (resp. $1 \leq j \leq n'-1$). We choose root vectors $x_{\alpha_\bullet} \in \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{SO}(V'))$ satisfying

$$x_{\alpha_i} v_{i'} = \begin{cases} v_i & \text{if } i' = i+1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad x_{\alpha_i} v_{i'}^* = \begin{cases} -v_{i+1}^* & \text{if } i' = i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad x_{\alpha_i} e = x_{\alpha_i} e' = 0$$

for $1 \leq i \leq m'-2$ and

$$x_{\alpha_\pm} v_{i'} = 0, \quad x_{\alpha_\pm} v_{i'}^* = \begin{cases} -\dfrac{e \pm \sqrt{d}^{-1} e'}{2c} & \text{if } i' = m'-1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad x_{\alpha_\pm} e = v_{m'-1}, \quad x_{\alpha_\pm} e' = \mp\sqrt{d} v_{m'-1}.$$

Also, we choose root vectors $y_{\alpha'_\bullet} \in \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{Sp}(W'))$ satisfying

$$y_{\alpha'_j} w_{j'} = \begin{cases} w_j & \text{if } j' = j+1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad y_{\alpha'_j} w_{j'}^* = \begin{cases} -w_{j+1}^* & \text{if } j' = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n'-1$ and

$$y_{\alpha'_{n'}} w_{j'} = 0, \quad y_{\alpha'_{n'}} w_{j'}^* = \begin{cases} w_{n'} & \text{if } j' = n', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We define a splitting $\mathbf{spl}_{\mathrm{SO}(V')}$ of $\mathrm{SO}(V')$ (resp. $\mathbf{spl}_{\mathrm{Sp}(W')}$ of $\mathrm{Sp}(W')$) by

$$\mathbf{spl}_{\mathrm{SO}(V')} = (B, T, \{x_{\alpha_\bullet}\}_{\alpha_\bullet}) \quad (\textit{resp. } \mathbf{spl}_{\mathrm{Sp}(W')} = (B', T', \{y_{\alpha'_\bullet}\}_{\alpha'_\bullet})).$$

These are F-splittings.

Let $\alpha_\bullet^\vee$ be the simple coroot corresponding to $\alpha_\bullet$. This is a homomorphism $\alpha_\bullet^\vee \colon \mathrm{GL}(1) \to T$ of algebraic groups, and gives a map

$$d\alpha_\bullet^\vee \colon \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{GL}(1)) = \mathbb{G}_a \to \mathrm{Lie}(T)$$

of Lie algebras. We put $H_{\alpha_\bullet} = d\alpha_\bullet^\vee(1) \in \mathrm{Lie}(T)$. We take a root vector $x_{-\alpha_\bullet}$ of the negative root $-\alpha_\bullet$ such that

$$[x_{\alpha_\bullet}, x_{-\alpha_\bullet}] = H_\bullet.$$

We denote by $W(T, \mathrm{SO}(V'))$ the Weyl group of T in $\mathrm{SO}(V')$. Let $w_{\alpha_\bullet} \in W(T, \mathrm{SO}(V'))$ be the reflection with respect to $\alpha_\bullet$. Following the procedure of [26, §2.1], we take the representative $\widetilde{w}_{\alpha_\bullet} \in \mathrm{SO}(V')$ of $w_{\alpha_\bullet}$ defined by

$$\widetilde{w}_{\alpha_\bullet} = \exp(x_{\alpha_\bullet}) \exp(-x_{-\alpha_\bullet}) \exp(x_{\alpha_\bullet}).$$

We put $w_{\alpha_{m'-1}} := w_{\alpha_+} w_{\alpha_-} = w_{\alpha_-} w_{\alpha_+}$ and $\widetilde{w}_{\alpha_{m'-1}} := \widetilde{w}_{\alpha_+} \widetilde{w}_{\alpha_-} = \widetilde{w}_{\alpha_-} \widetilde{w}_{\alpha_+}$. Similarly, we take the representative $\widetilde{w}'_{\alpha'_\bullet}$ of the reflection $w'_{\alpha'_\bullet} \in W(T', \mathrm{Sp}(W'))$ with respect to $\alpha'_\bullet$. Moreover, we define $w_{p,q}$ for $1 \leq p, q \leq m' - 1$ by

$$w_{p,q} = w_{\alpha_p} w_{\alpha_{p+1}} \cdots w_{\alpha_{m'-2}} w_{\alpha_{m'-1}} w_{\alpha_{m'-2}} \cdots w_{\alpha_{q+1}} w_{\alpha_q}.$$

Similarly, we define $w'_{p,q}$, $\widetilde{w}_{p,q}$ and $\widetilde{w}'_{p,q}$.

Let $w_T \in W(T, \mathrm{SO}(V'))$ (resp. $w'_{T'} \in W(T', \mathrm{Sp}(W'))$) be the representative of $w \in W(M_P^\circ)$ (resp. $w' \in W(M_Q)$) which stabilizes the simple roots of $(B \cap M_P^\circ, T)$ (resp. $(B' \cap M_Q, T')$). It is easily seen that

$$w_T = w_{k,k} \cdot w_{k-1,k} \cdots w_{1,k} \quad (\textit{resp. } w'_{T'} = w'_{k,k} \cdot w'_{k-1,k} \cdots w'_{1,k})$$

and this is a reduced decomposition of w_T (resp. $w'_{T'}$). We take a representative $\widetilde{w} \in \mathrm{SO}(V')$ of w (resp. $\widetilde{w}' \in \mathrm{Sp}(W')$ of w') defined by

$$\widetilde{w} = \widetilde{w}_{k,k} \cdot \widetilde{w}_{k-1,k} \cdots \widetilde{w}_{1,k} \quad (\textit{resp. } \widetilde{w}' = \widetilde{w}'_{k,k} \cdot \widetilde{w}'_{k-1,k} \cdots \widetilde{w}'_{1,k}).$$

Then we see that

$$\widetilde{w} = w_P \cdot m_P(a) \cdot (-\mathbf{1}_V)^k \quad (\textit{resp. } \widetilde{w}' = w_Q \cdot m_Q(a') \cdot (-\mathbf{1}_W)^k),$$

where $a \in \mathrm{GL}_k(F) \cong \mathrm{GL}(X)$ (resp. $a' \in \mathrm{GL}_k(F) \cong \mathrm{GL}(Y)$) is given by

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} & & (-1)^{m'-k+1}c \\ & \iddots & \\ (-1)^{m'}c & & \end{pmatrix} \quad (\textit{resp. } a' = \begin{pmatrix} & & (-1)^{n'-k+1} \\ & \iddots & \\ (-1)^{n'} & & \end{pmatrix}).$$

We have defined an F-splitting $\mathbf{spl}_{\mathrm{SO}(V')}$ of $\mathrm{SO}(V')$ (resp. $\mathbf{spl}_{\mathrm{Sp}(W')}$ of $\mathrm{Sp}(W')$). This splitting determines a Chevalley basis of $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{SO}(V'))$ (resp. $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{Sp}(W'))$), and hence an invariant F-valued differential form of highest degree on U_P (resp. U_Q). Its absolute value, together with the self-dual Haar measure on F with respect to ψ, gives a Haar measure du'_P on U_P (resp. du'_Q on U_Q). This is the measure that we take in the definition of the unnormalized intertwining operator.

Lemma 6.5. *These measures satisfy*

$$du'_P = |c|_F^{k\rho_P}\, du_P \quad \textit{and} \quad du'_Q = du_Q,$$

where du_P and du_Q are the measures defined in §6.1.

Proof. We show this lemma only for du'_P. One can prove this for du'_Q in a similar way.

Let $B = TU$ be the Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(V')$ defined in §2.2. For $t \in T$, we defined $t_i \in F^\times$ and $a(t), b(t) \in F$ as above. We put

$$\alpha_{i,j}(t) = t_i/t_j, \quad \alpha_{i,\pm} = t_i(a(t) \pm b(t)\sqrt{d}), \quad \beta_{i,j} = t_i t_j.$$

Then the set of roots of T in $\mathrm{Lie}(U)$ given by

$$\{\alpha_{i,j}, \beta_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq m' - 1\} \cup \{\alpha_{i,\pm} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m' - 1\}.$$

Note that $\alpha_{i,i+1} = \alpha_i$ and $\alpha_{m'-1,\pm} = \alpha_\pm$ are the simple roots, so that we have defined the root vectors $x_{\alpha_{i,i+1}} = x_{\alpha_i}$ and $x_{\alpha_{m'-1,\pm}} = x_{\alpha_\pm}$. Moreover we have

$$\alpha_{i,j} = \alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1,j}, \quad \alpha_{i,\pm} = \alpha_{i,m'-1} + \alpha_\pm, \quad \beta_{i,j} = \alpha_{i,+} + \alpha_{j,-}.$$

We define root vectors $x_{\alpha_\bullet}, x_{\beta_\bullet} \in \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{SO}(V'))$ by

$$x_{\alpha_{i,j}} = [x_{\alpha_i}, x_{\alpha_{i+1,j}}], \quad x_{\alpha_{i,\pm}} = [x_{\alpha_{i,m'-1}}, x_{\alpha_\pm}], \quad x_{\beta_{i,j}} = [x_{\alpha_{i,+}}, x_{\alpha_{j,-}}].$$

Then the basis

$$\{x_{\alpha_{i,j}} \mid 1 \le i \le k < j \le m'-1\} \cup \{x_{\alpha_{i,\pm}} \mid 1 \le i \le k\} \cup \{x_{\beta_{i,j}} \mid 1 \le i \le k,\ i < j \le m'-1\}$$

of $\mathrm{Lie}(U_P)$ is a part of a Chevalley basis of $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{SO}(V'))$. Let $\{dx_{\alpha_\bullet}, dx_{\beta_\bullet}\}$ be the dual basis of the linear dual of $\mathrm{Lie}(U_P)$, and put

$$\omega = (\bigwedge dx_{\alpha_\bullet}) \wedge (\bigwedge dx_{\beta_\bullet}).$$

This is an F-valued differential form of highest degree on U_P (defined up to a multiplication of ± 1).

On the other hand, we can identify U_P with F^l as a topological spaces by the map

$$U_P \to F^l, u \mapsto ((\langle uv_j, v_i^*\rangle_{V'})_{1\le i\le k<j\le m'-1},\ (\langle ue, v_i^*\rangle_{V'}, \langle ue', v_i^*\rangle_{V'})_{1\le i\le k},\ (\langle uv_j^*, v_i^*\rangle_{V'})_{1\le i\le k, i<j\le m'-1}),$$

where

$$l = \dim(U_P) = 2km' - \frac{3}{2}k^2 - \frac{1}{2}k.$$

This gives an F-valued differential form ω_0 of highest degree on U_P. Then we have

$$\omega = \pm(2\sqrt{d})^{-k} c^{\sum_{i=1}^{k}(m'-1-i)} \omega_0.$$

Let dx be the self-dual Haar measure on F with respect to ψ. We put $U_P(\mathfrak{o}_F)$ to be the subset of U_P corresponding to $\mathfrak{o}_F^l$ via the above identification $U_P \cong F^l$. Then the measure du_P' on U_P is defined by

$$\mathrm{vol}(U_P(\mathfrak{o}_F), du_P') = |\pm(2\sqrt{d})^{-k} c^{\sum_{i=1}^{k}(m'-1-i)}|_F \mathrm{vol}(\mathfrak{o}_F, dx)^l.$$

On the other hand, the measure du_P defined in §6.1 satisfies

$$\mathrm{vol}(U_P(\mathfrak{o}_F), du_P) = |(2c\sqrt{d})^{-k}|_F \mathrm{vol}(\mathfrak{o}_F, dx)^l.$$

Since

$$k + \sum_{i=1}^{k}(m'-1-i) = k\left(m' - \frac{k+1}{2}\right) = k\rho_P,$$

we have

$$du_P' = |c|_F^{k+\sum_{i=1}^{k}(m-1-i)} du_P = |c|_F^{k\rho_P} du_P,$$

as desired. □

We define unnormalized intertwining operators

$$\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}, \tau_s \otimes \widetilde{\sigma})\colon \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V')}(\tau_s \otimes \widetilde{\sigma}) \to \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V')}(w(\tau_s \otimes \widetilde{\sigma})),$$
$$\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}', \tau_s \otimes \pi)\colon \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W')}(\tau_s \otimes \pi) \to \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W')}(w'(\tau_s \otimes \pi))$$

by (the meromorphic continuations of) the integrals

$$\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}, \tau_s \otimes \widetilde{\sigma})\Phi_s(h') = |c|_F^{k\rho_P} \int_{U_P} \Phi_s(\widetilde{w}^{-1} u_P h') du_P,$$
$$\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}', \tau_s \otimes \pi)\Phi_s'(g') = \int_{U_Q} \Phi_s'(\widetilde{w}'^{-1} u_Q g') du_Q,$$

where $w(\tau_s \otimes \widetilde{\sigma})$ (resp. $w'(\tau_s \otimes \pi)$) is the representation of M_P on $\mathcal{V}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{V}_\sigma$ (resp. M_Q on $\mathcal{V}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{V}_\pi$) given by $w(\tau_s \otimes \widetilde{\sigma})(m_P) = (\tau_s \otimes \widetilde{\sigma})(\widetilde{w}^{-1} m_P \widetilde{w})$ for $m_P \in M_P$ (resp. $w'(\tau_s \otimes \pi)(m_Q) = (\tau_s \otimes \pi)(\widetilde{w}'^{-1} m_Q \widetilde{w}')$ for $m_Q \in M_Q$). Since σ is ε-invariant, by Lemma 2.3 (3), the operator $\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}, \tau_s \otimes \widetilde{\sigma})$ gives an operator

$$\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}, \tau_s \otimes \sigma)\colon \mathrm{Ind}_{P^\circ}^{\mathrm{SO}(V')}(\tau_s \otimes \sigma) \to \mathrm{Ind}_{P^\circ}^{\mathrm{SO}(V')}(w(\tau_s \otimes \sigma)).$$

Following [2, §2.3], we use the normalizing factors $r(w, \tau_s \otimes \sigma)$ and $r(w', \tau_s \otimes \pi)$ defined as follows. Let ϕ_τ, ϕ_σ and ϕ_π be the representations of WD_F corresponding to τ, $[\sigma]$ and π, respectively. Then we define $r(w, \tau_s \otimes \sigma)$ and $r(w', \tau_s \otimes \pi)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} r(w, \tau_s \otimes \sigma) &= \lambda(E/F, \psi)^k \frac{L(s, \phi_\tau \otimes \phi_\sigma^\vee)}{\varepsilon(s, \phi_\tau \otimes \phi_\sigma^\vee, \psi) L(1+s, \phi_\tau \otimes \phi_\sigma^\vee)} \frac{L(-2s, (\Lambda_2)^\vee \circ \phi_\tau)}{\varepsilon(-2s, (\Lambda_2)^\vee \circ \phi_\tau, \psi) L(1-2s, (\Lambda_2)^\vee \circ \phi_\tau)}, \\ r(w', \tau_s \otimes \pi) &= \frac{L(s, \phi_\tau \otimes \phi_\pi^\vee)}{\varepsilon(s, \phi_\tau \otimes \phi_\pi^\vee, \psi) L(1+s, \phi_\tau \otimes \phi_\pi^\vee)} \frac{L(-2s, (\Lambda_2)^\vee \circ \phi_\tau)}{\varepsilon(-2s, (\Lambda_2)^\vee \circ \phi_\tau, \psi) L(1-2s, (\Lambda_2)^\vee \circ \phi_\tau)}, \end{aligned}$$

where Λ_2 is the representation of $\mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{C})$ on the space of skew-symmetric (k,k)-matrices, and $\lambda(E/F, \psi)$ is the Langlands λ-factor associated to $E = F(\sqrt{d})$. Note that $\lambda(E/F, \psi)^2 = \omega_{E/F}(-1) = \chi_V(-1)$. Then the normalized intertwining operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(w, \tau_s \otimes \sigma) &\coloneqq r(w, \tau_s \otimes \sigma)^{-1} \mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}, \tau_s \otimes \sigma), \\ \mathcal{R}(w', \tau_s \otimes \pi) &\coloneqq r(w', \tau_s \otimes \pi)^{-1} \mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}', \tau_s \otimes \pi) \end{aligned}$$

are holomorphic at $s = 0$ by [2, Proposition 2.3.1].

Now assume that $w(\tau \otimes \sigma) \cong \tau \otimes \sigma$ and $w'(\tau \otimes \pi) \cong \tau \otimes \pi$, which is equivalent to $\tau^\vee \cong \tau$. We take the unique isomorphism

$$\mathcal{A}_w \colon \mathcal{V}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{V}_\sigma \to \mathcal{V}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{V}_\sigma$$

such that:

- $\mathcal{A}_w \circ w(\tau \otimes \sigma)(m) = (\tau \otimes \sigma)(m) \circ \mathcal{A}_w$ for any $m \in M_P$;
- $\mathcal{A}_w = \mathcal{A}'_w \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{V}_\sigma}$ with an isomorphism $\mathcal{A}'_w \colon \mathcal{V}_\tau \to \mathcal{V}_\tau$ such that $\Lambda \circ \mathcal{A}'_w = \Lambda$. Here $\Lambda \colon \mathcal{V}_\tau \to \mathbb{C}$ is the unique (up to a scalar) Whittaker functional with respect to the Whittaker datum (B_k, ψ_{U_k}), where B_k is the Borel subgroup consisting of upper triangular matrices in $\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$ and ψ_{U_k} is the generic character of the unipotent radical U_k of B_k given by $\psi_{U_k}(x) = \psi(x_{1,2} + \cdots + x_{k-1,k})$.

Similarly, we take the unique isomorphism

$$\mathcal{A}_{w'} \colon \mathcal{V}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{V}_\pi \to \mathcal{V}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{V}_\pi.$$

We define self-intertwining operators

$$\begin{aligned} R(w, \tau \otimes \sigma) &\colon \mathrm{Ind}_{P^\circ}^{\mathrm{SO}(V')}(\tau_s \otimes \sigma) \to \mathrm{Ind}_{P^\circ}^{\mathrm{SO}(V')}(\tau_s \otimes \sigma), \\ R(w', \tau \otimes \pi) &\colon \mathrm{Ind}_{Q}^{\mathrm{Sp}(W')}(\tau_s \otimes \pi) \to \mathrm{Ind}_{Q}^{\mathrm{Sp}(W')}(\tau_s \otimes \pi) \end{aligned}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} R(w, \tau \otimes \sigma)\Phi_s(h') &= \mathcal{A}_w(\mathcal{R}(w, \tau \otimes \sigma)\Phi_s(h')), \\ R(w', \tau \otimes \pi)\Phi'_s(g') &= \mathcal{A}_{w'}(\mathcal{R}(w', \tau \otimes \pi)\Phi'_s(g')) \end{aligned}$$

for $\Phi_s \in \mathrm{Ind}_{P^\circ}^{\mathrm{SO}(V')}(\tau_s \otimes \sigma)$, $\Phi'_s \in \mathrm{Ind}_{Q}^{\mathrm{Sp}(W')}(\tau_s \otimes \pi)$, $h' \in \mathrm{SO}(V')$ and $g' \in \mathrm{Sp}(W')$.

Put $\phi_{\sigma'} = \phi_\tau + \phi_\sigma + \phi_\tau^\vee \in \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{SO}(V'))$ (resp. $\phi_{\pi'} = \phi_\tau + \phi_\pi + \phi_\tau^\vee \in \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{Sp}(W'))$). We take $[\sigma'] \in \Pi_{\phi_{\sigma'}}$ (resp. $\pi' \in \Pi_{\phi_{\pi'}}$) such that $\sigma' \subset \mathrm{Ind}_{P^\circ}^{\mathrm{SO}(V')}(\tau \otimes \sigma)$ (resp. $\pi' \subset \mathrm{Ind}_{Q}^{\mathrm{Sp}(W')}(\tau \otimes \pi)$). Let $\mathfrak{w}_c$ (resp. $\mathfrak{w}'_1$) be the Whittaker datum of $\mathrm{SO}(V')$ (resp. $\mathrm{Sp}(W')$) defined in §2.2. Note that $\mathfrak{w}_c$ (resp. $\mathfrak{w}'_1$) is given by the splitting $\mathbf{spl}_{\mathrm{SO}(V')}$ (resp. $\mathbf{spl}_{\mathrm{Sp}(W')}$) and the non-trivial additive character ψ we have fixed. The intertwining operators and the local Langlands correspondence are related as follows:

Proposition 6.6. *Suppose that ϕ_τ is a tempered orthogonal representation of WD_F with even dimension k. Let τ be the irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$ associated to ϕ_τ.*

(1) *Put $\phi_{\sigma'} = \phi_\tau + \phi_\sigma + \phi_\tau^\vee$. Assume that*
  - *$\mathrm{SO}(V)$ is quasi-split and V is type (d,c);*
  - *$\dim(V) = 2m \geq 4$;*
  - *$\phi_\sigma \in \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{SO}(V))$ is ε-invariant.*

Let $a \in A^+_{\phi_{\sigma'}}$ *be the element given by* w, *so that* $\phi_\tau = \phi^a_{\sigma'}$. *Then for* $\sigma \in \Pi_{\phi_\sigma}$ *and* $\sigma' \in \Pi_{\phi_{\sigma'}}$ *with* $\sigma' \subset \mathrm{Ind}^{\mathrm{SO}(V')}_{P^\circ}(\tau \otimes \sigma)$, *we have*

$$R(w, \tau \otimes \sigma)|\sigma' = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}_c}([\sigma'])(a).$$

(2) *Put* $\phi_{\pi'} = \phi_\tau + \phi_\pi + \phi_\tau^\vee$. *Let* $a' \in A^+_{\phi_{\pi'}}$ *be the element given by* w', *so that* $\phi_\tau = \phi^{a'}_{\pi'}$. *Then for* $\pi \in \Pi_{\phi_\pi}$ *and* $\pi' \in \Pi_{\phi_{\pi'}}$ *with* $\pi' \subset \mathrm{Ind}^{\mathrm{Sp}(W')}_{Q}(\tau \otimes \pi)$, *we have*

$$R(w', \tau \otimes \pi)|\pi' = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_1}(\pi')(a').$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.2.1 and Theorem 2.4.1 in [2]. □

The assumption (NQ) in §1 is that Proposition 6.6 (1) holds for some $c \in F^\times$ even if $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ is not quasi-split. We emphasize that k is even.

6.4. **Zeta integrals of Godement–Jacquet.** In this subsection, we review the theory of local factors for $\mathrm{GL}(k)$ developed by Godement–Jacquet [15].

Let τ be an irreducible smooth representation of $\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$ on a space $\mathcal{V}_\tau$ with a central character ω_τ. We write

$$L(s, \tau) = L(s, \phi_\tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon(s, \tau, \psi) = \varepsilon(s, \phi_\tau, \psi)$$

for the standard L-factor and ε-factor of τ, where ϕ_τ is the k-dimensional representation of WD_F associated to τ. Then the standard γ-factor of τ is defined by

$$\gamma(s, \tau, \psi) = \varepsilon(s, \tau, \psi) \cdot \frac{L(1-s, \tau^\vee)}{L(s, \tau)}.$$

For $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathrm{M}_k(F))$ and a matrix coefficient f of τ, we put

$$Z(s, \Phi, f) = \int_{\mathrm{GL}_k(F)} \Phi(a) f(a) |\det(a)|_F^s da.$$

This integral is absolutely convergent for $\mathrm{Re}(s) \gg 0$ and admits a meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$. Moreover, the quotient

$$\frac{Z\left(s + \frac{k-1}{2}, \Phi, f\right)}{L(s, \tau)}$$

is an entire function of s.

Lemma 6.7. *If* τ *is tempered, then* $Z(s, \Phi, f)$ *is absolutely convergent for* $\mathrm{Re}(s) > (k-1)/2$.

Proof. Put $t = \mathrm{Re}(s) > (k-1)/2$. Fix a uniformizer ϖ of F and put

$$t(a) = \begin{pmatrix} \varpi^{a_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \varpi^{a_k} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_k(F)$$

for $a = (a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$. Let B be the Borel subgroup of $G = \mathrm{GL}_k(F)$ consisting of upper triangular matrices, and put $K = \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathfrak{o}_F)$. We denote the modulus character of B by δ_B. Then we have

$$\delta_B(t(a)) = \prod_{i<j} \left| \frac{\varpi^{a_i}}{\varpi^{a_j}} \right|_F = q^{-(k-1)a_1} q^{-(k-3)a_2} \cdots q^{(k-1)a_k}.$$

Since F is non-archimedean, the integral formula

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathrm{GL}_k(F)} \left| \Phi(g) f(g) |\det(g)|_F^s \right| da \\
&= \sum_{a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_k} \mu(t(a)) \int_{K \times K} |\Phi(k_1 t(a) k_2)| \cdot |f(k_1 t(a) k_2)| \cdot |\det(k_1 t(a) k_2)|_F^t dk_1 dk_2
\end{aligned}$$

holds for some $\mu(t(a)) \geq 0$. Moreover, there exists a positive constant A_0 such that $\mu(t(a)) \leq A_0 \cdot \delta_B(t(a))$ for $a = (a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ with $a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_k$ (see, e.g., [32, p.149]).

We define the height function $\sigma(g)$ of G by

$$\sigma(g) = \max_{\substack{1\leq i\leq k\\ 1\leq j\leq k}} (\log|g_{i,j}|_F, \log|(g^{-1})_{i,j}|_F).$$

Harish-Chandra's spherical function $\Xi(g)$ of G is given by

$$\Xi(g) = \int_K h_0(kg)dk,$$

where $h_0 \in \mathrm{Ind}_B^G(\mathbf{1})$ is the function whose restriction to K is identically equal to 1. Note that Ξ is a matrix coefficient of the tempered representation $\mathrm{Ind}_B^G(\mathbf{1})$, and is bi-$K$-invariant. It is known that there exist positive constants A_1, A_2 such that

$$\Xi(t(a)) \leq A_1 \cdot \delta_B^{-1/2}(t(a)) \cdot (1+\sigma(t(a)))^{A_2}$$

for $a = (a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ with $a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_k$ (see, e.g., [32, p.154, Theorem 4.2.1]). Since τ is tempered, any matrix coefficient f of τ satisfies

$$|f(g)| \leq B_1 \cdot \Xi(g) \cdot (1+\sigma(g))^{B_2}$$

for some positive constants B_1 and B_2.

Since $\sigma(k_1 g k_2) = \sigma(g)$ for $k_1, k_2 \in K$ and $g \in G$, we conclude that there are positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathrm{GL}_k(F)} \left|\Phi(g)f(g)|\det(g)|_F^s\right| da\\ &= C_1 \cdot \sum_{a_1\leq\cdots\leq a_k} \delta_B^{1/2}(t(a))(1+\sigma(t(a)))^{C_2}|\det(t(a))|_F^t \int_{K\times K} |\Phi(k_1 t(a) k_2)| dk_1 dk_2.\end{aligned}$$

We choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $(k-1)/2 - t + C_2\varepsilon < 0$. Note that Φ has a compact support in $\mathrm{M}_k(F)$, and so does the function

$$\mathrm{M}_k(F) \ni x \mapsto \int_{K\times K} |\Phi(k_1 x k_2)| dk_1 dk_2.$$

This implies that there are constants $M > 0$ and $r > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathrm{GL}_k(F)} \left|\Phi(g)f(g)|\det(g)|_F^s\right| da\\ &= M \cdot \sum_{-r\leq a_1\leq\cdots\leq a_k} \delta_B^{1/2}(t(a))(1+\sigma(t(a)))^{C_2}|\det(t(a))|_F^t\\ &= M \cdot \sum_{-r\leq a_1\leq\cdots\leq a_k} q^{a_1(-(k-1)/2-t)} q^{a_2(-(k-3)/2-t)} \cdots q^{a_k((k-1)/2-t)}(1+\sigma(t(a)))^{C_2}.\end{aligned}$$

To see the convergence of this sum, we only consider the sum over $a_k \geq r \geq -a_1$. Then we have

$$\sigma(t(a)) = \log(q^{a_k}).$$

Moreover, we may assume that if $a_k \geq r$, then $1 + \log(q^{a_k}) \leq q^{\varepsilon a_k}$. In this case, the sum over $a_k \geq r \geq -a_1$ is bounded by

$$M \cdot \sum_{a_k\geq r} (a_k + r + 1)^{k-1} \cdot q^{-r(-(k-1)/2-t)} \cdots q^{-r((k-3)/2-t)} \cdot q^{a_k((k-1)/2-t+C_2\varepsilon)}.$$

This sum converges since $q^{(k-1)/2-t+C_2\varepsilon} < 1$. □

Let $\hat{\Phi} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathrm{M}_k(F))$ be the Fourier transform of Φ defined by

$$\hat{\Phi}(x) = \int_{\mathrm{M}_k(F)} \Phi(y)\psi(\mathrm{tr}(xy))dy,$$

where dy is the self-dual Haar measure on $\mathrm{M}_k(F)$ with respect to the pairing $(x,y) \mapsto \psi(\mathrm{tr}(xy))$. Let $\check{f}$ be the matrix coefficient of $\tau^\vee$ given by $\check{f}(a) = f(a^{-1})$. Then the local functional equation asserts that

$$Z\left(-s + \frac{k+1}{2}, \hat{\Phi}, \check{f}\right) = \gamma(s,\tau,\psi) \cdot Z\left(s + \frac{k-1}{2}, \Phi, f\right).$$

7. PROOF OF (P1) FOR TEMPERED L-PARAMETERS

Now we can begin the proof of (P1) for tempered L-parameters. This will be proven by an explicit construction of an equivariant map which realizes the theta correspondence. Let $V = V_{2m}$ and $W = W_{2n}$. In this section, we put $m = n+1$. Assume that $n \geq 1$ so that $\dim(V) = 2m \geq 4$.

7.1. **Construction of equivariant maps.**

We put

$$V' = X + V + X^*, \quad W' = Y + W + Y^*$$

with $\dim(X) = \dim(Y) = k$. Assume that k is even. Using the basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ of X (resp. $\{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ of Y), we identify $\mathrm{GL}(X)$ (resp. $\mathrm{GL}(Y)$) with $\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$. Hence we can define an isomorphism $i\colon \mathrm{GL}(Y) \to \mathrm{GL}(X)$ via these identifications. Put

$$e = v_1 \otimes w_1^* + \dots + v_k \otimes w_k^* \in X \otimes Y^*, \quad e^* = v_1^* \otimes w_1 + \dots + v_k^* \otimes w_k \in X^* \otimes Y.$$

Then we have $i(a)e = a^*e$ and $i(a)^*e^* = ae^*$ for $a \in \mathrm{GL}(Y)$.

Recall that $W = Y_n + Y_n^*$. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'' = \mathcal{S}(V' \otimes Y^*) \otimes \mathcal{S}(V \otimes Y_n^*) \otimes \mathcal{S}(X^* \otimes W)$, we define maps

$$f(\varphi), \hat{f}(\varphi)\colon \mathrm{Sp}(W') \times \mathrm{O}(V') \to \mathcal{S}(V \otimes Y_n^*)$$

by

$$[f(\varphi)(g',h')](x_0) = [\omega''(g',h')\varphi](\begin{pmatrix} e \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, x_0, 0),$$

$$[\hat{f}(\varphi)(g',h')](x_0) = \int_{X\otimes Y^*} [\omega(g',h')\varphi](\begin{pmatrix} z \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, x_0, 0)\psi(\langle z, e^*\rangle)dz$$

for $g' \in \mathrm{Sp}(W')$ and $h' \in \mathrm{O}(V')$. Here, we write an element in $V' \otimes Y^*$ as a block matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $x_1 \in X \otimes Y^*$, $x_2 \in V \otimes Y^*$ and $x_3 \in X^* \otimes Y^*$.

Lemma 7.1. *For $f = f(\varphi)$ or $f = \hat{f}(\varphi)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} f(u_Q g', u_P h') &= f(g',h'), & u_P \in U_P, u_Q \in U_Q, \\ f(gg', hh') &= \omega(g,h) f(g',h'), & h \in \mathrm{O}(V), g \in \mathrm{Sp}(W), \\ f(m_Q(a)g', m_P(i(a))h') &= \chi_V(\det(a))|\det(a)|_F^{\rho_P+\rho_Q} f(g',h'), & a \in \mathrm{GL}(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 6.2, 6.3 and 6.4. □

Let τ be an irreducible (unitary) tempered representation of $\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$ on a space $\mathcal{V}_\tau$. We may regard τ as a representation of $\mathrm{GL}(X)$ or $\mathrm{GL}(Y)$ via the above identifications. Let π and $\widetilde{\sigma}$ be irreducible tempered representations of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ and $\mathrm{O}(V)$ on spaces $\mathcal{V}_\pi$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\widetilde{\sigma}}$, respectively. Fix nonzero invariant non-degenerate bilinear forms $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ on $\mathcal{V}_\tau \times \mathcal{V}_{\tau^\vee}$, $\mathcal{V}_\pi \times \mathcal{V}_{\pi^\vee}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\widetilde{\sigma}} \times \mathcal{V}_{\widetilde{\sigma}^\vee}$. Let

$$\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\colon (\mathcal{V}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\widetilde{\sigma}^\vee}) \times \mathcal{V}_{\tau^\vee} \to \mathcal{V}_{\widetilde{\sigma}^\vee}$$

be the induced map.

Now we assume that

$$\widetilde{\sigma} = \Theta_{\psi,V,W}(\pi).$$

We fix a nonzero $\mathrm{Sp}(W) \times \mathrm{O}(V)$-equivariant map

$$\mathcal{T} \colon \omega \otimes \widetilde{\sigma}^\vee \to \pi.$$

For $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'' = \mathcal{S}(V' \otimes Y^*) \otimes \mathcal{S}(V \otimes Y_n^*) \otimes \mathcal{S}(X^* \otimes W)$, $\Phi_s \in \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V')}(\tau_s \otimes \widetilde{\sigma}^\vee)$, $g' \in \mathrm{Sp}(W')$, $\check{v} \in \mathcal{V}_{\tau^\vee}$, and $\check{v}_0 \in \mathcal{V}_{\pi^\vee}$, we put

$$\langle \mathcal{T}_s(\varphi, \Phi_s)(g'), \check{v} \otimes \check{v}_0 \rangle = L(s, \tau)^{-1} \cdot \int_{U_P \mathrm{O}(V) \backslash \mathrm{O}(V')} \langle \mathcal{T}(\hat{f}(\varphi)(g', h'), \langle \Phi_s(h'), \check{v} \rangle), \check{v}_0 \rangle dh'.$$

Note that $\langle \Phi_s(h'), \check{v} \rangle \in \mathcal{V}_{\sigma^\vee}$.

Proposition 7.2. *We have the following:*

1. *The integral* $\langle \mathcal{T}_s(\varphi, \Phi_s)(g'), \check{v} \otimes \check{v}_0 \rangle$ *is absolutely convergent for* $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 0$ *and admits a holomorphic continuation to* $\mathbb{C}$.
2. *For* $\mathrm{Re}(s) < 1$, *we have*
$$\langle \mathcal{T}_s(\varphi, \Phi_s)(g'), \check{v} \otimes \check{v}_0 \rangle = L(s, \tau)^{-1} \gamma(s, \tau, \psi)^{-1} \cdot \int_{U_P \mathrm{O}(V) \backslash \mathrm{O}(V')} \langle \mathcal{T}(f(\varphi)(g', h'), \langle \Phi_s(h'), \check{v} \rangle), \check{v}_0 \rangle dh'.$$
3. *The map*
$$\mathcal{T}_s \colon \omega'' \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V')}(\tau_s \otimes \widetilde{\sigma}^\vee) \to \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W')}(\tau_s \chi_V \otimes \pi)$$
is $\mathrm{Sp}(W') \times \mathrm{O}(V')$*-equivariant.*
4. *For* $\Phi \in \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V')}(\tau \otimes \widetilde{\sigma}^\vee)$ *with* $\Phi \neq 0$, *there exists* $\varphi \in \omega''$ *such that*
$$\mathcal{T}_0(\varphi, \Phi) \neq 0.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to those of Lemma 8.1, 8.2 and 8.3 in [10]. □

7.2. **Compatibilities with intertwining operators.** Now we shall explain a key property of the equivariant map we have constructed.

We have assumed that $k = \dim(X) = \dim(Y)$ is even and $\dim(V) = 2(n+1) \geq 4$. Let $w \in W(M_P)$ and $w' \in W(M_Q)$ be the non-trivial elements in the relative Weyl groups. As in §6.3, we take the representatives $\widetilde{w} \in \mathrm{SO}(V')$ of w and $\widetilde{w}' \in \mathrm{Sp}(W')$ of w' defined by

$$\widetilde{w} = w_P \cdot m_P(-c \cdot a) \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{w}' = w_Q \cdot m_Q(a),$$

where $a \in \mathrm{GL}_k(F) \cong \mathrm{GL}(X) \cong \mathrm{GL}(Y)$ is defined by

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} & & (-1)^{n+1} \\ & \iddots & \\ (-1)^{n+k} & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

We fix τ, π and $\widetilde{\sigma} = \Theta_{\psi, V, W}(\pi) \neq 0$. We shall write

$$\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}, s) = \mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}, \tau_s \otimes \widetilde{\sigma}^\vee) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}', s) = \mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}', \tau_s \chi_V \otimes \pi)$$

for the unnormalized intertwining operators, which are defined by the integrals

$$\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}, s)\Phi_s(h') = |c|_F^{k\rho_P} \int_{U_P} \Phi_s(\widetilde{w}^{-1} u_P h') du_P,$$

$$\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}', s)\Phi'_s(g') = \int_{U_Q} \Phi'_s(\widetilde{w}'^{-1} u_Q g') du_Q$$

for $\Phi_s \in \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V')}(\tau_s \otimes \widetilde{\sigma}^\vee)$ and $\Phi'_s \in \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W')}(\tau_s \chi_V \otimes \pi)$. By the Howe duality, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\omega'' \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V')}(\tau_s \otimes \widetilde{\sigma}^\vee) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{T}_s"] \arrow[d, "1 \otimes \mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}, s)"'] & \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W')}(\tau_s \chi_V \otimes \pi) \arrow[d, "\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}', s)"] \\
\omega'' \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V')}(w(\tau_s \otimes \widetilde{\sigma}^\vee)) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{T}_{-s}"] & \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W')}(w'(\tau_s \chi_V \otimes \pi))
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes up to a scalar. The following proposition determines this constant of proportionality explicitly.

Proposition 7.3. *For* $\varphi \in \omega''$ *and* $\Phi_s \in \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V')}(\tau_s \otimes \widetilde{\sigma}^\vee)$*, we have*

$$\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}', s)\mathcal{T}_s(\varphi, \Phi_s) = \omega_\tau(c) \cdot |c|_F^{ks} \cdot \gamma_V^{-k} \cdot L(s,\tau)^{-1} L(-s,\tau^\vee)\gamma(-s,\tau^\vee,\psi) \cdot \mathcal{T}_{-s}(\varphi, \mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}, s)\Phi_s).$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [10, Proposition 8.4]. □

Corollary 7.4. *For* $\varphi \in \omega''$ *and* $\Phi_s \in \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V')}(\tau_s \otimes \widetilde{\sigma}^\vee)$*, we have*

$$\mathcal{R}(w', \tau_s\chi_V \otimes \pi)\mathcal{T}_s(\varphi, \Phi_s) = \omega_\tau(c) \cdot \alpha(s) \cdot \mathcal{T}_{-s}(\varphi, \mathcal{R}(w, \tau_s \otimes \widetilde{\sigma}^\vee)\Phi_s),$$

where

$$\alpha(s) = |c|_F^{ks} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon(-s,\tau^\vee,\psi)}{\varepsilon(s,\tau,\psi)}.$$

In particular, if $\tau \cong \tau^\vee$*, then* $\alpha(0) = 1$ *and*

$$R(w', \tau\chi_V \otimes \pi)\mathcal{T}_0(\varphi, \Phi) = \omega_\tau(c) \cdot \mathcal{T}_0(\varphi, R(w, \tau \otimes \widetilde{\sigma}^\vee)\Phi)$$

for $\Phi \in \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V')}(\tau \otimes \widetilde{\sigma}^\vee)$*.*

Proof. Let ϕ_τ, ϕ_π and ϕ_σ be the representations of WD_F corresponding to τ, π and $[\sigma]$, respectively. Here we put $\sigma := \widetilde{\sigma}|\mathrm{SO}(V)$. Note that σ is irreducible. By Proposition 7.3, we have

$$\alpha(s) = |c|_F^{ks} \cdot \frac{r(w, \tau_s \otimes \sigma^\vee)}{r(w', \tau_s\chi_V \otimes \pi)} \cdot \gamma_V^{-k} \cdot \frac{L(-s,\tau^\vee)}{L(s,\tau)} \cdot \gamma(-s,\tau^\vee,\psi).$$

Since $\phi_\sigma = (\phi_\pi \otimes \chi_V) \oplus \mathbf{1}$, we have

$$\phi_\tau \otimes \phi_\sigma^\vee = (\phi_\tau \otimes \chi_V) \otimes \phi_\pi^\vee \oplus \phi_\tau.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\Lambda_2^\vee \circ (\phi_\tau \otimes \chi_V) = \Lambda_2^\vee \circ \phi_\tau.$$

Hence we have

$$\frac{r(w, \tau_s \otimes \sigma^\vee)}{r(w', \tau_s\chi_V \otimes \pi)} = \lambda(E/F,\psi)^k \cdot \frac{L(s,\phi_\tau)}{\varepsilon(s,\phi_\tau,\psi)L(1+s,\phi_\tau)}.$$

Note that $\lambda(E/F,\psi)^k\gamma_V^{-k} = 1$ since k is even and $\lambda(E/F,\psi)^2 = \gamma_V^2 = \chi_V(-1)$. Recall that

$$\gamma(-s,\tau^\vee,\psi) = \frac{\varepsilon(-s,\tau^\vee,\psi)L(1+s,\tau)}{L(-s,\tau^\vee)}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\alpha(s) = |c|_F^{ks} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon(-s,\tau^\vee,\psi)}{\varepsilon(s,\tau,\psi)}.$$

Assume that $\tau \cong \tau^\vee$. By definition of $\widetilde{w}$ and $\widetilde{w}'$, the action of $\widetilde{w}$ on $\mathrm{GL}(X) \subset M_P$ coincides with that of $\widetilde{w}'$ on $\mathrm{GL}(Y) \subset M_Q$ via the identification $i \colon \mathrm{GL}(Y) \to \mathrm{GL}(X)$. This implies that $\mathcal{A}'_w = \mathcal{A}'_{w'}$ as $\mathbb{C}$-isomorphisms on $\mathcal{V}_\tau$. Hence the last equation holds. □

7.3. **Completion of the proof.** We set $W = W_{2n}$ and $V = V_{2n+2}$. We assume that V is type (d,c) if $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ is quasi-split. Fix $c_0 \in F^\times$. Let $\phi_\pi \in \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{Sp}(W))$ and $a \in C_\phi^+$ be an arbitrary element. Write $\phi^a = \phi_\tau \otimes \chi_V$ and put

$$\phi_{\pi'} = (\phi^a) \oplus \phi_\pi \oplus (\phi^a)^\vee.$$

Note that the canonical injection $A_{\phi_\pi}^+ \hookrightarrow A_{\phi_{\pi'}}^+$ is bijective. Let $\tau \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_k(F))$ be the representation corresponding to ϕ_τ. Here, $k = \dim(\phi_\tau)$ is an even integer. Let $\pi \in \Pi_{\phi_\pi}$ be an irreducible tempered representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ with the associated character $\eta_\pi = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_{c_0}}(\pi) \in \widehat{A_{\phi_\pi}^+}$. Then $\pi' := \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W')}(\tau\chi_V \otimes \pi)$ is irreducible. Moreover, $\pi' \in \Pi_{\phi_{\pi'}}$ and the associated character $\eta_{\pi'} = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_{c_0}}(\pi') \in \widehat{A_{\phi_{\pi'}}^+}$ satisfies that

$$\eta_{\pi'}|A_{\phi_\pi}^+ = \eta_\pi.$$

Now, we assume that

$$\widetilde{\sigma} = \Theta_{\psi,V,W}(\pi) \neq 0.$$

If we put $\sigma := \widetilde{\sigma}|\mathrm{SO}(V)$, then σ is irreducible and $[\sigma] \in \Pi_{\phi_\sigma}$ with

$$\phi_\sigma = (\phi_\pi \otimes \chi_V) \oplus \mathbf{1} \in \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{SO}(V)).$$

Since ϕ_σ contains ϕ_τ, the induced representation $\widetilde{\sigma}' = \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V')}(\tau \otimes \widetilde{\sigma})$ is irreducible. Put $\sigma' := \widetilde{\sigma}'|\mathrm{SO}(V')$. This is irreducible and we have $[\sigma'] \in \Pi_{\phi_{\sigma'}}$ with

$$\phi_{\sigma'} = \phi_\tau \oplus \phi_\sigma \oplus (\phi_\tau)^\vee = (\phi_{\pi'} \otimes \chi_V) \oplus \mathbf{1} \in \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{SO}(V')).$$

Note that the canonical injection $A^+_{\phi_\sigma} \hookrightarrow A^+_{\phi_{\sigma'}}$ is bijective. The associated characters $\eta_\sigma = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}_{c_0}}([\sigma]) \in \widehat{A^+_{\phi_\sigma}}$ and $\eta_{\sigma'} = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}_{c_0}}([\sigma']) \in \widehat{A^+_{\phi_{\sigma'}}}$ satisfy that

$$\eta_{\sigma'}|A^+_{\phi_\sigma} = \eta_\sigma.$$

We need to show that $\eta_\sigma(a') = \eta_\pi(a)$, where $a' \in A^+_{\phi_\sigma}$ is the element corresponding to ϕ_τ. This equation is equivalent to $\eta_{\sigma'}(a') = \eta_{\pi'}(a)$. First, we have the following:

Lemma 7.5. *We have*

$$\iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_1}(\pi')(a) = \omega_\tau(c) \cdot \iota_{\mathfrak{w}_c}([\sigma'])(a').$$

Proof. Choose $\Phi \in \widetilde{\sigma}'^\vee = \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V')}(\tau \otimes \widetilde{\sigma}^\vee)$ with $\Phi \neq 0$. By Proposition 7.2 (4), there is $\varphi \in \omega''$ such that $\mathcal{T}_0(\varphi, \Phi) \neq 0$. Note that $\mathcal{T}_0(\varphi, \Phi) \in \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W')}(\tau\chi_V \otimes \pi) = \pi'$. By Corollary 7.4, we have

$$R(w', \tau\chi_V \otimes \pi)\mathcal{T}_0(\varphi, \Phi) = \omega_\tau(c) \cdot \mathcal{T}_0(\varphi, R(w, \tau \otimes \widetilde{\sigma}^\vee)\Phi).$$

Note that $\widetilde{\sigma}^\vee \cong \widetilde{\sigma}$ and $\widetilde{\sigma}'^\vee \cong \widetilde{\sigma}'$, and we regard Φ as an element in $\sigma' = \widetilde{\sigma}'|\mathrm{SO}(V)$. Since $R(w', \tau\chi_V \otimes \pi)|\pi' = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_1}(\pi')(a)$ and $R(w, \tau \otimes \sigma)|\sigma' = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}_c}([\sigma'])(a')$ by Proposition 6.6, we have

$$\iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_1}(\pi')(a)\mathcal{T}_0(\varphi, \Phi) = \omega_\tau(c) \cdot \mathcal{T}_0(\varphi, \iota_{\mathfrak{w}_c}([\sigma'])(a')\Phi).$$

This shows the desired equation. □

However, by Proposition 3.1 and 3.2, we know that

$$\frac{\iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_1}(\pi')(a)}{\iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_{c_0}}(\pi')(a)} = \eta_{c_0^{-1}}(a) = \det(\phi_\tau \otimes \chi_V)(c_0^{-1}) = \omega_\tau(c_0^{-1})$$

and

$$\frac{\iota_{\mathfrak{w}_c}([\sigma'])(a')}{\iota_{\mathfrak{w}_{c_0}}([\sigma'])(a')} = \eta_{c/c_0}(a') = \det(\phi_\tau)(c/c_0) = \omega_\tau(c/c_0).$$

Since $\omega_\tau^2 = \mathbf{1}$, we have

$$\eta(a) = \omega_\tau(c_0) \cdot \iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_1}(\pi')(a) = \omega_\tau(c_0 c) \cdot \iota_{\mathfrak{w}_c}([\sigma'])(a') = \eta'(a')$$

as desired. Since $a \in C^+_\phi$ is arbitrary, this completes the proof of (P1) for tempered L-parameters.

Appendix A. Transfer factors

In this appendix, we recall the transfer factors and explain the expectations in the local Langlands correspondence. More precisely, see [20], [24], [26]. Comparing the transfer factors of pure inner twists, we prove Proposition 3.1 and 3.2.

For simplicity, we let F be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero.

A.1. **Endoscopic data.** Let G be a quasi-split connected reductive group over F. An endoscopic datum for G is a tuple $(H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$, where

- H is a quasi-split connected reductive group defined over F;
- $\mathcal{H}$ is a split extension of W_F by $\widehat{H}$;
- $s \in \widehat{G}$ is a semi-simple element;
- $\eta \colon \mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow {}^L G$ is an L-embedding

such that

1. the homomorphism $W_F \to \mathrm{Out}(\widehat{H})$ given by $\mathcal{H}$ is identified with the homomorphism $W_F \to \mathrm{Out}(H)$ provided by the rational structure of H via the natural isomorphism $\mathrm{Out}(\widehat{H}) \cong \mathrm{Out(H)}$;
2. $\eta(\widehat{H}) = \widehat{G}_s^\circ = \mathrm{Cent}(s, \widehat{G})^\circ$;
3. a certain condition of s and ξ.

An isomorphism from $(H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$ to another such tuple $(H', \mathcal{H}', s', \eta')$ is an element $g \in \widehat{G}$ such that

1. $g\eta(\mathcal{H})g^{-1} = \eta'(\mathcal{H}')$;
2. $gsg^{-1} \equiv s' \bmod Z(\widehat{G})$.

Given an endoscopic datum $(H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$ of G, we may replace it by an isomorphic one and assume that $s \in \eta(Z(\widehat{H})^\Gamma)$.

Let $\phi \colon WD_F \to {}^L G$ be an L-parameter of G. We put $S_\phi = \mathrm{Cent}(\mathrm{Im}(\phi), \widehat{G})$. For a semi-simple element $s \in S_\phi$, there exists an endoscopic datum $(H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$ for G such that

- $\widehat{H} = \widehat{G}_s^\circ$;
- $\mathcal{H} = \widehat{H} \cdot \phi(W_F)$;
- $\eta \colon \mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow {}^L G$ is the natural embedding.

Then we call $(H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$ an endoscopic datum associated to $s \in S_\phi$. Note that $s \in Z(\widehat{H})^\Gamma$ and $\mathrm{Im}(\phi) \subset \mathcal{H}$.

It is not always true that $\mathcal{H} = {}^L H$. So we take a z-pair $\mathfrak{z} = (H_\mathfrak{z}, \eta_\mathfrak{z})$ for $\mathfrak{e} = (H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$. We recall that $H_\mathfrak{z}$ is an extension of H by an induced torus and $\eta_\mathfrak{z} \colon \mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow {}^L H_\mathfrak{z}$ is an L-embedding such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{H} \arrow[r, "\eta_\mathfrak{z}"] & {}^L H_\mathfrak{z} \\
\widehat{H} \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & \widehat{H}_\mathfrak{z} \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative, where the bottom arrow is the embedding $\widehat{H} \hookrightarrow \widehat{H}_\mathfrak{z}$, which is the dual to the surjection $H_\mathfrak{z} \to H$. If $\mathfrak{e}$ is an endoscopic datum associated to $s \in S_\phi$ for some tempered L-parameter of G, then we obtain a tempered L-parameter $\phi_\mathfrak{z} = \eta_\mathfrak{z} \circ \phi$ of $H_\mathfrak{z}$.

A.2. **Transfer factors of pure inner twists.** Recall that a pure inner twist of G is a triple (G', ψ, z), where

- G' is a connected reductive algebraic group over F;
- $\psi \colon G \to G'$ is an isomorphism over $\overline{F}$;
- $z \in Z^1(F, G)$

such that $\psi^{-1} \circ \sigma(\psi) = \mathrm{Ad}(z_\sigma)$ for $\sigma \in \Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$. Then we call G' a pure inner form of G.

Let (G_1, ψ_1, z_1) and (G_2, ψ_2, z_2) be two pure inner twists of G. An isomorphism from (G_1, ψ_1, z_1) to (G_2, ψ_2, z_2) is a pair (f, g), where $f \colon G_1 \to G_2$ is an isomorphism over F and $g \in G(\overline{F})$ such that $z_{2,\sigma} = g z_{1,\sigma} \sigma(g^{-1})$ and the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G \arrow[r, "\psi_1"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Ad}(g)"'] & G_1 \arrow[d, "f"] \\
G \arrow[r, "\psi_2"] & G_2
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. It is known that there exists a canonical bijection

$$\{\text{isomorphism classes of pure inner twists of } G\} \to H^1(F, G),$$

$$(G', \psi, z) \mapsto [z].$$

Let $\mathfrak{e} = (H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$ be an endoscopic datum for G and $\mathfrak{z} = (H_{\mathfrak{z}}, \eta_{\mathfrak{z}})$ be a z-pair for $\mathfrak{e}$. We may assume that $\eta^{-1}(s) \in Z(\widehat{H})^{\Gamma}$, and identify s with $\eta^{-1}(s)$. Then for an inner twist (G', ψ) of G, these data give a relative transfer factor of G', which is a function

$$\Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}, \psi] \colon H_{\mathfrak{z}, G\text{-sr}}(F) \times G'_{\mathrm{sr}}(F) \times H_{\mathfrak{z}, G\text{-sr}}(F) \times G'_{\mathrm{sr}}(F) \to \mathbb{C}.$$

See [26, §3.7]. We also write $\Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}] = \Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}, \mathrm{id}]$. Here, G'_{sr} is the set of strongly regular semi-simple elements of G'. We explain $H_{\mathfrak{z}, G\text{-sr}}$. Fix pairs (B, T) of G, $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T})$ of $\widehat{G}$, (B_H, T_H) of H and $(\mathcal{B}_H, \mathcal{T}_H)$ of $\widehat{H}$, where by a pair we mean a tuple of a Borel subgroup and a maximal torus contained in it. Assume that $\eta(\mathcal{B}_H) \subset \mathcal{B}$ and $\eta(\mathcal{T}_H) = \mathcal{T}$. Then we have an isomorphism

$$\widehat{T}_H \cong \mathcal{T}_H \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathcal{T} \cong \widehat{T},$$

and so that we get an isomorphism $\eta^* \colon T_H \to T$ over $\overline{F}$. Now we assume that T_H is F-rational. Since $\sigma(\eta^*) \circ (\eta^*)^{-1}$ is given by an element in the Weyl group of T in G, by Steinberg's theorem, there exists $g \in G_{\mathrm{sc}}(\overline{F})$ such that $\sigma(g)g^{-1}$ normalizes T and induces $\sigma(\eta^*) \circ (\eta^*)^{-1}$. Then

$$\xi \coloneqq \mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1}) \circ \eta^* \colon T_H \to g^{-1}Tg$$

is an isomorphism over F. Such an F-isomorphism $\xi \colon T_H \to T' \coloneqq g^{-1}Tg$ is called an admissible embedding of T_H in G. For $h \in T_H$, the element $\xi(h)$ is called an image of h, and the elements h and $\xi(h)$ are said to be related. The set $H_{\mathfrak{z}, G\text{-sr}}$ consists of the preimages in $H_{\mathfrak{z}}$ of those elements of H that are related to elements of G_{sr}.

An absolute transfer factor of G is a function $\Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}]_{\mathrm{abs}} \colon H_{\mathfrak{z}, G\text{-sr}} \times G_{\mathrm{sr}} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that this is nonzero for any pair $(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta)$ of related elements, and satisfies

$$\Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}](\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta, \gamma'_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta') = \frac{\Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}]_{\mathrm{abs}}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta)}{\Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}]_{\mathrm{abs}}(\gamma'_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta')}$$

for any two pairs $(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta)$ and $(\gamma'_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta')$ of related elements. This is not unique. By choosing a Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{w}$ for G, we obtain a normalization $\Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{w}]$ of the absolute transfer factor of G. See [24, §5.3].

Using $\Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{w}]$, we define a transfer factor $\Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}, \psi, z, \mathfrak{w}]$ of a pure inner twist (G', ψ, z) of G. Let $\delta' \in G'_{\mathrm{sr}}(F)$ and $\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}} \in H_{\mathfrak{z}}(F)$ be related elements. We denote by $\gamma \in H(F)$ the image of $\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}$ under the map $H_{\mathfrak{z}} \to H$. By [21, Corollary 2.2], there exists $\delta \in G_{\mathrm{sr}}(F)$ such that $\psi^{-1}(\delta')$ and δ are $G(\overline{F})$-conjugate. We put

$$T = G_{\delta} = \mathrm{Cent}(\delta, G) \quad \text{and} \quad S = H_{\gamma} = \mathrm{Cent}(\gamma, H).$$

We take $g \in G(\overline{F})$ such that

$$\psi^{-1}(\delta') = g\delta g^{-1}.$$

It is easily seen that

$$[\sigma \mapsto g^{-1} z_{\sigma} \sigma(g)] \in Z^1(F, T)$$

and the class of this element in $H^1(F, T)$ is independent of the choice of g. We denote this class by $\mathrm{inv}(\delta, \delta')$. Since $\mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1} z_{\sigma} \sigma(g))$ is identity on T, we see that $\psi \circ \mathrm{Ad}(g)$ gives an F-isomorphism

$$T = \mathrm{Cent}(\delta, G) \xrightarrow{\cong} T' = \mathrm{Cent}(\delta', G').$$

This implies that $\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}$ and δ are related. Hence, there exists a unique admissible embedding

$$\phi_{\gamma,\delta} \colon S \xrightarrow{\cong} T \subset G$$

such that $\phi_{\gamma,\delta}(\gamma) = \delta$. We denote by $s_{\gamma,\delta} \in \widehat{T}^{\Gamma}$ the image of s under the map

$$Z(\widehat{H})^{\Gamma} \hookrightarrow \widehat{S}^{\Gamma} \to \widehat{T}^{\Gamma},$$

where the last map is induced by $\phi_{\gamma,\delta}^{-1}$. Let

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \colon H^1(F, T) \times \pi_0(\widehat{T}^{\Gamma}) \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$$

be the Tate–Nakayama pairing. Then we define $\Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}, \psi, z, \mathfrak{w}]$ by

$$\Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}, \psi, z, \mathfrak{w}](\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta') = \Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{w}](\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta) \cdot \langle \mathrm{inv}(\delta, \delta'), s_{\gamma,\delta} \rangle^{-1}.$$

By [20, Proposition 5.6], this value does not depend on the choice of δ, and the function $\Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}, \psi, z, \mathfrak{w}]$ is an absolute transfer factor of G'.

A.3. **Expectations in the local Langlands correspondence.** Let G be a quasi-split connected reductive algebraic group over F. The Vogan L-packets treat representations of all pure inner forms of G simultaneously. A representation of a pure inner twist of G is a tuple (G', ψ, z, π'), where (G', ψ, z) is a pure inner twist of G and π' is an admissible representation of $G'(F)$. Two representations $(G_1, \psi_1, z_1, \pi_1)$ and $(G_2, \psi_2, z_2, \pi_2)$ are isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism $(f, g)\colon (G_1, \psi_1, z_1) \to (G_2, \psi_2, z_2)$ of pure inner twists such that $\pi_2 \circ f$ and π_1 are isomorphic. By [20, §5.1], two representations $(G_1, \psi_1, z_1, \pi_1)$ and $(G_1, \psi_1, z_1, \pi_1')$ of the same pure inner twist are isomorphic if and only if π_1 and π_1' are isomorphic in the usual sense as representations of $G_1(F)$. We denote by $\Pi_{\mathrm{temp}}(G)$ the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible admissible tempered representations of pure inner twists of G. Let $(f, g)\colon (G_1, \psi_1, z_1, \pi_1) \to (G_2, \psi_2, z_2, \pi_2)$ be an isomorphism and Θ_{π_i} be the Harich-Chandra character of π_i for $i = 1, 2$. Then f transports Θ_{π_2} to Θ_{π_1}. Hence, for an isomorphism class $\dot\pi_1 = (G_1, \psi_1, z_1, \pi_1)$, we obtain a distribution $\Theta_{\dot\pi}$.

Fix a Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{w}$ for G. Given a tempered L-parameter $\phi\colon WD_F \to {}^L G$, we put $S_\phi = \mathrm{Cent}(\mathrm{Im}(\phi), \widehat{G})$. We expect that there exist a finite subset $\Pi_\phi \subset \Pi_{\mathrm{temp}}(G)$ and a bijection $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}\colon \Pi_\phi \to \mathrm{Irr}(\pi_0(S_\phi))$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Pi_\phi \arrow[r, "\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}"] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Irr}(\pi_0(S_\phi)) \arrow[d] \\
H^1(F, G) \arrow[r] & \pi_0(Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma)^D
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative, where the bottom arrow is the Kottwitz map, the right arrow sends each irreducible representation to (the restriction of) its central character, and the left arrow is given by $(G', \psi, z, \pi') \mapsto [z]$. Also, we expect that Π_ϕ contains a unique element $\dot\pi = (G, \mathrm{id}, 1, \pi)$ such that π is $\mathfrak{w}$-generic and that $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}(\dot\pi)$ is the trivial character of $\pi_0(S_\phi)$.

Given $\dot\pi = (G', \psi, z, \pi') \in \Pi_\phi$, we write $\langle s, \dot\pi\rangle_{\mathfrak{w}} = \mathrm{tr}(\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}(\dot\pi)(s))$ for $s \in \pi_0(S_\phi)$. We expect that for a fixed pure inner twist $(\psi, z)\colon G \to G'$, the virtual character

$$S\Theta_{\phi,\psi,z} = e(G') \sum_{\substack{\dot\pi \in \Pi_\phi \\ \dot\pi \mapsto [z]}} \langle 1, \dot\pi\rangle_{\mathfrak{w}} \cdot \Theta_{\dot\pi}$$

is a stable function on $G'(F)$ and is independent of $\mathfrak{w}$, where $e(G') \in \{\pm 1\}$ is the sign defined in [22]. It is known that $e(G') = 1$ if G' is quasi-split. For any semi-simple element $s \in S_\phi$, we put

$$\Theta^s_{\phi,\mathfrak{w},\psi,z} = e(G') \sum_{\substack{\dot\pi \in \Pi_\phi \\ \dot\pi \mapsto [z]}} \langle s, \dot\pi\rangle_{\mathfrak{w}} \cdot \Theta_{\dot\pi}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{e} = (H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$ be an endoscopic datum associated to $s \in S_\phi$. For simplicity, we assume that $\mathcal{H} = {}^L H$. Then we may take the z-pair $\mathfrak{z} = (H_{\mathfrak{z}}, \eta_{\mathfrak{z}}) = (H, \mathrm{id})$ for $\mathfrak{e}$. Let $\phi_{\mathfrak{z}}$ be the L-parameter for $H_{\mathfrak{z}} = H$ given by ϕ. Let $f^{\mathfrak{e}}$ and f be smooth compactly supported functions on $H(F)$ and $G'(F)$, respectively. For $\gamma \in H_{\mathrm{sr}}(F)$ and $\delta' \in G'_{\mathrm{sr}}(F)$, we put

$$O_\gamma(f^{\mathfrak{e}}) = \int_{H_\gamma(F)\backslash H(F)} f^{\mathfrak{e}}(h^{-1}\gamma h)dh,$$

$$O_{\delta'}(f) = \int_{G'_{\delta'}(F)\backslash G'(F)} f(g^{-1}\delta' g)dg,$$

where we put $H_\gamma = \mathrm{Cent}(\gamma, H)$ and $G'_{\delta'} = \mathrm{Cent}(\delta', G')$. We also define

$$SO_\gamma(f^{\mathfrak{e}}) = \sum_{\gamma'} O_{\gamma'}(f^{\mathfrak{e}}),$$

where γ' runs over a set of representatives for the $H(F)$-conjugacy classes in the $H(\overline{F})$-conjugacy class of γ. More precisely, see [24, §5.5]. We say that f and $f^{\mathfrak{e}}$ have $\Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}, \psi, z, \mathfrak{w}]$-matching orbital integrals if

$$\mathrm{SO}_{\gamma}(f^{\mathfrak{e}}) = \sum_{\delta'} \Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}, \psi, z, \mathfrak{w}](\gamma, \delta') \cdot O_{\delta'}(f)$$

for any $\gamma \in H_{G\text{-sr}}$. Here, the sum is taken over a set of representatives for the $G'(F)$-conjugacy classes of $\delta' \in G'(F)$ such that δ' and γ are related. Finally, if $f^{\mathfrak{e}}$ and f have $\Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}, \psi, z, \mathfrak{w}]$-matching orbital integrals, then we expect that

$$S\Theta_{\phi_{\mathfrak{z}}, \mathrm{id}, 1}(f^{\mathfrak{e}}) = \Theta^{s}_{\phi, \mathfrak{w}, \psi, z}(f).$$

A.4. **Changing base points.** Let $(\psi, z)\colon G \to G'$ be a pure inner twist. In contrast to inner twists, it may occur that G' is also quasi-split over F even if (ψ, z) is non-trivial. Then we have the following:

Lemma A.1. *There exists a bijection*

$$\begin{aligned} &J_{\psi,z}\colon \Pi_{\mathrm{temp}}(G) \to \Pi_{\mathrm{temp}}(G'), \\ &(G_1, \psi_1, z_1, \pi_1) \mapsto (G_1, \psi_1 \circ \psi^{-1}, \psi(z_1 z^{-1}), \pi_1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Easy. ☐

We denote the center of G by Z. Let $(\psi', z')\colon G \to G'$ be a pure inner twist such that both G and G' are quasi-split. Then the cohomology class $[z'] \in H^1(F, G)$ is killed under the map

$$H^1(F, G) \to H^1(F, G_{\mathrm{ad}}).$$

Hence there exists $z \in Z^1(F, Z)$ such that $[z] = [z']$ in $H^1(F, G)$. Then $(\psi', z')\colon G \to G'$ is isomorphic to the pure inner twist

$$(\mathrm{id}, z)\colon G \to G.$$

In particular, we see that G and G' are isomorphic over F, and so that we may identify ${}^{L}G$ with ${}^{L}G'$. Let $\phi\colon WD_F \to {}^{L}G$ be a tempered L-parameter of G. We may regard ϕ as an L-parameter of G'. We denote this L-parameter of G' by ϕ', i.e.,

$$\phi'\colon WD_F \xrightarrow{\phi} {}^{L}G = {}^{L}G'.$$

Then we should obtain two finite subsets $\Pi_{\phi} \subset \Pi_{\mathrm{temp}}(G)$ and $\Pi_{\phi'} \subset \Pi_{\mathrm{temp}}(G')$.

Question A.2. (1) *Does $J_{\psi,z}(\Pi_{\phi})$ coincide with $\Pi_{\phi'}$?*

(2) *If so, what is the map*

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\pi_0(S_{\phi})) \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}^{-1}} \Pi_{\phi} \xrightarrow{J_{\psi,z}} \Pi_{\phi'} \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathfrak{w}'}} \mathrm{Irr}(\pi_0(S_{\phi'})) = \mathrm{Irr}(\pi_0(S_{\phi}))$$

for Whittaker data $\mathfrak{w}$ and $\mathfrak{w}'$ of G and G', respectively?

In this subsection, we give an answer of this question when $z \in Z^1(F, Z)$ and $\psi = \mathrm{id}$.

Proposition A.3. *Fix $z \in Z^1(F, Z)$. Let $\mathfrak{e} = (H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$ be an endoscopic datum, $\mathfrak{z} = (H_{\mathfrak{z}}, \eta_{\mathfrak{z}})$ be a z-pair for $\mathfrak{e}$ and $\mathfrak{w}$ be a Whittaker datum of G. For a pure inner twist $(\psi_1, z_1)\colon G \to G_1$, we put $\Delta_1 = \Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}, \psi_1, z_1, \mathfrak{w}]$ and $\Delta'_1 = \Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}, \psi_1, z_1 z^{-1}, \mathfrak{w}]$. Then there exists $\alpha = \alpha[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}, \psi_1, z_1, z, \mathfrak{w}] \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ such that*

$$\Delta'_1(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta_1) = \alpha \cdot \Delta_1(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta_1).$$

for $\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}} \in H_{\mathfrak{z}, G\text{-sr}}$ and $\delta_1 \in G_{1,\mathrm{sr}}$.

Proof. Since the relative transfer factor $\Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}, \psi_1]$ of G_1 is independent of both z_1 and $z_1 z^{-1}$, we have

$$\frac{\Delta'_1(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta_1)}{\Delta'_1(\gamma'_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta'_1)} = \Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}, \psi_1](\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta_1, \gamma'_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta'_1) = \frac{\Delta_1(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta_1)}{\Delta_1(\gamma'_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta'_1)}$$

for any two pairs $(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta_1)$ and $(\gamma'_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta'_1)$ of related elements. Hence the quotient

$$\alpha = \frac{\Delta'_1(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta_1)}{\Delta_1(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta_1)}$$

does not depend on the choice of a pair $(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta_1)$ of related elements. This satisfies the desired equations. ☐

We also denote $J_z = J_{\mathrm{id},z}\colon \Pi_{\mathrm{temp}}(G) \to \Pi_{\mathrm{temp}}(G)$.

Corollary A.4. *Let $z \in Z^1(F, Z)$. Then for a tempered L-parameter ϕ of G, we have*

$$J_z(\Pi_\phi) = \Pi_\phi.$$

Proof. We take $\mathfrak{e} = (G, {}^LG, 1, \mathrm{id})$ and $\mathfrak{z} = (G, \mathrm{id})$. Let (G_1, ψ_1, z_1) be a pure inner twist of G, and Δ_1, Δ_1' and α as in (the proof of) the above lemma. Then we see that $f^{\mathfrak{e}}$ and f have Δ_1'-matching orbital integrals if and only if $f^{\mathfrak{e}}$ and $\alpha \cdot f$ have Δ_1-matching orbital integrals. Hence we should have

$$S\Theta_{\phi,\psi_1,z_1z^{-1}}(f) = S\Theta_{\phi,\psi_1,z_1}(\alpha \cdot f).$$

Since $\{\Theta_{\pi_1} \mid \pi_1 \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_1(F))\}$ is linearly independent, we see that $(G_1, \psi_1, z_1, \pi_1) \in \Pi_\phi$ if and only if $(G_1, \psi_1, z_1z^{-1}, \pi_1) \in \Pi_\phi$. □

Let s be a semi-simple element in S_ϕ. We take an endoscopic datum $\mathfrak{e} = (H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$ associated to s. Note that $s \in Z(\widehat{H})^\Gamma$. Let $\mathfrak{z} = (H_{\mathfrak{z}}, \eta_{\mathfrak{z}})$ be a z-pair for $\mathfrak{e}$. For a pair $(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta) \in H_{\mathfrak{z},G\text{-sr}} \times G_{\mathrm{sr}}$ of related elements, we denote the image of $\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}$ under the map $H_{\mathfrak{z}} \to H$ by γ, and we put $S = \mathrm{Cent}(\gamma, H)$ and $T = \mathrm{Cent}(\delta, G)$. Then there exists a unique admissible embedding $\xi\colon S \xrightarrow{\cong} T \subset G$ such that $\xi(\gamma) = \delta$. The isomorphism ξ^{-1} gives a map

$$Z(\widehat{H})^\Gamma \hookrightarrow \widehat{S}^\Gamma \to \widehat{T}^\Gamma.$$

We denote the image of s under this map by $s_{\gamma,\delta}$. For $z \in Z^1(F, Z)$, we consider

$$\langle [z], s_{\gamma,\delta}\rangle,$$

where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\colon H^1(F, T) \times \pi_0(\widehat{T}^\Gamma) \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ is the Tata–Nakayama pairing, and $[z]$ is the image of z under the map $Z^1(F, Z) \to H^1(F, Z) \to H^1(F, T)$.

Theorem A.5. *The value $\langle [z], s_{\gamma,\delta}\rangle$ does not depend on the choice of a pair $(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta) \in H_{\mathfrak{z},G\text{-sr}} \times G_{\mathrm{sr}}$ of related elements. The map $s \mapsto \langle [z], s_{\gamma,\delta}\rangle$ gives a character χ_z of $\pi_0(S_\phi)$, and the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Pi_\phi \arrow[r, "\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}"] \arrow[d, "J_z"'] & \mathrm{Irr}(\pi_0(S_\phi)) \arrow[d, "\cdot\otimes\chi_z"] \\
\Pi_\phi \arrow[r, "\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}"] & \mathrm{Irr}(\pi_0(S_\phi))
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative.

Proof. As in Proposition A.3, for a pure inner twist $(\psi_1, z_1)\colon G \to G_1$, we put $\Delta_1 = \Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}, \psi_1, z_1, \mathfrak{w}]$ and $\Delta_1' = \Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}, \psi_1, z_1z^{-1}, \mathfrak{w}]$. Let $(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta_1) \in H_{\mathfrak{z},G\text{-sr}} \times G_{1,\mathrm{sr}}$ be a pair of related elements. We take $\delta \in G(F)$ and $g \in G(\overline{F})$ such that $\psi_1^{-1}(\delta_1) = g\delta g^{-1}$. We define $\mathrm{inv}(\delta, \delta_1)$ and $\mathrm{inv}'(\delta, \delta_1)$ in $H^1(F, T)$ by

$$\mathrm{inv}(\delta, \delta_1) = [\sigma \mapsto g^{-1}z_{1,\sigma}\sigma(g)],$$
$$\mathrm{inv}'(\delta, \delta_1) = [\sigma \mapsto g^{-1}z_{1,\sigma}z_\sigma^{-1}\sigma(g)].$$

Then we have

$$\Delta_1(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta_1) = \Delta(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta) \cdot \langle \mathrm{inv}(\delta, \delta_1), s_{\gamma,\delta}\rangle^{-1},$$
$$\Delta_1'(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta_1) = \Delta(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta) \cdot \langle \mathrm{inv}'(\delta, \delta_1), s_{\gamma,\delta}\rangle^{-1}.$$

Hence we have

$$\alpha = \frac{\Delta_1'(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta_1)}{\Delta_1(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta_1)} = \frac{\langle \mathrm{inv}(\delta, \delta_1), s_{\gamma,\delta}\rangle}{\langle \mathrm{inv}'(\delta, \delta_1), s_{\gamma,\delta}\rangle} = \langle [z], s_{\gamma,\delta}\rangle.$$

Since α does not depend on the choice of $(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta_1)$, we see that $\langle [z], s_{\gamma,\delta}\rangle$ is independent of the choice of $(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta)$. We put $\chi_z(s) = \langle [z], s_{\gamma,\delta}\rangle$.

Since we should have

$$\Theta^s_{\phi,\mathfrak{w},\psi,z_1z^{-1}}(f) = \Theta^s_{\phi,\mathfrak{w},\psi,z_1}(\chi_z(s) \cdot f)$$

if $f^{\mathfrak{e}}$ and f have Δ_1'-matching orbital integrals, we see that

$$\langle s, J_z(\dot\pi_1)\rangle_{\mathfrak{w}} = \chi_z(s) \cdot \langle s, \dot\pi_1\rangle_{\mathfrak{w}}$$

for $\dot{\pi}_1 = (G_1, \psi_1, z_1, \pi_1) \in \Pi_\phi$. In particular, taking the unique element $\dot{\pi}_1 = (G, \mathrm{id}, 1, \pi)$ such that π is $\mathfrak{w}$-generic, we see that χ_z is the character of an irreducible representation of $\pi_0(S_\phi)$. Since $\chi_z(1) = 1$, this representation has dimension 1, i.e., $\chi_z \colon \pi_0(S_\phi) \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a (1-dimensional) character. □

The character χ_z is also described as follows. Let $s \in S_\phi$ be a semi-simple element. We take an endoscopic datum $(H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$ associated to s. Let $S \subset H$ and $T \subset G$ be maximal F-tori and $\eta^* \colon S \to T$ be the isomorphism given by fixed pairs of G, $\widehat{G}$, H and $\widehat{H}$. Note that $s \in Z(\widehat{H}^\Gamma) \subset \widehat{S}^\Gamma$. We take $g \in G_{\mathrm{sc}}(\overline{F})$ such that $\xi = \mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1}) \circ \eta^* \colon S \to g^{-1}Tg$ is an admissible embedding. Then $Z \subset T$, and $\mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})$ fixes all elements in Z. For $z \in Z^1(F, Z)$, we see that $\xi^{-1}(z) = (\eta^*)^{-1}(z) \in Z^1(F, \xi^{-1}(Z)) \subset Z^1(F, S)$. Then we have

$$\chi_z(s) = \langle [(\eta^*)^{-1}(z)], s \rangle,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \colon H^1(F, S) \times \pi_0(\widehat{S}^\Gamma) \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ is the Tate–Nakayama pairing.

Next, we consider the case when $z \in Z^1(F, Z)$ is trivial in $H^1(F, G)$. Then $[z] \in \mathrm{Im}[G_{\mathrm{ad}}(F) \to H^1(F, Z)]$.

Proposition A.6. *Let $z \in Z^1(F, Z)$ such that $[z] \in H^1(F, Z)$ is the image of $g \in G_{\mathrm{ad}}(F)$. Let $\mathfrak{w} = (B, \lambda)$ and $\mathfrak{w}' = (gBg^{-1}, \lambda \circ \mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1}))$ be two Whittaker data of G. Then we have*

$$\iota_{\mathfrak{w}} \circ J_z = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}'}.$$

In particular, we have $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}'}(\dot{\pi}) = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}}(\dot{\pi}) \otimes \chi_z$ for $\dot{\pi} \in \Pi_\phi$.

Proof. There is a similar result in [19]. According to Theorem 3.3 (or Lemma 3.2) in [19], there exists a character $(\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{w}')$ on $\pi_0(S_\phi / Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma)$ such that $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}(\dot{\pi}) = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}'}(\dot{\pi}) \otimes (\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{w}')$ for any $\dot{\pi} = (G, \mathrm{id}, 1, \pi) \in \Pi_\phi$.

By definition, we can find a preimage $h \in G(\overline{F})$ of g such that

$$h^{-1}\sigma(h) = z_\sigma.$$

This implies that $(\mathrm{Ad}(g), h)$ is an isomorphism from $(\mathrm{id}, 1)$ to (id, z^{-1}), and so that this is an isomorphism from $(G, \mathrm{id}, 1, \pi \circ \mathrm{Ad}(g))$ to $(G, \mathrm{id}, z^{-1}, \pi)$. Therefore, J_z is given by

$$(G, \mathrm{id}, 1, \pi) \mapsto (G, \mathrm{id}, 1, \pi \circ \mathrm{Ad}(g)).$$

Note that π is $\mathfrak{w}'$-generic if and only if $\pi \circ \mathrm{Ad}(g)$ is $\mathfrak{w}$-generic. In particular, if we take the unique element $\dot{\pi} = (G, \mathrm{id}, 1, \pi) \in \Pi_\phi$ such that π is $\mathfrak{w}'$-generic representation, then we have

$$\mathbf{1} = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}}(J_z(\dot{\pi})) = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}}(\dot{\pi}) \otimes \chi_z = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}'}(\dot{\pi}) \otimes (\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{w}') \otimes \chi_z = (\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{w}') \otimes \chi_z.$$

Hence we have $\chi_z = (\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{w}')^{-1}$, and so that

$$\iota_{\mathfrak{w}} \circ J_z(\dot{\pi}) = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}}(\dot{\pi}) \otimes \chi_z = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}}(\dot{\pi}) \otimes (\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{w}')^{-1} = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}'}(\dot{\pi})$$

for any $\dot{\pi} \in \Pi_\phi$, as desired. □

A.5. **Examples.** We calculate χ_z for $G = \mathrm{SO}(V)$ with $\dim(V) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, and for $G' = \mathrm{Sp}(W)$. As an application, we prove Proposition 3.1 and 3.2. We fix a non-trivial additive character $\psi_F \colon F \to \mathbb{C}^\times$.

First, we prepare a certain property of the Galois cohomology. For $d \in F^\times$, we put $E_d = F(\sqrt{d})$. Let

$$T = E_d^1 := \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{G}_a^2 \mid a^2 - b^2 d = 1\}$$

be a torus over F. Note that $T \cong \mathrm{GL}_1$ over E_d. For $\sigma \in \Gamma$, we denote the usual action on $\mathrm{GL}_1(\overline{F})$ by $x \mapsto \sigma(x)$, and the action on $T(\overline{F})$ by $x \mapsto \sigma_d(x)$. If $d \notin F^{\times 2}$, then we have $H^1(F, T) \cong \{\pm 1\}$ by Kottwitz's isomorphism.

Lemma A.7. *Let $c \in F^\times$. We define $z_{c,\sigma} \in \overline{F}^\times = T(\overline{F})$ by*

$$z_{c,\sigma} = \frac{\sigma(\sqrt{c})}{\sqrt{c}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sigma | E_c = \mathrm{id}_{E_c}, \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the map $z_c \colon \Gamma \ni \sigma \mapsto z_{c,\sigma} \in T(\overline{F})$ belongs to $Z^1(F, T)$. Moreover, the map $H^1(F, T) \hookrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ is given by

$$[z_c] \mapsto (c, d),$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the quadratic Hilbert symbol of F.

Proof. The first assertion is clear. To prove the last assertion, we may assume that $d \notin F^{\times 2}$. We define $z'_{c,\sigma} \in \overline{F}^{\times} = T(\overline{F})$ by

$$z'_{c,\sigma} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sigma|E_d = \mathrm{id}_{E_d}, \\ c & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easily seen that $z'_c \in Z^1(F,T)$. Moreover we have

$$z_{c,\sigma} z'^{-1}_{c,\sigma} = \sigma_d(\sqrt{c}) \cdot \sqrt{c}^{-1}.$$

Hence we have $[z_c] = [z'_c]$ in $H^1(F,T)$.

There exists an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(E_d/F), T(E_d)) \longrightarrow H^1(F,T) \longrightarrow H^1(E_d,T)^{\mathrm{Gal}(E_d/F)}.$$

Since T is isomorphic to GL_1 over E_d, by Hilbert 90, we have $H^1(E_d,T) = 1$. Therefore we have

$$H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(E_d/F), T(E_d)) \cong H^1(F,T).$$

Let σ be the generator of $\mathrm{Gal}(E_d/F)$. Then we have

$$\sigma_d(x) \cdot x^{-1} = \sigma(x)^{-1} x^{-1} = N_{E_d/F}(x)^{-1}$$

for $x \in T(E_d) \cong E_d^{\times}$. Hence we have $B^1(\mathrm{Gal}(E_d/F), T(E_d)) \cong N_{E_d/F}(E_d^{\times})$. Put $z_1 = 1$ and $z_\sigma = x$ for $x \in T(E_d) = E_d^{\times}$. Then $z \in Z^1(\mathrm{Gal}(E_d/F), T(E_d))$ if and only if

$$1 = z_1 = z_{\sigma\sigma} = z_\sigma \cdot \sigma_d(z_\sigma) = x \cdot \sigma_d(x) = x \cdot \sigma(x)^{-1},$$

i.e., $x \in F^{\times}$. Therefore we have

$$H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(E_d/F), T(E_d)) \cong F^{\times}/N_{E_d/F}(E_d^{\times}).$$

Moreover we see that

$$[z'_c] \neq 1 \quad \text{in } H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(E_d/F), T(E_d)) \iff c \notin N_{E_d/F}(E_d^{\times}) \iff (c,d) = -1$$

as desired. □

For a positive integer n and $d, c \in F^{\times}$, we let $V = V_{2n,d,c}$ be an orthogonal space with dimension $2n$ and type (d,c), and $W = W_{2n}$ be a symplectic space with dimension $2n$. We put $G_{n,d,c} = \mathrm{SO}(V_{2n,d,c})$ and $G'_n = \mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$. As in §2.2, we decompose

$$V_{2n,d,c} = X_{n-1,d,c} \oplus V_{2,d,c} \oplus X^*_{n-1,d,c}$$

with

$$X_{n-1,d,c} = Fv_{1,d,c} \oplus \cdots \oplus Fv_{n-1,d,c}, \quad X^*_{n-1,d,c} = Fv^*_{1,d,c} \oplus \cdots \oplus Fv^*_{n-1,d,c}, \quad V_{2,d,c} = Fe_{d,c} \oplus Fe'_{d,c},$$

where

$$\langle e_{d,c}, e_{d,c} \rangle_{V_{2n,d,c}} = 2c, \quad \langle e'_{d,c}, e'_{d,c} \rangle_{V_{2n,d,c}} = -2cd, \quad \langle e_{d,c}, e'_{d,c} \rangle_{V_{2n,d,c}} = 0.$$

For $c, c' \in F^{\times}$, we define an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$f \colon V_{2n,d,c} \to V_{2n,d,c'}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} V_{2n,d,c} \supset X_{n-1,d,c} \ni v_{i,d,c} &\mapsto v_{i,d,c'} \in X_{n-1,d,c'} \subset V_{2n,d,c'}, \\ V_{2n,d,c} \supset V_{2,d,c} \ni e &\mapsto e \in V_{2,d,c'} \subset V_{2n,d,c'}, \\ V_{2n,d,c} \supset V_{2,d,c} \ni e' &\mapsto e' \in V_{2,d,c'} \subset V_{2n,d,c'}, \\ V_{2n,d,c} \supset X^*_{n-1,d,c} \ni v^*_{i,d,c} &\mapsto \frac{c'}{c} \cdot v^*_{i,d,c'} \in X^*_{n-1,d,c'} \subset V_{2n,d,c'}. \end{aligned}$$

This satisfies

$$\langle f(x), f(y) \rangle_{V_{2n,d,c'}} = \frac{c'}{c} \langle x, y \rangle_{V_{2n,d,c}}$$

for any $x, y \in V_{2n,d,c}$. Hence f gives an isomorphism $\psi_{c'/c} \colon G_{2n,d,c} \to G_{2n,d,c'}$ over F. Let $Z_{2n,d,c}$ be the center of $G_{2n,d,c}$ and define $z_{c'/c} \in Z^1(F, Z_{2n,d,c})$ by

$$z_{c'/c,\sigma} = \frac{\sigma(\sqrt{c'/c})}{\sqrt{c'/c}} \cdot \mathbf{1}.$$

Then $(\psi, z) = (\psi_{c'/c}, z_{c'/c}) \colon G_{2n,d,c} \to G_{2n,d,c'}$ be a pure inner twist of $G_{2n,d,c}$. This is trivial if and only if $c'/c \in N_{E_d/F}(E_d^\times)$. Via this pure inner twist, we regard $G_{2n,d,c'}$ as a pure inner form of $G_{2n,d,c}$. If $c'/c \notin N_{E_d/F}(E_d^\times)$, then we identify $\Pi_{\mathrm{temp}}(G_{2n,d,c})$ with $\Pi_{\mathrm{temp}}(G_{2n,d,c'})$ via $J_{\psi,z}$ in Lemma A.1.

Let $B = TU$ (resp. $B' = T'U'$) be the Borel subgroup of $G_{2n,d,c}$ (resp. G'_{2n}) and $\mathfrak{w}_c = (B, \mu_c)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{w}'_c = (B', \mu'_c)$) be the Whittaker datum of $G_{2n,d,c}$ (resp. G'_{2n}) defined in §2. Via the isomorphism $\psi_{c'/c} \colon G_{2n,d,c} \to G_{2n,d,c'}$, the datum $\mathfrak{w}_c$ is transfered to $\mathfrak{w}_{c'}$.

Recall that an L-parameter ϕ of $G_{2n,d,c}$ (resp. G'_{2n}) gives an orthogonal representation

$$\phi \colon WD_F \to \mathrm{O}(2n+2, \mathbb{C}) \quad (\textit{resp. } \phi \colon WD_F \to \mathrm{SO}(2n+1, \mathbb{C}))$$

with $\det(\phi) = \chi_{V_{2n,d,c}}$ (resp. $\det(\phi) = \mathbf{1}$). For a semi-simple element $s \in S_\phi$, we denote the (-1)-eigenspace of s in ϕ by ϕ^s. This is a subrepresentation of ϕ. We put $\eta_{c_0}(s) = \det(\phi^s)(c_0)$ for $c_0 \in F^\times$. This gives a character η_{c_0} of $\pi_0(S_\phi)$. Now we prove Proposition 3.1 and 3.2. More precisely, we prove the following proposition.

Proposition A.8. *Let $G = G_{2n,d,c}$ or $G = G'_{2n}$, and $Z \cong \{\pm 1\}$ be the center of G. For $c_0 \in F^\times$, we define $z_{c_0} \in Z^1(F, Z)$ by $z_{c_0,\sigma} = \sigma(\sqrt{c_0})/\sqrt{c_0}$. Then we have $\chi_{z_{c_0}} = \eta_{c_0}$. Hence for a tempered L-parameter ϕ of G, the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Pi_\phi \arrow[r, "\iota_{\mathfrak{w}_c}"] \arrow[d, equal] & \mathrm{Irr}(\pi_0(S_\phi)) \arrow[d, "\cdot \otimes \eta_{c'/c}"] \\
\Pi_\phi \arrow[r, "\iota_{\mathfrak{w}_{c'}}"] & \mathrm{Irr}(\pi_0(S_\phi))
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. Here, by the pure inner twist

$$G_{2n,d,c} \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{id}, z_{c'/c})} G_{2n,d,c} \xrightarrow{(\psi_{c'/c}, 1)} G_{2n,d,c'},$$

we regard $G_{2n,d,c'}$ as a pure inner form of $G_{2n,d,c}$.

Proof. We may assume that $\phi = \phi_1 \oplus \phi_2$, where ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are orthogonal representations with $\dim(\phi_1) = 2k$ and $\dim(\phi_2) = \dim(\phi) - 2k$, and $s \in S_\phi$ satisfies $s|\phi_1 = -\mathbf{1}$ and $s|\phi_2 = \mathbf{1}$. Then $\phi^s = \phi_1$. Let $(H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$ be an endoscopic datum associated to s such that

$$H = \begin{cases} G_{2k,d_1,1} \times G_{2(n-k),d/d_1,1} & \text{if } G = G_{2n,d,c}, \\ G_{2k,d_1,1} \times G'_{2(n-k)} & \text{if } G = G'_{2n}, \end{cases}$$

where $d_1 \in F^\times$ satisfies that $\det(\phi_1) = (\cdot, d_1)$. Then we can take a maximal F-torus S of H which is isomorphic to

$$\begin{cases} (\mathrm{GL}_1^{k-1} \times E^1_{d_1}) \times (\mathrm{GL}_1^{n-k-1} \times E^1_{d/d_1}) & \text{if } G = G_{2n,d,c}, \\ (\mathrm{GL}_1^{k-1} \times E^1_{d_1}) \times \mathrm{GL}_1^{n-k} & \text{if } G = G'_{2n} \end{cases}$$

over F. This implies that

$$H^1(F, S) \cong \begin{cases} H^1(F, E^1_{d_1}) \times H^1(F, E^1_{d/d_1}) \hookrightarrow \{\pm 1\} \times \{\pm 1\} & \text{if } G = G_{2n,d,c}, \\ H^1(F, E^1_{d_1}) \hookrightarrow \{\pm 1\} & \text{if } G = G'_{2n}. \end{cases}$$

Via this isomorphism, (the image of) $[z_{c_0}]$ corresponds to $((c_0, d_1), (c_0, d/d_1))$ if $G = G_{2n,d,c}$, and to (c_0, d_1) if $G = G'_{2n}$.

On the other hand, via the above isomorphism of S, we have

$$\pi_0(\widehat{S}^\Gamma) \cong \begin{cases} \pi_0(\widehat{E^1_{d_1}}^\Gamma) \times \pi_0(\widehat{E^1_{d/d_1}}^\Gamma) & \text{if } G = G_{2n,d,c}, \\ \pi_0(\widehat{E^1_{d_1}}^\Gamma) & \text{if } G = G'_{2n}. \end{cases}$$

Via this isomorphism, s corresponds to the generator of $\pi_0(\widehat{E^1_{d_1}}^\Gamma) \subset \{\pm 1\}$. Therefore we have

$$\chi_{z_{c_0}}(s) = \langle [z_{c_0}], s\rangle = (c_0, d_1) = \det(\phi_1)(c_0) = \eta_{c_0}(s).$$

First, we assume that $[z_{c'/c}] \neq 1$ in $H^1(F, G)$. In particular, $G = G_{2n,d,c}$ and $c'/c \notin N_{E_d/F}(E_d^\times)$. By Theorem A.5, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \Pi_\phi \arrow[r, "\iota_{\mathfrak{w}_c}"] \arrow[d, "J_{\psi,z}"'] & \mathrm{Irr}(\pi_0(S_\phi)) \arrow[d, "\cdot\otimes\eta_{c'/c}"] \\ \Pi_\phi \arrow[r, "\iota_{\mathfrak{w}_{c'}}"] & \mathrm{Irr}(\pi_0(S_\phi)) \end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative, where we put $(\psi, z) = (\psi_{c'/c}, z_{c'/c})$. Note that we have identified $\Pi_\phi \subset \Pi_{\mathrm{temp}}(G_{2n,d,c})$ with $\Pi_\phi \subset \Pi_{\mathrm{temp}}(G_{2n,d,c'})$ via $J_{\psi,z}$.

Next, we assume that $[z_{c_0}] = 1$ in $H^1(F, G)$, where we put $c_0 = c'/c$. Let T be the maximal F-torus of G stabilizing the lines Fv_i or Fw_i for any i. If $G = G'_{2n}$, then $T \cong \mathrm{GL}_1^n$. Putting $t_{c_0} = (\sqrt{c_0}^{-1}, \dots, \sqrt{c_0}^{-1}) \in T(\overline{F}) \subset G(\overline{F})$ and let $g_{c_0} \in G_{\mathrm{ad}}(F)$ be the image of t_{c_0}. Then we have $z_{c_0} = t_{c_0}^{-1} \cdot \sigma(t_{c_0})$, i.e., $[z_{c_0}] \in H^1(F, Z)$ is the image of g_{c_0}. We have $g_{c_0} B' g_{c_0}^{-1} = B'$ and $\mu'_c \circ \mathrm{Ad}(g_{c_0}^{-1}) = \mu'_{cc_0}$. Hence we have $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_c} \circ J_{z_{c_0}} = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}'_{cc_0}}$ by Proposition A.6.

If $G = G_{2n,d,c}$, then $T \cong \mathrm{GL}_1^{n-1} \times E_d^1$. We have $[z_{c_0}] = 1$ in $H^1(F, G)$ if and only if $c_0 \in N_{E_d/F}(E_d^\times)$. Take $a_0, b_0 \in F$ such that $N_{E_d/F}(a_0 + b_0\sqrt{d}) = a_0^2 - b_0^2 d = c_0$. We define $t_{c_0} \in T(\overline{F})$ by $t_{c_0} v_i = \sqrt{c_0}^{-1} v_i$ and

$$t_{c_0} e = \frac{a_0}{\sqrt{c_0}} e + \frac{b_0}{\sqrt{c_0}} e',$$
$$t_{c_0} e' = \frac{b_0}{\sqrt{c_0}} de + \frac{a_0}{\sqrt{c_0}} e'.$$

Note that $t_0 = \sqrt{c_0}^{-1} f$, where $f\colon V_{2n,d,c} \to V_{2n,d,c'} = V_{2n,d,c}$ is the isomorphism defined as above. Let $g_{c_0} \in G_{\mathrm{ad}}(F)$ be the image of t_{c_0}. Then we have $z_{c_0} = t_{c_0}^{-1} \cdot \sigma(t_{c_0})$, i.e., $[z_{c_0}] \in H^1(F, Z)$ is the image of g_{c_0}. We have $g_{c_0} B g_{c_0}^{-1} = B$ and $\mu_c \circ \mathrm{Ad}(g_{c_0}^{-1}) = \mu_{cc_0}$. Hence we have $\iota_{\mathfrak{w}_c} \circ J_{z_{c_0}} = \iota_{\mathfrak{w}_{cc_0}}$ by Proposition A.6. □

References

[1] A. Aizenbud, D. Gourevitch, S. Rallis and G. Schiffmann, *Multiplicity one theorems*, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **172** (2010), no. 2, 1407–1434.

[2] J. Arthur, *The endoscopic classification of representations: orthogonal and symplectic groups*, *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*, **61**, 2013.

[3] D. Ban and C. Jantzen *Degenerate principal series for even-orthogonal groups*, *Represent. Theory* **7** (2003), 440–480.

[4] R. Beuzart-Plessis, *Expression d'un facteur epsilon de paire par une formule intégrale*, *Canad. J. Math.* **66** (2014), no. 5, 993–1049.

[5] R. Beuzart-Plessis, *Endoscopie et conjecture raffinée de Gan–Gross–Prasad pour les groupes unitaires*, arXiv:1212.0951v2.

[6] R. Beuzart-Plessis, *La conjecture locale de Gross–Prasad pour les représentations tempérées des groupes unitaires*, arXiv:1205.2987v2.

[7] W. T. Gan, B. H. Gross and D. Prasad, *Symplectic local root numbers, central critical L-values, and restriction problems in the representation theory of classical groups*, *Astérisque.* No. **346** (2012), 1–109.

[8] W. T. Gan, B. H. Gross and D. Prasad, *Restrictions of representations of classical groups: examples*, *Astérisque.* No. **346** (2012), 111–170.

[9] W. T. Gan and A. Ichino, *Formal degrees and local theta correspondence*, *Invent. Math.* **195** (2014), no. 3, 509–672.

[10] W. T. Gan and A. Ichino, *The Gross–Prasad conjecture and local theta correspondence*, arXiv:1409.6824v2.

[11] W. T. Gan and G. Savin, *Representations of metaplectic groups* I*: epsilon dichotomy and local Langlands correspondence*, *Compos. Math.* **148** (2012), 1655–1694.

[12] W. T. Gan and G. Savin, *Representations of metaplectic groups* II*: Hecke algebra correspondences*, *Represent. Theory* **16** (2012), 513–539.

[13] W. T. Gan and S. Takeda, *On the Howe duality conjecture in classical theta correspondence*, arXiv:1405.2626v3.
[14] W. T. Gan and S. Takeda, *A proof of the Howe duality conjecture*, arXiv:1407.1995v4.
[15] R. Godement and H. Jacquet, *Zeta functions of simple algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math. **260**, *Springer-Verlag*, 1972.
[16] B. H. Gross and D. Prasad, *On the decomposition of a representation of* SO_n *when restricted to* SO_{n-1}, *Canad. J. Math.* **44** (1992), no. 5, 974–1002.
[17] B. H. Gross and D. Prasad, *On irreducible representations of* $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}\times\mathrm{SO}_{2m}$, *Canad. J. Math.* **46** (1994), no. 5, 930–950.
[18] V. Heiermann, *A note on standard modules and Vogan L-packets*, arXiv:1504.04524v1.
[19] T. Kaletha, *Genericity and contragredience in the local Langlands correspondence*, *Algebra Number Theory* **7** (2013), no. 10, 2447–2474.
[20] T. Kaletha, *Rigid inner forms of real and p-adic groups*, arXiv:1304.3292v5.
[21] R. E. Kottwitz, *Rational conjugacy classes in reductive groups*, *Duke Math. J.* **49** (1982), no. 4, 785–806.
[22] R. E. Kottwitz, *Sign changes in harmonic analysis on reductive groups*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **278** (1983), no. 1, 289–297.
[23] R. E. Kottwitz, *Stable trace formula: cuspidal tempered terms. Duke Math. J.* **51** (1984), no. 3, 611–650.
[24] R. E. Kottwitz and D. Shelstad, *Foundations of twisted endoscopy*, *Astérisque.* No. **255** (1999).
[25] S. S. Kudla, Splitting metaplectic covers of dual reductive pairs, *Israel J. Math.* **87** (1994), no. 1-3, 361–401.
[26] R. Langlands and D. Shelstad, *On the definition of transfer factors*, *Math. Ann.* **278** (1987), 219–271.
[27] C.Mœglin, M.-F. Vigneras and J.-L. Waldspurger, *Correspondances de Howe sur un corps p-adique*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1291**, *Springer-Verlag, Berlin.* 1987.
[28] C.Mœglin and J.-L. Waldspurger, *La conjecture locale de Gross–Prasad pour les groupes spéciaux orthogonaux: le cas général*, Sur les conjectures de Gross et Prasad. II. *Astérisque.* No. **347** (2012), 167–216.
[29] C.Mœglin and J.-L. Waldspurger, *Stabilisation de la formule des traces tordue* VI*: la partie géométrique de cette formule*, arXiv:1406.2257v1.
[30] C.Mœglin and J.-L. Waldspurger, *Stabilisation de la formule des traces tordue* X*: stabilisation spectrale*, arXiv:1412.2981v1.
[31] D. Prasad, *On the local Howe duality correspondence*, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices.* (1993), 279–287.
[32] A.J. Silberger, *Introduction to harmonic analysis on reductive p-adic groups*, *Mathematical Notes*, **23**. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1979.
[33] B. Sun, *Multiplicity one theorems for Fourier–Jacobi models*, *Amer. J. Math.* **134** (2012), no. 6, 1655–1678.
[34] J. Tate, *Number theoretic background*. Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions. *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore.*, 1979, Part 2, pp. 3–26.
[35] G. A. Vogan, *The local Langlands conjecture*, *Representation theory of groups and algebras*, 305–379, *Contemp. Math.* **145**, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1993.
[36] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Demonstration dune conjecture de dualite de Howe dans le cas p-adique,* $p\neq 2$, *in Festschrift in honor of I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday*, part I (Ramat Aviv, 1989), Israel Mathematical Conference Proceedings, vol. 2 (Weizmann, Jerusalem, 1990), 267–324.
[37] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Une formule intégrale reliée à la conjecture locale de Gross–Prasad*, *Compos. Math.* **146** (2010), no. 5, 1180–1290.
[38] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Une formule intégrale reliée à la conjecture locale de Gross–Prasad, 2e partie: extension aux représentations tempérées*, *Astérisque.* No. **346** (2012), 171–312.
[39] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Une variante d'un résultat de Aizenbud, Gourevitch, Rallis et Schiffmann*, *Astérisque.* No. **346** (2012), 313–318.
[40] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Calcul d'une valeur d'un facteur* ε *par une formule intégrale*, *Astérisque.* No. **347** (2012), 1–102.
[41] J.-L. Waldspurger, *La conjecture locale de Gross–Prasad pour les représentations tempérées des groupes spéciaux orthogonaux*, *Astérisque.* No. **347** (2012), 103–165.
[42] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Stabilisation de la formule des traces tordue* I*: endoscopie tordue sur un corps local* arXiv:1401.4569v1.
[43] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Stabilisation de la formule des traces tordue* II*: intégrales orbitales et endoscopie sur un corps local non-archimédien; définitions et énoncés des résultats*, arXiv:1401.7127v1.
[44] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Stabilisation de la formule des traces tordue* III*: intégrales orbitales et endoscopie sur un corps local non-archimédien; réductions et preuves*, arXiv:1402.2753v1.
[45] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Stabilisation de la formule des traces tordue* IV*: transfert spectral archimédien*, arXiv:1403.1454v1.
[46] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Stabilisation de la formule des traces tordue* V*: intégrales orbitales et endoscopie sur le corps réel*, arXiv:1404.2402v1.
[47] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Stabilisation de la formule des traces tordue* VII*: descente globale*, arXiv:1409.0960v1.
[48] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Stabilisation de la formule des traces tordue* VIII*: l'application* $\epsilon_{\tilde{M}}$ *sur un corps de base local non-archimédien*, arXiv:1410.1124v1.
[49] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Stabilisation de la formule des traces tordue* IX*: propriétés des intégrales orbitales pondérées* ω*-équivariantes sur le corps réel*, arXiv:1412.2565v1.

Department of mathematics, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa-Oiwake-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, 606-8502, Japan
E-mail address: `atobe@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp`